

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)
 ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-31219

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

Ten Penn Center
1801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA
(Address of principal executive offices)

23-3096839
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

19103-1699

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (215) 977-3000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Units representing limited partnership interests	New York Stock Exchange
Senior Notes 7.25%, due February 15, 2012	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

The aggregate value of the Common Units held by non-affiliates of the registrant (treating all executive officers and directors of the registrant and holders of 10 percent or more of the Common Units outstanding (including the General Partner of the registrant, Sunoco Partners LLC, as if they may be affiliates of the registrant) was approximately \$163.8 million as of June 27, 2003, based on \$28.49 per unit, the closing price of the Common Units as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on that date.

At February 27, 2004, the number of the registrant's Common Units outstanding was 11,388,154, and its Subordinated Units outstanding was 11,383,639.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: NONE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I			1
	ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
	ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	22
	ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	22
	ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	22
PART II			23
	ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SECURITYHOLDER MATTERS	23
	ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	24
	ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	28
	ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	50
	ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	51
	ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	80
	ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	80
PART III			81
	ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	81
	ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	84
	ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SECURITYHOLDER MATTERS	89
	ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	91
	ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	96
PART IV			97
	ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	97
SIGNATURES			100

[Table of Contents](#)

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this report, excluding historical information, include forward-looking statements made in reliance on the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements discuss Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.'s (the "Partnership") expected future results based on current and pending business operations, and may be identified by words such as "anticipates", "believes", "expects", "planned", "scheduled" or similar expressions. Although management of the Partnership believes these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they are based upon a number of assumptions, any or all of which ultimately may prove to be inaccurate. Statements made regarding future results are subject to numerous assumptions, uncertainties and risks that may cause future results to be materially different from the results stated or implied in this document.

The following are among the important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any results projected, forecasted, estimated or budgeted:

- Changes in demand both for crude oil we buy and sell, as well as for crude oil and refined petroleum products that we store and distribute;
- Changes in demand for storage in the Partnership's petroleum terminals;
- The loss of Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) as a customer or a significant reduction in its current level of throughput and storage with the Partnership;
- An increase in the competition encountered by the Partnership's petroleum terminals, pipelines and crude oil acquisition and marketing operations;
- Changes in the throughput on petroleum product pipelines owned and operated by third parties and connected to the Partnership's petroleum product pipelines and terminals;
- Changes in the financial condition or operating results of joint ventures and other holdings in which the Partnership has an equity ownership interest;
- Changes in the general economic conditions in the United States;
- Changes in laws and regulations to which the Partnership is subject, including federal, state, and local tax, safety, environmental and employment laws;
- Phase-outs or restrictions on the use of MTBE;
- Improvements in energy efficiency and technology resulting in reduced demand;
- The Partnership's ability to manage rapid growth;
- The Partnership's ability to control costs;
- The effect of changes in accounting principles and tax laws and interpretations of both;
- Global and domestic economic repercussions from terrorist activities and international hostilities and the government's response thereto;
- The occurrence of operational hazards or unforeseen interruptions for which the Partnership may not be adequately insured;
- Changes in the reliability and efficiency of the Partnership's operating facilities or those of Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) or third parties;
- Changes in the expected level of environmental remediation spending;
- Changes in insurance markets resulting in increased costs and reductions in the level and types of coverage available;

[Table of Contents](#)

- *The ability of announced acquisitions or expansions to be cash-flow accretive;*
- *The Partnership's ability to successfully consummate announced acquisitions or expansions and integrate them into existing business operations;*
- *Risks related to labor relations;*
- *Non-performance by major customers, suppliers or other business partners;*
- *Price trends and overall demand for refined petroleum products, crude oil and natural gas liquids in the United States, economic activity, weather, alternative energy sources, conservation and technological advances may affect price trends and demand for the Partnership's business activities;*
- *Changes in the Partnership's tariff rates, implemented by federal and/or state government regulators;*
- *The amount of the Partnership's indebtedness, which could make the Partnership vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions, limit the Partnership's ability to borrow additional funds, and place it at competitive disadvantages compared to competitors that have less debt or have other adverse consequences;*
- *Restrictive covenants in the Partnership's or Sunoco, Inc.'s credit agreements;*
- *Changes in the Partnership's or Sunoco, Inc.'s credit ratings, as assigned by ratings agencies;*
- *The condition of the debt capital markets and equity capital markets in the United States, and the Partnership's ability to raise capital in a cost-effective way;*
- *Changes in interest rates on the Partnership's outstanding debt, which could increase the costs of borrowing;*
- *The political and economic stability of the oil producing nations of the world; and*
- *The costs and effects of legal and administrative claims and proceedings against the Partnership or its subsidiaries, and changes in the status of litigation to which the Partnership is a party.*

These factors are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any of the Partnership's forward-looking statements. Other unknown or unpredictable factors could also have material adverse effects on future results. The Partnership undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement whether as a result of new information or future events.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

(a) General Development of Business

The Partnership is a Delaware limited partnership formed on October 15, 2001. The principal executive offices of Sunoco Partners LLC, the Partnership's general partner (the "General Partner"), are located at Ten Penn Center, 1801 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (telephone (215) 977-3000). The Partnership's website address is www.sunocologistics.com.

In February 2002, 5,750,000 common units, representing approximately 24.8 percent of the partnership interests, were sold to the public in an initial public offering ("IPO"). Sunoco, Inc., through its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, "Sunoco"), currently owns approximately 75.3 percent of the partnership interests, including the 2 percent general partner interest.

(b) Financial Information about Segments

See Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

(c) Narrative Description of Business

The Partnership is a limited partnership formed by Sunoco, Inc. to own, operate and acquire a geographically diversified portfolio of complementary energy assets. The Partnership is principally engaged in the transport, terminalling and storage of refined products and crude oil and the purchase and sale of crude oil. Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), a wholly-owned refining and marketing subsidiary of Sunoco ("Sunoco R&M"), accounted for approximately 52 percent of the Partnership's total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003. The business comprises three segments:

- The *Eastern Pipeline System* primarily serves the Northeast and Midwest United States operations of Sunoco R&M and includes: approximately 1,700 miles of refined product pipelines, including a one-third interest in an 80-mile refined product pipeline, and 58 miles of interrefinery pipelines between two of Sunoco R&M's refineries; a 123-mile crude oil pipeline; a 9.4 percent interest in Explorer Pipeline Company, a joint venture that owns a 1,410-mile refined product pipeline; a 31.5 percent interest in Wolverine Pipe Line Company, a joint venture that owns a 721-mile refined product pipeline; a 12.3 percent interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company, a joint venture that owns a 652-mile refined product pipeline; and a 14.0 percent interest in Yellowstone Pipe Line Company, a joint venture that owns a 656-mile refined product pipeline.
- The *Terminal Facilities* consist of 31 inland refined product terminals with an aggregate storage capacity of 4.8 million barrels, primarily serving the Partnership's Eastern Pipeline System; a 2.0 million barrel refined product terminal serving Sunoco R&M's Marcus Hook refinery near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; a 12.5 million barrel marine crude oil terminal on the Texas Gulf Coast, the Nederland Terminal; one inland and two marine crude oil terminals with a combined capacity of 3.4 million barrels, and related pipelines, all of which serve Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia refinery; and a 1.0 million barrel liquefied petroleum gas ("LPG") terminal near Detroit, Michigan.
- The *Western Pipeline System* gathers, purchases, sells, and transports crude oil principally in Oklahoma and Texas and consists of approximately 1,868 miles of crude oil trunk pipelines and approximately 822 miles of crude oil gathering lines that supply the trunk pipelines; approximately 113 crude oil transport trucks; approximately 130 crude oil truck unloading facilities; and a 43.8 percent interest in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company, a joint venture that owns a 579-mile crude oil pipeline.

The Partnership and its equity interests are principally engaged in the transport, terminalling, and storage of refined products and crude oil and in the purchase and sale of crude oil in 17 states. Revenues are generated by

[Table of Contents](#)

charging tariffs for transporting refined products, crude oil and other hydrocarbons through the pipelines and by charging fees for storing refined products, crude oil, and other hydrocarbons, and for providing other services at the Partnership's terminals. The Partnership also generates revenue by purchasing domestic crude oil and selling it to Sunoco R&M and other customers. Generally, as crude oil is purchased, corresponding sale transactions are simultaneously entered into involving physical deliveries of crude oil, which enables the Partnership to secure a profit on the transaction at the time of purchase and establish a substantially balanced position, thereby minimizing exposure to price volatility after the initial purchase. The Partnership's practice is to not enter into futures contracts.

Upon the closing of the Partnership's IPO on February 8, 2002, the Eastern Pipeline System, Terminal Facilities and Western Pipeline System were transferred to the Partnership, including certain related liabilities. Certain other liabilities, including environmental and toxic tort liabilities, have been retained by Sunoco, Inc. under the indemnification provisions of an omnibus agreement (the "Omnibus Agreement") (see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc."). The following discussion has been prepared as if the assets were operated as a stand-alone business throughout the periods presented. Unless otherwise noted, the Partnership owns and operates all of the assets described.

Eastern Pipeline System

Sunoco R&M accounted for approximately 68 percent of the Eastern Pipeline segment's total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Refined Product Pipelines

The refined product pipelines transport refined products from Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia and Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania and Toledo, Ohio refineries, as well as from third parties, to markets in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Michigan. The refined products transported in these pipelines include multiple grades of gasoline, middle distillates (such as heating oil, diesel and jet fuel), LPGs (such as propane, butane, isobutane, and a butane/butylene mixture), refining feedstocks, and other hydrocarbons (such as toluene and xylene). The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") regulates the rates for interstate shipments on the Eastern Pipeline System and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PA PUC") regulates the rates for intrastate shipments in Pennsylvania.

The following table details the total shipments on the refined product pipelines in each of the years presented. Total shipments represent the total average daily pipeline throughput multiplied by the number of miles of pipeline through which each barrel has been shipped. Management of the Partnership believes that total shipments is a better performance indicator for the Eastern Pipeline System than barrels transported as certain refined product pipelines, including interrefinery and transfer pipelines, transport large volumes over short distances and generate minimal revenues. The following excludes amounts attributable to equity ownership interests in the corporate joint ventures:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Total shipments (in thousands of barrel miles per day)	56,109	54,880	55,165	56,736	55,293

The mix of refined petroleum products delivered varies seasonally, with gasoline demand peaking during the summer months, and demand for heating oil and other distillate fuels being higher in the winter. In addition, weather conditions in the areas served by the Eastern Pipeline System affect both the demand for, and the mix of, the refined petroleum products delivered through the Eastern Pipeline System, although historically any overall impact on the total volume shipped has been short term.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table sets forth, for each of the refined product pipeline systems, the origin and destination, miles of pipeline and diameter. Except as indicated below, the Partnership owns 100 percent of the refined product pipeline systems.

Origin and Destination

	December 31, 2003	
	Miles of Pipeline	Diameter (inches)
Montello, PA to Kingston, PA ⁽¹⁾	70	8,6
Montello, PA to Kingston, PA ⁽²⁾	84	6
Philadelphia, PA to Linden, NJ ⁽³⁾	88	16,12
Twin Oaks, PA to Newark, NJ	118	14
Philadelphia, PA to Montello, PA	210	12,8
Montello, PA to Pittsburgh, PA	221	8
Toledo, OH to Sarnia, Canada	241	8,6
Toledo, OH to Blawnox, PA	260	10,8
Montello, PA to Buffalo, NY	300	14,8
Subtotal	1,592	N.M.
Interrefinery Pipelines ⁽⁴⁾	58	8,6,4
Transfer Pipelines ⁽⁵⁾	85	N.M.
Total	1,735	N.M.

N.M. Not meaningful.

⁽¹⁾ Mileage excludes the northern-most 160 miles of this pipeline the Partnership idled in January 2003. These assets were idled as a result of a long-term agreement entered into by the Partnership in December 2002 to lease throughput capacity on a third-party refined product pipeline which allows it to provide the same service as existed on the idled pipeline while reducing operating expenses.

⁽²⁾ The Partnership is seeking permission from the PA PUC to idle 74 miles of this pipeline.

⁽³⁾ The Partnership owns a one-third interest in 80 miles of this pipeline.

⁽⁴⁾ The Partnership leases these pipelines to Sunoco R&M.

⁽⁵⁾ Consists of the Toledo, Twin Oaks, and Linden transfer pipelines.

Crude Oil Pipeline

This 123-mile, 16-inch crude oil pipeline runs from Marysville, Michigan to Toledo, Ohio. This pipeline receives crude oil from the Enbridge pipeline system for delivery to Sunoco R&M and BP refineries located in Toledo, Ohio and to Marathon Ashland's ("MAP") Samaria, Michigan tank farm, which supplies its refinery in Detroit, Michigan. Marysville is also a truck injection point for local production. Sunoco R&M is the major shipper on the pipeline.

The table below sets forth the average daily number of barrels of crude oil transported through this crude oil pipeline in each of the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Crude oil throughput (in barrels per day ("bpd"))	81,464	91,464	98,226	95,311	91,951

[Table of Contents](#)

Explorer Pipeline

The Partnership owns a 9.4 percent interest in Explorer Pipeline Company (“Explorer”), a joint venture that owns a 1,410-mile common carrier refined product pipeline. Other owners of Explorer are Shell, MAP, ChevronTexaco, CITGO, and ConocoPhillips. The system, which is operated by Explorer employees, originates from the refining centers of Lake Charles, Louisiana and Beaumont, Port Arthur and Houston, Texas, and extends to Chicago, Illinois, with delivery points in the Houston, Dallas/Fort Worth, Tulsa, St. Louis, and Chicago areas. Shippers on the pipeline include most of the affiliates of the owners and non-affiliated customers. Sunoco R&M does not regularly ship on the pipeline. In 2000, the FERC approved Explorer’s application for market-based rates for all its tariffs. The Partnership receives a quarterly cash dividend from Explorer that is commensurate with its ownership interest.

During the third quarter of 2003, Explorer completed its \$100 million plus expansion of the system’s capacity. The capacity from Port Arthur to Tulsa was expanded by 130,000 bpd to 690,000 bpd and the capacity from Tulsa to Chicago was expanded by 100,000 bpd to 450,000 bpd.

Wolverine Pipe Line

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a 31.5 percent interest in Wolverine Pipe Line Company (“Wolverine”), a joint venture that owns a 721-mile common carrier pipeline that transports primarily refined products. Other owners of Wolverine are Citgo, ExxonMobil, MAP, and Shell. The system, which is operated by ExxonMobil and Wolverine employees, originates from Chicago, Illinois and extends to Detroit, Grand Haven, and Bay City, Michigan and Toledo, Ohio with delivery points along the way. Shippers on the pipeline include affiliates of most of the owners and non-affiliated customers. The Partnership and its affiliates do not ship on the pipeline. In 2002, the FERC approved Wolverine’s application for market-based rates for the Detroit, Jackson, Niles, Hammond, and Lockport destinations. The Partnership receives a quarterly cash dividend from Wolverine that is commensurate with its ownership interest.

In 1999, Wolverine purchased pipeline assets belonging to Total in Michigan that supported the Alma refinery. Wolverine has used these assets to make deliveries to Lansing and Bay City. Since the purchase, Wolverine has been expanding the pipeline capacity to these cities and expects to complete the last phase of the additional planned expansion when permits are obtained.

West Shore Pipe Line

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a 9.2 percent interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company (“West Shore”), a joint venture that owns a 652-mile common carrier refined product pipeline. On September 30, 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore increasing its overall ownership percentage to 12.3 percent. Other owners of West Shore are Citgo, ExxonMobil, BP, Buckeye, and Shell. The system, which is operated by Citgo employees, originates from the Chicago, Illinois refining center and extends to Madison and Green Bay, Wisconsin with delivery points along the way. Shippers on the pipeline include affiliates of most of the owners and non-affiliated customers. The Partnership and its affiliates do not ship on the pipeline. In 2002, the FERC approved West Shore’s application for market-based rates for the Chicago area. The Partnership receives a quarterly cash dividend from West Shore that is commensurate with its ownership interest.

Yellowstone Pipe Line

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a 14.0 percent interest in Yellowstone Pipe Line Company (“Yellowstone”), a joint venture that owns a 656-mile common carrier refined product pipeline. Other owners of Yellowstone are ExxonMobil and ConocoPhillips. The system, which is operated by ConocoPhillips employees, originates from the Billings, Montana refining center and extends to Moses Lake, Washington with delivery points along the way. Shippers on the pipeline include affiliates of the owners and non-affiliated customers. Tariff rates are regulated by the FERC for interstate shipments and the Montana Public Service Commission for intrastate shipments in Montana. The Partnership and its affiliates do not ship on the pipeline.

[Table of Contents](#)

In 1997, the Yellowstone board of directors established a dividend policy whereby dividends would not be paid to owners until the debt incurred to finance a multi-year pipeline upgrade program was repaid. While the last payment on the debt is projected to be made in 2004, there are no assurances that dividends will be paid in 2004 or in future years.

Terminal Facilities

Sunoco R&M accounted for approximately 65 percent of the Terminal Facilities segment's total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Refined Product Terminals

The Partnership's 31 inland refined product terminals receive refined products from pipelines and distribute them to Sunoco R&M and to third parties, who in turn deliver them to end-users and retail outlets. Terminals play a key role in moving product to the end-user market by providing the following services: storage and inventory management; distribution; blending to achieve specified grades of gasoline; and other ancillary services that include the injection of additives and the filtering of jet fuel. Typically, the Partnership's terminal facilities consist of multiple storage tanks and are equipped with automated truck loading equipment that is available 24 hours a day. This automated system provides for control of allocations, credit and carrier certification.

The Partnership's refined product terminals derive most of their revenues from terminalling fees paid by customers. A fee is charged for receiving refined products into the terminal and delivering them to trucks, barges, or pipelines. In addition to terminalling fees, the Partnership's revenues are generated by charging customers fees for blending, injecting additives, and filtering jet fuel. Refined product terminals generate the balance of their revenues from the handling of other hydrocarbons for Sunoco R&M at Vanport, Pennsylvania and Toledo, Ohio and from lubricants handled for Sunoco R&M at Cleveland, Ohio. Sunoco R&M accounts for substantially all of the Partnership's refined product terminal revenues. The Eastern Pipeline System supplies the majority of the Partnership's refined product terminals, with third-party pipelines supplying the remainder.

The table below sets forth the total average daily throughput for the inland refined product terminals in each of the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Refined products throughput (bpd)	251,627	266,212	272,698	272,784	283,071

The following table outlines the number of terminals and storage capacity in barrels ("bbls") by state:

State	Number of Terminals	Storage Capacity
		(bbls)
Indiana	1	207,000
Michigan	2	411,700
New Jersey	3	757,100
New York ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	3	652,000
Ohio	6	778,800
Pennsylvania	16	1,987,800
Total	31	4,794,400

⁽¹⁾ Excludes a terminal at Binghamton, New York, which was idled in early 2003.

⁽²⁾ The Partnership has a 45 percent ownership interest in a terminal at Inwood, New York. The storage capacity included in the table represents the proportionate share of capacity attributable to the Partnership's ownership interest.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Nederland Terminal

The Nederland Terminal, which is located on the Sabine-Neches waterway between Beaumont and Port Arthur, Texas, is a large marine terminal that provides inventory management, storage, and distribution services for refiners and other large end-users of crude oil. The terminal receives, stores, and distributes crude oil, feedstocks, lubricants, petrochemicals, and bunker oils (used for fueling ships and other marine vessels). In addition, it also blends lubricants and is equipped with petroleum laboratory facilities. The terminal currently has a total shell storage capacity of approximately 12.5 million barrels in 128 aboveground storage tanks with individual capacities of up to 660,000 barrels. During 2003, construction of two new tanks was completed, which added approximately 1.3 million barrels of storage capacity to the terminal.

The Nederland Terminal can receive crude oil at each of its five ship docks and three barge berths, which can accommodate any vessel capable of navigating the 40-foot freshwater draft of the Sabine-Neches Ship Channel. The five ship docks are capable of receiving over 1.0 million bpd of crude oil. The terminal can also receive crude oil through a number of pipelines, including the Shell pipeline from Louisiana, the Department of Energy ("DOE") Big Hill pipeline, the DOE West Hackberry pipeline, and the Partnership's Western Pipeline System. The DOE pipelines connect the terminal to the United States Strategic Petroleum Reserve's West Hackberry caverns at Hackberry, Louisiana and Big Hill caverns near Winnie, Texas, which have an aggregate storage capacity of 370 million barrels. The Nederland Terminal's pipeline connections to major markets in the Lake Charles, Beaumont, Port Arthur, Houston, and Midwest areas provide customers with maximum flexibility.

The Nederland Terminal can deliver crude oil and other petroleum products via pipeline, barge, ship, rail, or truck. In the aggregate, the terminal is capable of delivering over 1.0 million bpd of crude oil to 12 connecting pipelines. The connecting pipelines include the ExxonMobil pipelines to Wichita Falls, Texas and to its Beaumont, Texas refinery, the DOE pipelines to the Big Hill and West Hackberry Strategic Petroleum Reserve caverns, the Premcor pipeline to its Port Arthur, Texas refinery, the TotalFinaElf pipelines to its Port Arthur, Texas refinery, the Shell pipeline to Houston, Texas refineries, the West Texas Gulf and the Partnership's pipelines to the Mid-Valley pipeline at Longview, Texas and to the Citgo pipeline at Sour Lake, Texas, the Partnership's pipeline to Seabreeze, Texas, and the Alon pipeline to its Big Spring, Texas refinery.

The table below sets forth the total average daily throughput for the Nederland Terminal in each of the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Crude oil and refined products throughput (bpd)	544,624	566,941	427,194	437,381	441,701

Revenues are generated at the Nederland Terminal primarily by providing long-term and short-term, or spot, storage services and throughput capability to a number of customers. Most of the terminal's total revenues in 2003 were from unaffiliated third parties.

Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex

The Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex is located on the Delaware River in Philadelphia and supplies Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia refinery with all of its crude oil. These assets include the Fort Mifflin Terminal, the Hog Island Wharf, the Darby Creek Tank Farm and connecting pipelines. Revenues are generated from the Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex by charging fees based on tank capacity and throughput. Substantially all of the revenues from the Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex are derived from Sunoco R&M.

Fort Mifflin Terminal. The Fort Mifflin Terminal consists of two ship docks with 40-foot freshwater drafts and nine tanks with a total storage capacity of 570,000 barrels. Two of the 80,000-barrel tanks can be used to

[Table of Contents](#)

store refined products. This terminal also has a connection from the Colonial Pipeline System. Crude oil and some refined products enter the Fort Mifflin Terminal primarily from marine vessels on the Delaware River. One Fort Mifflin dock is designed to handle crude oil from very large crude carrier-class tankers and smaller crude oil vessels. The other dock can accommodate only smaller crude oil vessels.

Hog Island Wharf. The Hog Island Wharf is located next to the Fort Mifflin Terminal on the Delaware River. The Hog Island Wharf receives crude oil via two ship docks, one of which can accommodate crude oil tankers and smaller crude oil vessels and the other of which can accommodate some smaller crude oil vessels. Hog Island Wharf supplies the Partnership's Darby Creek Tank Farm and Fort Mifflin Terminal with crude oil.

Darby Creek Tank Farm. The Darby Creek Tank Farm is a primary crude oil storage terminal for Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia refinery. This facility has 26 tanks with a total storage capacity of 2.9 million barrels. Darby Creek receives crude oil from the Fort Mifflin Terminal and Hog Island Wharf via the Partnership's pipelines. The tank farm then stores the crude oil and pumps it to the Philadelphia refinery via the Partnership's pipelines.

The table below sets forth the average daily number of barrels of crude oil and refined products delivered to Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia refinery in each of the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Crude oil throughput (bpd)	297,271	306,121	309,435	310,980	311,455
Refined products throughput (bpd)	9,263	8,502	9,110	11,631	10,934
Total (bpd)	306,534	314,623	318,545	322,611	322,389

Marcus Hook Tank Farm

The Marcus Hook Tank Farm stores substantially all of the gasoline and middle distillates that Sunoco R&M ships from its Marcus Hook refinery. This facility has 16 tanks with a total storage capacity of approximately 2.0 million barrels. After receipt of refined products from the Marcus Hook refinery, the tank farm either stores or delivers them to the Partnership's Twin Oaks terminal or to the Twin Oaks pump station, which supplies the Eastern Pipeline System.

The table below sets forth the total average daily throughput for the Marcus Hook Tank Farm in each of the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Refined products throughput (bpd)	142,404	133,455	138,490	150,008	157,233

The Inkster Terminal

The Inkster Terminal, located near Detroit, Michigan, consists of eight salt caverns with a total storage capacity of 975,000 barrels. The Partnership uses the Inkster Terminal's storage in connection with its Toledo, Ohio to Sarnia, Canada pipeline system and for the storage of LPGs from Sunoco R&M's Toledo refinery and from Canada. The terminal can receive and ship LPGs in both directions at the same time and has a propane truck loading rack.

Western Pipeline System

Sunoco R&M accounted for approximately 51 percent of the Western Pipeline System segment's total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003.

[Table of Contents](#)

Crude Oil Pipelines

The Partnership owns and operates approximately 1,868 miles of crude oil trunk pipelines and approximately 822 miles of crude oil gathering pipelines in three primary geographic regions—Oklahoma, West Texas, and the Texas Gulf Coast and East Texas region. The Partnership is the primary shipper on the Western Pipeline System. The Partnership also delivers crude oil for Sunoco R&M and for various third parties from points in Texas and Oklahoma. Refineries directly connected to the Western Pipeline System include Sunoco R&M's and Sinclair's Tulsa, Oklahoma refineries and the Gary-Williams refinery in Wynnewood, Oklahoma.

The Partnership's pipelines also access several trading hubs, including the largest and most significant trading hub for crude oil in the United States located in Cushing, Oklahoma ("Cushing"), as well as other trading hubs located in Colorado City and Longview, Texas. The Partnership's crude oil pipelines also connect with other pipelines that deliver crude oil to a number of third-party refineries.

The table below sets forth the average aggregate daily number of barrels of crude oil transported on the Partnership's crude oil pipelines in each of the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Crude oil throughput (bpd) ⁽¹⁾	252,098	295,991	287,237	286,912	304,471

⁽¹⁾ Includes lube extracted feedstocks transported from Sunoco R&M's Tulsa, Oklahoma refinery.

In each geographic region, the Partnership has major crude oil trunk line systems that ship crude oil across a number of different-sized trunk pipeline segments. The following table details the mileage and diameter of the pipelines in each major crude oil trunk line system. The Partnership transports most of the crude oil to and lube extracted feedstock from Sunoco R&M's Tulsa, Oklahoma refinery.

Major System

	Miles of Trunk Pipeline	Diameter (inches)
Oklahoma		
Enid to Tulsa	304	4,6,8,10,12
Velma to Tulsa	248	4,6,8,10
Other	129	4,6,8,12
West Texas		
Hearne to Hawley	453	6,8,12,16
Hawley to Dixon	242	8,10
Jameson and Salt Creek to Colorado City	93	6,8
Other	29	8
Texas Gulf Coast and East Texas		
Nederland to Longview	199	10,12
Nederland to Baytown	124	6,8
Seabreeze and Orange to Nederland	39	6,10
Thomas to Longview	3	8
Other	5	8

[Table of Contents](#)

Oklahoma

The Partnership owns and operates a large crude oil pipeline and gathering system in Oklahoma. This system contains 681 miles of crude oil trunk pipelines and 435 miles of crude oil gathering pipelines. The Partnership has the ability to deliver substantially all of the crude oil gathered on its Oklahoma system to Cushing. Additionally, deliveries are made on the Oklahoma system to:

- Sunoco R&M's and Sinclair's Tulsa refineries;
- Gary-Williams' Wynnewood refinery; and
- ConocoPhillips' pipeline, which is connected to its Ponca City refinery.

Revenues are generated on the Partnership's Oklahoma system from tariffs paid by shippers utilizing the Partnership's transportation services. The Partnership files these tariffs with the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and the FERC. The Partnership is the largest purchaser of crude oil from producers in the state, and is the primary shipper on its Oklahoma system. Other significant shippers are Sunoco R&M and Sinclair, which ship primarily on the Enid to Tulsa segment.

West Texas

The Partnership owns and operates approximately 817 miles of crude oil trunk pipelines and approximately 244 miles of crude oil gathering pipelines in West and North Central Texas. Deliveries are made on the West Texas system to:

- a Valero, L.P. pipeline at Dixon, Texas that delivers crude oil to Valero Energy Corporation's refinery in McKee, Texas;
- a ConocoPhillips' pipeline at South Bend, Texas that makes deliveries to ConocoPhillips's Ponca City refinery;
- a TEPPCO pipeline at South Bend that makes deliveries to Gary-Williams' Wynnewood refinery;
- the West Texas Gulf pipeline, which is 43.8 percent owned by the Partnership, at Tye and Colorado City, Texas that connects to the Mid-Valley pipeline in Longview, Texas, which is 55.3 percent owned by Sunoco and makes deliveries to Sunoco R&M's Toledo refinery and other Midwest refineries; and
- other third-party pipelines at Colorado City that deliver crude oil to Cushing.

The Partnership is the shipper of substantially all the volume on this system. Revenues are generated in West Texas from tariffs paid by shippers utilizing the Partnership's transportation services. These tariffs are filed with the Texas Railroad Commission.

Texas Gulf Coast and East Texas

The Partnership owns and operates approximately 370 miles of crude oil trunk pipelines and approximately 143 miles of crude oil gathering pipelines that extend between the Texas Gulf Coast region near Beaumont and Baytown, Texas and the East Texas field near Longview, Texas. The Partnership transports multiple grades of crude oil, including foreign imports, and other refinery and petrochemical feedstocks, such as condensate and naphtha, on these pipelines. Crude oil is received for these systems from other pipelines, the Nederland Terminal, the Partnership's trucks, third-party trucks, and the Partnership's pipeline gathering systems. This system provides access to major delivery points with interconnecting pipelines in Texas at Longview, Sour Lake, and Nederland.

Revenues are generated from tariffs paid by shippers utilizing the Partnership's transportation services. These tariffs are filed with the Texas Railroad Commission and the FERC. The Partnership is the primary shipper

[Table of Contents](#)

on the Texas Gulf Coast and East Texas system. Sunoco R&M ships on the Nederland to Longview segment, which connects with the Mid-Valley pipeline for deliveries to Sunoco R&M's Toledo refinery.

West Texas Gulf Pipe Line

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a 43.8 percent interest in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company ("West Texas Gulf"), a joint venture that owns and operates a 579-mile common carrier crude oil pipeline. Other owners of West Texas Gulf are ChevronTexaco, BP, and Citgo. The system, which is operated by ChevronTexaco, originates from the West Texas oil fields at Colorado City and the Nederland crude oil import terminals and extends to Longview, Texas where deliveries are made to several pipelines, including the Mid-Valley pipeline. Shippers on the pipeline are the Partnership, Sunoco, an affiliate of one other owner and several unaffiliated customers. The Partnership receives a quarterly cash dividend from West Texas Gulf that is commensurate with its ownership interest.

Crude Oil Acquisition and Marketing

In addition to receiving tariff revenues for transporting crude oil on the Western Pipeline System, the Partnership generates most of its revenues through its crude oil acquisition and marketing operations, primarily in Oklahoma and Texas. These activities include: purchasing crude oil at the wellhead from producers and in bulk from aggregators at major pipeline interconnections and trading locations; transporting crude oil on the Partnership's pipelines and trucks or, when necessary or cost effective, pipelines or trucks owned and operated by third parties; and marketing crude oil to refiners or resellers.

The marketing of crude oil is complex and requires detailed knowledge of the crude oil market and a familiarity with a number of factors, including types of crude oil, individual refinery demand for specific grades of crude oil, area market price structures for different grades of crude oil, location of customers, availability of transportation facilities, timing, and customers' costs (including storage). The Partnership sells crude oil to major integrated oil companies, independent refiners, including Sunoco R&M for its Tulsa and Toledo refineries, and resellers in various types of sale and exchange transactions, at market prices for terms generally ranging from one month to one year.

The Partnership mitigates most of its pricing risk on purchase contracts by selling crude oil for an equal term on a similar pricing basis. The Partnership also mitigates most of its volume risk by entering into sales agreements, generally at the same time that purchase agreements are executed, at similar volumes. As a result, volumes sold are generally equal to volumes purchased.

The Partnership enters into contracts with producers at market prices generally for a term of one year or less, with a majority of the transactions on a 30-day renewable basis. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Partnership purchased 193,176 bpd from approximately 3,400 producers and from approximately 34,000 leases, and undertook approximately 300,000 bpd of exchanges and bulk purchases during the same period.

Crude Oil Lease Purchases and Exchanges

In a typical producer's operation, crude oil flows from the wellhead to a separator where the petroleum gases are removed. After separation, the producer treats the crude oil to remove water, sand, and other contaminants and then moves it to an on-site storage tank. When the tank is full, the producer contacts the Partnership's field personnel to purchase and transport the crude oil to market. The crude oil in producers' tanks is then either delivered to the Partnership's pipeline or transported via truck to the Partnership's pipeline or a third party's pipeline. The trucking services are performed either by the Partnership's truck fleet or third-party trucking operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Partnership also enters into exchange agreements to enhance margins throughout the acquisition and marketing process. When opportunities arise to increase its margin or to acquire a grade of crude oil that more nearly matches its delivery requirement or the preferences of its refinery customers, the Partnership's physical crude oil is exchanged with third parties. Generally, the Partnership enters into exchanges to acquire crude oil of a desired quality in exchange for a common grade crude oil or to acquire crude oil at locations that are closer to the Partnership's end-markets, thereby reducing transportation costs.

The following table shows the Partnership's average daily volume for crude oil lease purchases and exchanges for the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	(in thousands of bpd)				
Lease purchases:					
Available for sale	103	137	141	157	167
Exchanged	38	36	33	32	26
Other exchanges and bulk purchases	145	234	218	215	300
Total	286	407	392	404	493

The Partnership's business practice is generally to purchase only crude oil for which there is a corresponding sale agreement for physical delivery of crude oil to a third party or a Sunoco R&M refinery. Through this process, the Partnership seeks to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between crude oil purchases and future delivery obligations. The Partnership does not acquire and hold crude oil futures contracts or enter into other commodity derivative contracts.

The following table shows the average daily sales and exchange volume of crude oil for the years presented:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	(in thousands of bpd)				
Sunoco R&M refineries:					
Toledo	26	29	28	35	26
Tulsa	63	73	71	73	80
Third parties	20	41	52	63	79
Exchanges:					
Purchased at the lease	38	36	33	32	26
Other	139	227	208	202	282
Total	286	406	392	405	493

Market Conditions

Market conditions impact the Partnership's sales and marketing strategies. During periods when demand for crude oil is weak, the market for crude oil is often in contango, meaning that the price of crude oil in a given month is less than the price of crude oil for delivery in a subsequent month. In a contango market, storing crude oil is favorable because storage owners at major trading locations can simultaneously purchase production at low current prices for storage and sell at higher prices for future delivery. When there is a higher demand than supply of crude oil in the near term, the market is backwardated, meaning that the price of crude oil in a given month exceeds the price of crude oil for delivery in a subsequent month. A backwardated market has a positive impact on marketing margins because crude oil marketers can continue to purchase crude oil from producers at a fixed premium to posted prices while selling crude oil at a higher premium to such prices.

[Table of Contents](#)

Producer Services

Crude oil purchasers who buy from producers compete on the basis of competitive prices and highly responsive services. Through its team of crude oil purchasing representatives, the Partnership maintains ongoing relationships with more than 3,400 producers. Management of the Partnership believes that its ability to offer competitive pricing and high-quality field and administrative services to producers is a key factor in its ability to maintain its volume of lease purchased crude oil and to obtain new volume. Field services include efficient gathering capabilities, availability of trucks, willingness to construct gathering pipelines where economically justified, timely pickup of crude oil from storage tanks at the lease or production point, accurate measurement of crude oil volume received, avoidance of spills, and effective management of pipeline deliveries. Accounting and other administrative services include securing division orders (statements from interest owners affirming the division of ownership in crude oil purchased by the Partnership), providing statements of the crude oil purchased each month, disbursing production proceeds to interest owners, and calculating and paying production taxes on behalf of interest owners. In order to compete effectively, records of title and division order interests must be maintained by the Partnership in an accurate and timely manner for purposes of making prompt and correct payment of crude oil production proceeds, together with the correct payment of all production taxes associated with these proceeds.

Credit with Customers

When crude oil is marketed, the Partnership must determine the amount of any line of credit to be extended to a customer. Since typical sales transactions can involve tens of thousands of barrels of crude oil, the risk of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers is a major consideration in this business. Management of the Partnership believes that its sales are made to creditworthy entities or entities with adequate credit support. Credit review and analysis are also integral to the Partnership's lease purchases. Payment for substantially all of the monthly lease production is sometimes made to the operator of the lease. The operator, in turn, is responsible for the correct payment and distribution of such production proceeds to the proper parties. In these situations, it must be determined by the Partnership whether the operator has sufficient financial resources to make such payments and distributions and to indemnify and defend the Partnership in the event a third party brings a protest, action, or complaint in connection with the ultimate distribution of production proceeds by the operator.

Crude Oil Trucking

The Partnership owns approximately 130 crude oil truck unloading facilities in Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico, the majority of which are located on the Partnership's pipeline system. Approximately 270 crude oil truck drivers are employed by the general partner of the Partnership and approximately 113 crude oil transport trucks are owned. The crude oil truck drivers pick up crude oil at production lease sites and transport it to various truck unloading facilities on the Partnership's pipelines and third-party pipelines. Third-party trucking firms are also retained to transport crude oil to certain facilities.

Pipeline and Terminal Control Operations

All of the Partnership's refined products and crude oil pipelines are operated via satellite, microwave, and frame relay communication systems from central control rooms located in Montello, Pennsylvania and Tulsa, Oklahoma. The Montello control center primarily monitors and controls the Partnership's Eastern Pipeline System, and the Tulsa control center primarily monitors and controls the Western Pipeline System. The Nederland Terminal has its own control center.

The control centers operate with System Control and Data Acquisition, or SCADA, systems that continuously monitor real time operational data, including refined product and crude oil throughput, flow rates, and pressures. In addition, the control centers monitor alarms and throughput balances. The control centers operate remote pumps, motors, engines, and valves associated with the delivery of refined products and crude oil.

[Table of Contents](#)

The computer systems are designed to enhance leak-detection capabilities, sound automatic alarms if operational conditions outside of pre-established parameters occur, and provide for remote-controlled shutdown of pump stations on the Partnership's pipelines. Pump stations and meter-measurement points along the Partnership's pipelines are linked by satellite or telephone communication systems for remote monitoring and control, which reduces the requirement for full-time on-site personnel at most of these locations.

Acquisitions

Wolverine, West Shore and Yellowstone Pipe Line Interest Acquisitions. On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired an affiliate of Union Oil Company of California, whose assets included a 31.5 percent interest in Wolverine, a joint venture that owns a 721-mile refined product pipeline; a 9.2 percent interest in West Shore, a joint venture that owns a 652-mile refined product pipeline; and a 14.0 percent interest in Yellowstone, a joint venture that owns a 656-mile refined product pipeline, for \$54 million in cash. On September 30, 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore for \$3.7 million, increasing its overall ownership percentage to 12.3 percent.

West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Interest Acquisition. On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a 43.8 percent interest in West Texas Gulf, a joint venture that owns a 579-mile crude oil pipeline, from an affiliate of Sunoco, Inc. for \$10.6 million, including the issuance of 4,515 Partnership common units with a fair value at the date of issuance of \$0.1 million.

The Partnership and its equity interests are principally engaged in the transport, terminalling and storage of refined products and crude oil and in the purchasing and sale of crude oil. Although the Partnership does not currently engage in business unrelated to the transportation or storage of crude oil and refined products and the other businesses described above, management of the Partnership may, in the future, consider and make acquisitions in other business areas.

Subsequent Event

Eagle Point Logistics Assets. On January 13, 2004, Sunoco closed on its purchase of the Eagle Point Refinery in Westville, New Jersey from El Paso Corporation. Management of the Partnership is currently negotiating with Sunoco for the acquisition of certain pipeline and other logistics assets associated with the refinery.

Competition

As a result of the physical integration with Sunoco R&M's refineries and the contractual relationship with Sunoco pursuant to the Omnibus Agreement and Sunoco R&M pursuant to the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, management of the Partnership believes that it will not face significant competition for crude oil transported to the Philadelphia, Toledo, and Tulsa refineries, or refined products transported from the Philadelphia, Marcus Hook, and Toledo refineries, particularly during the term of the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement with Sunoco R&M. For further information on this agreement, see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc." For the year ended December 31, 2003, Sunoco R&M accounted for approximately 52 percent of the Partnership's total revenues.

Eastern Pipeline System

Nearly all of the Eastern Pipeline System is directly linked to Sunoco R&M's refineries. Sunoco R&M constructed or acquired these assets as the most cost-effective means to access raw materials and distribute refined products. Generally, pipelines are the lowest cost method for long-haul, overland movement of refined products. Therefore, the most significant competitors for large volume shipments in the area served by the

[Table of Contents](#)

Eastern Pipeline System are other pipelines. Management of the Partnership believes that high capital requirements, environmental considerations, and the difficulty in acquiring rights-of-way and related permits make it difficult for other companies to build competing pipelines in areas served by the Partnership's pipelines. As a result, competing pipelines are likely to be built only in those cases in which strong market demand and attractive tariff rates support additional capacity in an area.

Although it is unlikely that a pipeline system comparable in size and scope to the Eastern Pipeline System will be built in the foreseeable future, new pipelines (including pipeline segments that connect with existing pipeline systems) could be built to effectively compete with it in particular locations.

In addition, the Partnership, including its interests in corporate joint ventures, faces competition from trucks that deliver refined products in a number of areas that it serves. While their costs may not be competitive for longer hauls or large volume shipments, trucks compete effectively for incremental and marginal volume in many areas that are served. The availability of truck transportation places a significant competitive constraint on the Partnership's ability to increase tariff rates.

Terminal Facilities

Historically, except for the Nederland Terminal, essentially all of the throughput at the Terminal Facilities segment has come from Sunoco R&M. Under the terms of the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, the Partnership will continue to receive a significant portion of the throughput at these facilities from Sunoco R&M.

The 31 inland refined product terminals compete with other independent terminals regarding price, versatility, and services provided. The competition primarily comes from integrated petroleum companies, refining and marketing companies, independent terminal companies, and distribution companies with marketing and trading activities.

The primary competitors for the Nederland Terminal are its refinery customers' docks and other terminal facilities, located in Beaumont.

The Inkster Terminal's primary competition comes from the other nearby facilities located in Michigan and Windsor, Canada.

Western Pipeline System

The Western Pipeline System, including the equity interest in West Texas Gulf pipeline, faces competition from a number of major oil companies and smaller entities. Competition among common carrier pipelines is based primarily on transportation charges, access to producing areas, and demand for the crude oil by end users. Management of the Partnership believes that high capital costs make it unlikely that other companies will build new competing crude oil pipeline systems in areas served by the Western Pipeline System. Crude oil purchasing and marketing competitive factors include price and contract flexibility, quantity and quality of services, and accessibility to end markets.

Partnership's Option to Purchase Pipelines from Sunoco

Sunoco has transferred to the Partnership most of its pipeline, terminalling, storage, and related assets that support Sunoco R&M's refinery operations. Sunoco has retained the assets described below:

- *Mid-Valley Pipeline.* A subsidiary of Sunoco owns a 55 percent interest in the Mid-Valley Pipeline Company (a 50 percent voting interest), which owns and operates a 1,087-mile crude oil pipeline from Longview, Texas to Samaria, Michigan. The Mid-Valley pipeline serves a number of refineries in the Midwest United States.

Table of Contents

- *Mesa Pipeline.* A subsidiary of Sunoco owns an undivided 6 percent interest in the Mesa pipeline, an 80-mile crude oil pipeline from Midland, Texas to Colorado City. Mesa Pipeline connects to West Texas Gulf's pipeline, which supplies crude oil to Mid-Valley.
- *Inland Pipeline.* A subsidiary of Sunoco owns a 10 percent interest in Inland Corporation, which owns and operates a 611-mile refined products pipeline from Lima and Toledo, Ohio to Canton, Cleveland, Columbus, and Dayton, Ohio. This pipeline transports refined products for Sunoco R&M from its Toledo, Ohio refinery and for the other owners.

Sunoco has granted the Partnership a ten-year option, which expires in 2012, to purchase its interest in any of the preceding assets for fair market value at the date of purchase. Sunoco's interests in these assets are subject to agreements with the other interest owners that include, among other things, consent requirements and rights of first refusal that may be triggered upon certain transfers. The exercise of the option with respect to any of these assets is subject to the terms and conditions of those agreements, which may or may not require consents or trigger rights of first refusal, depending on the facts and circumstances existing at the time of the option exercise. The Partnership has no current intention to purchase the retained assets noted above.

Sunoco has also granted the Partnership a ten-year option, which expires in 2012, to purchase an idled 370-mile 6-inch refined product pipeline from Icedale, Pennsylvania to Cleveland, Ohio for fair market value at the date of purchase. The Partnership has no current intention to purchase this pipeline.

Both of the ten-year option agreements described above are contained in the Omnibus Agreement that was entered into with Sunoco, Sunoco R&M and the general partner. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc." In accordance with this agreement, if the Partnership decides to exercise the option to purchase any of the assets described above, written notice must be provided to Sunoco setting forth the fair market value the Partnership proposes to pay for the asset. If Sunoco does not agree with the proposed fair market value, the Partnership and Sunoco will appoint a mutually agreed-upon, nationally recognized investment banking firm to determine the fair market value of the asset. Once the investment bank submits its valuation of the asset, the Partnership will have the right, but not the obligation, to purchase the asset at the price determined by the investment banking firm.

Safety Regulation

A majority of the Partnership's pipelines are subject to United States Department of Transportation ("DOT") regulations under the Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act of 1979 ("HLPESA"), and to regulation under comparable state statutes relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of pipeline facilities. In addition, the Partnership must permit access to and copying of records and must prepare certain reports and provide information required by the Secretary of Transportation.

New DOT regulations, adopted in December 2000, require operators of hazardous liquid interstate pipelines to develop and follow a program to assess the integrity of all pipeline segments that could affect designated "high consequence areas", including high population areas, drinking water and ecological resource areas that are unusually sensitive to environmental damage from a pipeline release, and commercially navigable waterways. The Partnership has prepared its own written Risk Based Integrity Management Program, identified the line segments that could impact high consequence areas and developed Baseline Assessment Plans. Management believes it is on track to complete the assessment of the highest risk 50 percent of line segments by September 30, 2004, with full assessment of the remaining segments by March 31, 2008, the timeframe prescribed by the regulations.

The Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002, effective December 17, 2002, mandates, among other things, the delivery to the DOT of data that can be used in a national pipeline mapping system, the implementation of operator examinations and other qualification programs, periodic pipeline safety inspections, and increased civil

[Table of Contents](#)

penalties for violators. It also includes whistleblower protection provisions for employees who reveal safety violations or operational flaws.

Management of the Partnership believes that its pipeline operations are in substantial compliance with applicable DOT regulations and comparable state requirements. However, an increase in expenditures may be needed in the future to comply with higher industry and regulatory safety standards. Such expenditures cannot be estimated accurately at this time, but management of the Partnership does not believe they would likely have a material adverse effect relative to its financial position.

Employee Safety

The Partnership is subject to the requirements of the United States Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act (“OSHA”) and comparable state statutes that regulate worker health and safety. Management believes the Partnership is in substantial compliance with Federal OSHA requirements and comparable state statutes, including general industry standards, recordkeeping requirements and monitoring of occupational exposure to hazardous substances.

Environmental Regulation

General

The Partnership’s operations are subject to complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of health and the environment, including laws and regulations which govern the handling and release of crude oil and other liquid hydrocarbon materials. Violations of environmental laws or regulations can result in the imposition of significant administrative, civil and criminal fines and penalties and, in some instances, injunctions banning or delaying certain activities. Management of the Partnership believes it is in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. However, these laws and regulations are subject to frequent change at the federal, state and local levels, and the clear trend is to place increasingly stringent limitations on activities that may affect the environment.

There are also risks of accidental releases into the environment associated with the Partnership’s operations, such as releases of crude oil or hazardous substances from its pipelines or storage facilities. To the extent not insured, such accidental releases could subject the Partnership to substantial liabilities arising from environmental cleanup and restoration costs, claims made by neighboring landowners and other third parties for personal injury and property damage, and fines or penalties for any related violations of environmental laws or regulations.

In connection with the February 2002 IPO, and the contribution of pipeline and terminalling assets to the Partnership by affiliates of Sunoco, Inc., Sunoco agreed to indemnify the Partnership for 100 percent of all losses from environmental liabilities related to the transferred assets arising prior to, and asserted within 21 years of, February 8, 2002. There is no monetary cap on this indemnification from Sunoco. Sunoco’s share of liability for claims asserted thereafter will decrease by 10 percent each year through the thirtieth year following the February 8, 2002 date. Any remediation liabilities not covered by this indemnity will be the Partnership’s responsibility. The Partnership has agreed to indemnify Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates for events and conditions associated with the operation of the transferred assets occurring after February 8, 2002, and for environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to these assets to the extent Sunoco, Inc. is not required to indemnify the Partnership. Total future costs for environmental remediation activities will depend upon, among other things the extent of impact at each site, the timing and nature of required remedial actions, the technology available, and the determination of the Partnership’s liability at multi-party sites. As of December 31, 2003, the Partnership has not incurred any material environmental liabilities that were not covered by the environmental indemnification.

Table of Contents

Air Emissions

The Partnership's operations are subject to the Clean Air Act and comparable state and local statutes. Amendments to the Clean Air Act enacted in late 1990 as well as recent or soon to be adopted changes to state implementation plans for controlling air emissions in regional, non-attainment areas require or will require most industrial operations in the United States to incur capital expenditures in order to meet air emission control standards developed by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and state environmental agencies. As a result of these amendments, the Partnership's facilities that emit volatile organic compounds or nitrogen oxides are subject to increasingly stringent regulations, including requirements that some sources install maximum or reasonably available control technology. In addition, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments established a new operating permit for major sources, which applies to some of the Partnership's facilities. The Partnership will be required to incur certain capital expenditures in the next several years for air pollution control equipment in connection with maintaining or obtaining permits and approvals addressing air emission related issues. Although no assurances can be given, management of the Partnership believes implementation of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

The Partnership's customers, including Sunoco R&M, are also subject to, and affected by, environmental regulations. Since the late 1990s, the EPA has undertaken significant enforcement initiatives under authority of the Clean Air Act. These enforcement initiatives have been targeted at industries that have large manufacturing facilities and that are significant sources of emissions, such as the refining, paper and pulp, and electric power generating industries. As part of these initiatives, Sunoco R&M could be required to make significant capital expenditures, operate these refineries at reduced levels and pay significant penalties.

It is uncertain what Sunoco, Inc.'s or Sunoco R&M's responses to these emerging issues will be. Those responses could reduce Sunoco R&M's obligations under the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, thereby reducing the Partnership's throughput in its pipelines and terminals, cash flow, and ability to make distributions or satisfy its debt obligations.

Hazardous Substances and Waste

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, referred to as CERCLA and also known as Superfund, and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons that contributed to the release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the site where the release occurred and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances found at the site. Under CERCLA, these persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources, and for the costs of certain health studies. CERCLA also authorizes the EPA and, in some instances, third parties to act in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances or other pollutants released into the environment. In the course of ordinary operations, the Partnership may generate waste that falls within CERCLA's definition of a "hazardous substance" and, as a result, may be jointly and severally liable under CERCLA for all or part of the costs required to clean up sites at which these hazardous substances have been released into the environment. Costs for any such remedial actions, as well as any related claims, could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's maintenance capital expenditures and operating expenses to the extent not all are covered by the indemnity from Sunoco. For more information, please see "Environmental Remediation".

The Partnership also generates solid wastes, including hazardous wastes that are subject to the requirements of the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, referred to as RCRA, and comparable state statutes. From time to time, the EPA considers the adoption of stricter disposal standards for non-hazardous wastes,

Table of Contents

including crude oil and gas wastes. The Partnership is not currently required to comply with a substantial portion of the RCRA requirements because its operations generate minimal quantities of hazardous wastes. However, it is possible that additional wastes, which could include wastes currently generated during the Partnership's operating activities, will in the future be designated as "hazardous wastes." Hazardous wastes are subject to more rigorous and costly disposal requirements than are non-hazardous wastes. Any changes in the regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's maintenance capital expenditures and operating expenses.

The Partnership currently owns or leases, and the Partnership's predecessor has in the past owned or leased, properties where hydrocarbons are being or have been handled for many years. Although operating and disposal practices have been utilized that were standard in the industry at the time, hydrocarbons or other waste may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties owned or leased by the Partnership or on or under other locations where these wastes have been taken for disposal. In addition, many of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of hydrocarbons or other wastes was not under the Partnership's control. These properties and wastes disposed thereon may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA, and analogous state laws. Under these laws, the Partnership could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes (including wastes disposed of or released by prior owners or operators), to clean up contaminated property (including contaminated groundwater), or to perform remedial operations to prevent future contamination.

The Partnership has not been identified by any state or federal agency as a potentially responsible party in connection with the transport and/or disposal of any waste products to third party disposal sites.

Water

The Partnership's operations can result in the discharge of regulated substances, including crude oil. The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, also known as the Clean Water Act, and analogous state laws impose restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of regulated substances into state waters or waters of the United States. The discharge of regulated substances into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accord with the terms of a permit issued by applicable federal or state authorities. The Oil Pollution Act, enacted in 1990, amends provisions of the Clean Water Act as they pertain to prevention and response to oil releases.

The Oil Pollution Act subjects owners of covered facilities to strict, joint, and potentially unlimited liability for removal costs and other consequences of a release of oil, where the release is into navigable waters, along shorelines or in the exclusive economic zone of the United States. Spill prevention control and countermeasure requirements of the Clean Water Act and some state laws require diking and similar structures to help prevent the impact on navigable waters in the event of a release. The Office of Pipeline Safety of the DOT, the EPA, or various state regulatory agencies have approved the Partnership's oil spill emergency response plans, and management of the Partnership believes it is in substantial compliance with these laws.

In addition, some states maintain groundwater protection programs that require permits for discharges or operations that may impact groundwater conditions. Management of the Partnership believes that compliance with existing permits and compliance with foreseeable new permit requirements will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

Endangered Species Act

The Endangered Species Act restricts activities that may affect endangered species or their habitats. While some of the Partnership's facilities are in areas that may be designated as a habitat for endangered species, management believes that the Partnership is in substantial compliance with the Endangered Species Act. However, the discovery of previously unidentified endangered species could cause the Partnership to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected area.

Environmental Remediation

Contamination resulting from releases of refined products and crude oil is not unusual within the petroleum pipeline industry. Historic releases along the Partnership's pipelines, gathering systems, and terminals as a result of past operations have resulted in impacts to the environment, including soils and groundwater. Site conditions, including soils and groundwater, are being evaluated at a number of properties where operations may have resulted in releases of hydrocarbons and other wastes. Sunoco has agreed to indemnify the Partnership from environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets transferred to the extent such liabilities exist or arise from operation of these assets prior to the closing of the February 2002 IPO and are asserted within 30 years after the closing of the IPO. This indemnity will cover the costs associated with performance of the assessment, monitoring, and remediation programs, as well as any related claims and penalties. See "Environmental Regulation—General."

The Partnership has experienced several petroleum releases for which it is not covered by an indemnity from Sunoco, Inc., and is therefore the responsible party and needs to perform the necessary assessment, remediation, and/or monitoring activities. Remediation programs may be mandated at four sites, one in Texas, two in Pennsylvania, and one in Michigan. Management of the Partnership estimates that the total aggregate cost of performing the currently anticipated assessment, monitoring, and remediation activities at these sites is not material to its financial position at December 31, 2003. The Partnership has implemented an extensive inspection program to prevent releases of refined products or crude oil into the environment from its pipelines, gathering systems, and terminals. Any damages and liabilities incurred due to future environmental releases from the Partnership's assets have the potential to substantially affect its business.

Rate Regulation

General Interstate Regulation. Interstate common carrier pipeline operations are subject to rate regulation by the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act, the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and rules and orders promulgated pursuant thereto. The Interstate Commerce Act requires that tariff rates for petroleum pipelines be "just and reasonable" and not unduly discriminatory. This statute also permits interested persons to challenge proposed new or changed rates and authorizes the FERC to suspend the effectiveness of such rates for up to seven months and to investigate such rates. If, upon completion of an investigation, the FERC finds that the new or changed rate is unlawful, it is authorized to require the carrier to refund revenues in excess of the prior tariff during the term of the investigation. The FERC also may investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates that are already in effect and may order a carrier to change its rates prospectively. Upon an appropriate showing, a shipper may obtain reparations for damages sustained for a period of up to two years prior to the filing of a complaint.

Index-Based Rates and Other Subsequent Developments. In October 1992, Congress passed the Energy Policy Act of 1992. The Energy Policy Act deemed interstate petroleum pipeline rates in effect during the 365-day period preceding enactment that had not been subject to complaint, protest, or investigation during such period, to be just and reasonable under the Interstate Commerce Act. All of the Partnership's interstate pipeline rates were deemed just and reasonable, and so "grandfathered" under the Energy Policy Act. The Energy Policy Act also limited the circumstances under which the FERC may change grandfathered rates in response to complaints. In order to challenge grandfathered rates, a complainant must show that it was contractually barred from challenging the rate prior to enactment of the Energy Policy Act (and filed the complaint within 30 days of the expiration of the contractual bar), that the nature of the service underlying the rate has substantially changed, or that the rate is unduly discriminatory or preferential.

The Energy Policy Act further required the FERC to issue rules establishing a simplified and generally applicable ratemaking methodology for interstate petroleum pipelines and to streamline procedures in petroleum pipeline proceedings. FERC Order No. 561, effective January 1, 1995, adopted a new indexed rate methodology for interstate petroleum pipelines. Under the resulting regulations, petroleum pipelines were able to change their

[Table of Contents](#)

rates within prescribed ceiling levels that were tied to changes in the Producer Price Index for Finished Goods, or PPI, minus one percent. Rate increases made under the index are subject to protest, but the scope of the protest proceeding will be limited to an inquiry into whether the portion of the rate increase resulting from application of the index substantially exceeds the pipeline's increase in costs. The indexing methodology applies to any existing rate, whether grandfathered or established after enactment of the Energy Policy Act. As a result of certain litigation, on February 24, 2003, the FERC ordered that the appropriate index for the current period should be the PPI without the minus one percent adjustment. It further ruled that pipelines may calculate the current ceiling rate using the PPI as though it had been the index in effect since July 1, 2001.

Under Order No. 561, as a general rule, pipelines must use the indexing methodology to change their rates. However, the FERC retained cost-of-service ratemaking, market-based rates, and settlement as alternatives to the indexing approach in certain specified circumstances. A pipeline may follow the cost-of-service approach when seeking to increase its rates above index levels (or when seeking to avoid lowering rates to index levels), so long as there is a substantial difference between the actual cost increases (or decreases) experienced by the pipeline and the rate resulting from application of the index. FERC Opinion No. 435, issued in January 1999, affirmed the determination (in the FERC's earlier Opinion No. 397) that there should not be a corporate income tax allowance built into a petroleum pipeline's rates for income attributable to noncorporate partners. In subsequent decisions on rehearing, the FERC further defined the scope of the income tax allowance for publicly traded limited partnerships, and resolved a number of other cost of service issues.

A pipeline can charge market-based rates if it establishes that it lacks significant market power in the affected markets. The opportunity to charge market-based rates means that the pipeline may charge prices established by competitive market forces. FERC Order No. 572, issued October 25, 1994, established procedural rules governing petroleum pipelines' applications for a finding that the pipeline lacks significant market power in the relevant market. In addition, a pipeline can establish rates under settlement, resulting from the unanimous agreement of the pipeline and all the shippers using the service to which the rate applies. The FERC, however, will entertain a challenge to settlement rates that alleges the same circumstances required to challenge an indexed rate.

The FERC generally has not investigated interstate rates on its own initiative when those rates, like the Partnership's, have not been the subject of a protest or a complaint by a shipper. However, the FERC could investigate the Partnership's rates at the urging of a third party if the third party is either a current shipper or has a substantial economic interest in the tariff rate level. Although no assurance can be given that the tariffs charged by the Partnership ultimately will be upheld if challenged, management believes that the tariffs now in effect for the Partnership's pipelines are within the maximum rates allowed under current FERC guidelines.

Sunoco R&M and its subsidiaries are the only current shippers on many of the pipelines. Sunoco R&M has agreed not to challenge, cause others to challenge, or assist others in challenging, the tariff rates for the term of the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement. Since most of the pipelines are common carrier pipelines, the Partnership may be required to accept new shippers who wish to transport on the pipelines. It is possible that any new shippers, current shippers, or other interested parties, may decide to challenge the tariff rates. If any rate challenge or challenges were successful, revenues, cash flows, and the cash available for distribution could be materially reduced.

Intrastate Regulation. Some of the Partnership's pipeline operations are subject to regulation by the Texas Railroad Commission, the PA PUC, the Ohio Public Utility Commission, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and the Montana Public Service Commission. The applicable state statutes require that pipeline rates be nondiscriminatory and provide no more than a fair return on the aggregate value of the pipeline property used to render services. State commissions generally have not been aggressive in regulating common carrier pipelines or investigating rates or practices of petroleum pipelines in the absence of shipper complaints. Complaints to state agencies have been infrequent and are usually resolved informally. Although management cannot be certain that the Partnership's intrastate rates ultimately would be upheld if challenged, it believes that, given this history, the tariffs now in effect are not likely to be challenged or, if challenged, are not likely to be ordered to be reduced.

Title to Properties

Substantially all of the Partnership's pipelines were constructed on rights-of-way granted by the apparent record owners of the property and in some instances these rights-of-way are revocable at the election of the grantor. Several rights-of-way for the pipelines and other real property assets are shared with other pipelines and other assets owned by affiliates of Sunoco, Inc. and by third parties. In many instances, lands over which rights-of-way have been obtained are subject to prior liens that have not been subordinated to the right-of-way grants. The Partnership has obtained permits from public authorities to cross over or under, or to lay facilities in or along, watercourses, county roads, municipal streets, and state highways and, in some instances, these permits are revocable at the election of the grantor. The Partnership has also obtained permits from railroad companies to cross over or under lands or rights-of-way, many of which are also revocable at the grantor's election. In some cases, property for pipeline purposes was purchased in fee. In some states and under some circumstances, the Partnership has the right of eminent domain to acquire rights-of-way and lands necessary for the common carrier pipelines. The previous owners of the applicable pipelines may not have commenced or concluded eminent domain proceedings for some rights-of-way.

Some of the leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits, and licenses transferred to the Partnership upon the closing of the February 2002 IPO required the consent of the grantor to transfer these rights, which in some instances is a governmental entity. The Partnership has obtained or is in the process of obtaining third-party consents, permits, and authorizations sufficient for the transfer of the assets necessary to operate the business in all material respects. With respect to any consents, permits, or authorizations that have not been obtained, the failure to obtain them will not have a material adverse effect on the operation of the business.

The Partnership has satisfactory title to all of the assets contributed to it in connection with the February 2002 IPO, or is entitled to indemnification from Sunoco, Inc. under the Omnibus Agreement for title defects to these assets and for failures to obtain certain consents and permits necessary to conduct its business that arise within ten years after the closing of the February 2002 IPO. Record title to some of the assets may continue to be held by affiliates of Sunoco, Inc. until the Partnership has made the appropriate filings in the jurisdictions in which such assets are located and obtained any consents and approvals that were not obtained prior to the closing of the February 2002 IPO. Although title to these properties is subject to encumbrances in some cases, such as customary interests generally retained in connection with acquisition of real property, liens that can be imposed in some jurisdictions for government-initiated action to clean up environmental contamination, liens for current taxes and other burdens, and easements, restrictions, and other encumbrances to which the underlying properties were subject at the time of acquisition by the predecessor or the Partnership, none of these burdens should materially detract from the value of these properties or from the Partnership's interest in these properties or should materially interfere with their use in the operation of its business.

Employees

To carry out the Partnership's operations, the general partner and its affiliates employed approximately 1,150 people at December 31, 2003 who provide direct support to the operations. Labor unions or associations represent approximately 650 of these employees at December 31, 2003. The general partner considers its employee relations to be good. The Partnership has no employees.

(d) Financial Information about Geographical Areas

The Partnership has no significant amount of revenue or segment profit or loss attributable to international activities.

(e) Available Information

The Partnership makes available, free of charge on its website, www.sunocologistics.com, all materials that it files electronically with the Securities Exchange Commission, including its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

See Item 1.(c) for a description of the locations and general character of the Partnership's material properties.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are certain legal and administrative proceedings arising prior to the February 2002 IPO pending against the Partnership's Sunoco-affiliated predecessors and the Partnership (as successor to certain liabilities of those predecessors). Although the ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some of them may be resolved unfavorably. Sunoco, Inc. has agreed to indemnify the Partnership for any losses it may suffer as a result of these pending legal actions.

There are certain other pending legal proceedings related to matters arising after the February 2002 IPO that are not indemnified by Sunoco, Inc. Management believes that any liabilities that may arise from these legal proceedings will not be material to the Partnership's financial position at December 31, 2003.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of the security holders, through solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during fiscal 2003.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SECURITYHOLDER MATTERS**

The Partnership's common units were listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "SXL" beginning on February 5, 2002. Prior to February 5, 2002, the Partnership's equity securities were not traded on any public trading market. At the close of business on February 28, 2004, there were 70 holders of record of the Partnership's common units. These holders of record included the general partner with 5,638,154 common units registered in its name, and Cede & Co. with 5,682,634 common units (representing approximately 5,800 beneficial owners) registered to it.

The high and low closing sales price ranges (composite transactions) and distributions declared by quarter for 2002 and 2003 since the close of the IPO on February 8, 2002 were as follows:

	2002			2003		
	Unit Price		Declared Distributions ⁽¹⁾	Unit Price		Declared Distributions ⁽¹⁾
	High	Low		High	Low	
1 st	\$23.44	\$20.49	\$ 0.2600	\$25.95	\$22.85	\$ 0.4875
2 nd	\$24.00	\$20.95	\$ 0.4500	\$30.75	\$26.20	\$ 0.5000
3 rd	\$23.25	\$18.85	\$ 0.4500	\$32.45	\$28.35	\$ 0.5125
4 th	\$24.07	\$21.10	\$ 0.4875	\$37.11	\$30.80	\$ 0.5500

⁽¹⁾ Distributions were declared and paid within 45 days following the close of each quarter. The distribution for the first quarter of 2002 represents a pro-rated distribution of \$0.45 per common and subordinated unit for the period from February 8, 2002 through March 31, 2002.

The Partnership also has 11,383,639 subordinated units issued, all of which are held by the general partner and for which there is no established public trading market.

The Partnership distributes all cash on hand within 45 days after the end of each quarter, less reserves established by the general partner in its discretion. This is defined as "available cash" in the partnership agreement. The general partner has broad discretion to establish cash reserves that it determines are necessary or appropriate to properly conduct the Partnership's business.

The Partnership will make minimum quarterly distributions of \$0.45 per common unit, to the extent there is sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to the general partner.

During the subordination period the Partnership will, in general, pay cash distributions each quarter in the following manner:

- First, 98 percent to the holders of common units and 2 percent to the general partner, until each common unit has received a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.45, plus any arrearages from prior quarters;
- Second, 98 percent to the holders of subordinated units and 2 percent to the general partner, until each subordinated unit has received a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.45; and
- Thereafter, in the manner described in the table below.

The subordination period is generally defined as the period that ends on the first day of any quarter beginning after December 31, 2006 if (1) the Partnership has distributed at least the minimum quarterly distribution on all outstanding units with respect to each of the immediately preceding three consecutive, non-overlapping four quarter periods; and (2) the adjusted operating surplus, as defined in the partnership agreement,

[Table of Contents](#)

during such periods equals or exceeds the amount that would have been sufficient to enable the Partnership to distribute the minimum quarterly distribution on all outstanding units on a fully diluted basis and the related distribution on the 2 percent general partner interest during those periods. The Partnership has met the minimum quarterly distribution requirements on all outstanding units for each of the four quarter periods in 2002 and 2003. In addition, one-quarter of the subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis after December 31, 2004, and one-quarter of the subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis after December 31, 2005, if the Partnership meets the tests set forth in the partnership agreement. If the subordination period ends, the rights of the holders of subordinated units will no longer be subordinated to the rights of the holders of common units and the subordinated units may be converted into common units.

After the subordination period, the Partnership will, in general, pay cash distributions each quarter in the following manner:

- First, 98 percent to all unitholders, pro rata, and 2 percent to the general partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and
- Thereafter, as described in the paragraph and table below.

As presented in the table below, if cash distributions exceed \$0.50 per unit in a quarter, the general partner will receive increasing percentages, up to 50 percent, of the cash distributed in excess of that amount. These distributions are referred to as “incentive distributions”. The amounts shown in the table below under “Percentage of Distributions” are the percentage interests of the general partner and the unitholders in any available cash from operating surplus that is distributed up to and including the corresponding amount in the column “Quarterly Distribution Amount per Unit,” until the available cash that is distributed reaches the next target distribution level, if any. The percentage interests shown for the unitholders and the general partner for the minimum quarterly distribution are also applicable to quarterly distribution amounts that are less than the minimum quarterly distribution.

Quarterly Distribution Amount per Unit	Percentage of Distributions	
	Unitholders	General Partner
Up to minimum quarterly distribution (\$0.45)	98%	2%
Up to \$0.50	98%	2%
Above \$0.50 up to \$0.575	85%	15%
Above \$0.575 up to \$0.70	75%	25%
Above \$0.70	50%	50%

There is no guarantee that the Partnership will pay the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units in any quarter, and the Partnership will be prohibited from making any distributions to unitholders if it would cause an event of default, or an event of default is existing, under the credit facility or the senior notes (Please see Item 7. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources”).

For equity compensation plan information, see Item 12. “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Securityholder Information”.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

On February 8, 2002, the Partnership completed an IPO and related transactions whereby it became the successor to Sunoco Logistics (Predecessor) (“Predecessor”), which consisted of a substantial portion of the wholly-owned logistics operations of Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries. The selected financial and operating data presented is derived from the audited financial statements of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., which reflect the Predecessor for 1999, 2000 and 2001, the Partnership and Predecessor for 2002, and the Partnership for 2003.

[Table of Contents](#)

For the periods presented, Sunoco R&M was the primary or exclusive user of the refined product terminals, the Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex, and the Marcus Hook Tank Farm. Prior to January 1, 2002, most of the terminalling and throughput services provided by Sunoco Logistics (Predecessor) for Sunoco R&M's refining and marketing operations were at fees that enabled the recovery of costs, but not to generate any operating income. Accordingly, historical earnings before interest expense, income tax expense, and depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") for those assets was equal to their depreciation and amortization. Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. began charging Sunoco R&M fees for these services comparable to those charged in arm's length, third-party transactions, generally effective January 1, 2002, using the terms included in a pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement entered into at the closing of the February 2002 IPO.

Maintenance capital expenditures are capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of the assets and to extend their useful lives. Expansion capital expenditures are capital expenditures made to expand the existing operating capacity of the assets, whether through construction or acquisition. The Partnership treats repair and maintenance expenditures that do not extend the useful life of existing assets as operating expenses as incurred.

Throughput is the total number of barrels per day ("bpd") transported on a pipeline system or through a terminal. Total shipments represent the total average daily pipeline throughput multiplied by the number of miles of pipeline through which each barrel has been shipped. Management of the Partnership believes that total shipments is a better performance indicator for the Eastern Pipeline System than throughput as certain refined product pipelines, including interrefinery and transfer pipelines, transport large volumes over short distances and generate minimal revenues.

The following table should be read together with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, the financial statements and the accompanying notes of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data". The table should be read together with Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations".

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.

	Predecessor			Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999 ⁽¹⁾	2000	2001	2002 ⁽²⁾	2003 ⁽³⁾
(in thousands, except per unit and operating data)					
Income Statement Data:					
Revenues:					
Sales and other operating revenue:					
Affiliates	\$ 764,133	\$ 1,301,079	\$ 1,067,182	\$ 1,147,721	\$ 1,383,090
Unaffiliated customers	210,069	507,532	545,822	676,307	1,274,383
Other income ⁽⁴⁾	6,133	5,574	4,774	6,904	16,730
Total revenues	980,335	1,814,185	1,617,778	1,830,932	2,674,203
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	866,610	1,699,541	1,503,156	1,690,896	2,519,160
Depreciation and amortization	19,911	20,654	25,325	31,334	27,157
Selling, general and administrative expenses...	27,461	34,683	35,956	43,073	48,412
Total costs and expenses	913,982	1,754,878	1,564,437	1,765,303	2,594,729
Operating income	66,353	59,307	53,341	65,629	79,474
Net interest cost and debt expense	6,487	10,304	10,980	17,299	20,040
Income before income tax expense	59,866	49,003	42,361	48,330	59,434
Income tax expense	22,488	18,483	15,594	1,555	—
Net Income	\$ 37,378	\$ 30,520	\$ 26,767	\$ 46,775	\$ 59,434
Net Income per limited partner unit:					
Basic				\$ 1.87 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2.55
Diluted				\$ 1.86 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 2.53
Cash distributions per unit to limited partners: ⁽⁶⁾					
Paid				\$ 1.16	\$ 1.9875
Declared				\$ 1.6475	\$ 2.05
Cash Flow Data:					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 125,165	\$ 79,116	\$ 27,238	\$ 2,211	\$ 97,212
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (75,120)	\$ (77,292)	\$ (73,079)	\$ (85,273)	\$ (39,008)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	\$ (50,045)	\$ (1,824)	\$ 45,841	\$ 116,902	\$ (41,963)
Capital expenditures:					
Maintenance	\$ 32,312	\$ 39,067	\$ 53,628	\$ 27,934	\$ 30,850
Expansion	49,556 ⁽¹⁾	18,854	19,055	77,439 ⁽²⁾	\$ 10,226 ⁽³⁾
Total capital expenditures	\$ 81,868⁽¹⁾	\$ 57,921	\$ 72,683	\$ 105,373⁽²⁾	\$ 41,076⁽³⁾
EBITDA ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 86,264	\$ 79,961	\$ 78,666	\$ 96,963	\$ 106,631
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):					
Net properties, plants and equipment	\$ 481,967	\$ 518,605	\$ 566,359	\$ 573,514	\$ 583,164
Total assets	\$ 712,149	\$ 845,956	\$ 789,201	\$ 1,093,880	\$ 1,179,998
Total debt	\$ 95,287	\$ 190,043	\$ 144,781	\$ 317,445	\$ 313,136
Total Partners' Capital/Net parent investment	\$ 223,083	\$ 157,023	\$ 274,893	\$ 382,350	\$ 400,871
Operating Data (bpd):					
Eastern Pipeline System total shipments(in thousands of barrel miles per day) ⁽⁸⁾	56,136	54,911	55,198	56,768	55,324
Terminal Facilities Throughput (bpd)	1,245,189	1,281,231	1,156,927	1,182,784	1,204,394
Western Pipeline System throughput ⁽⁸⁾ (bpd)	252,098	295,991	287,237	286,912	304,471
Crude oil purchases at wellhead (bpd)	140,779	172,839	174,182	189,277	193,176

Table of Contents

- (1) On October 1, 1999, the Partnership acquired the crude oil transportation and marketing operations of Pride Companies, L.P. ("Pride") for \$29.6 million in cash and the assumption of \$5.3 million of debt. The purchase price of this acquisition has been included within the expansion capital expenditures.
- (2) On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a company whose assets included equity interests in three products pipeline companies, consisting of a 31.5 percent interest in Wolverine Pipe Line Company, a 9.2 percent interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company, and a 14.0 percent interest in Yellowstone Pipe Line Company for \$54.0 million. On November 15, 2002, the Partnership also acquired a 43.8 percent equity interest in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company for \$10.6 million. The aggregate purchase price for these acquisitions have been included within the 2002 expansion capital expenditures. The equity income from these acquisitions has been included in the Partnership's statements of income from the dates of their acquisition.
- (3) On September 30, 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent ownership interest in West Shore for \$3.7 million, increasing its overall ownership percentage to 12.3 percent. The purchase price for this acquisition has been included within the 2003 expansion capital expenditures, and the equity income has been included in the Partnership's statements of income from the date of acquisition.
- (4) Includes equity income from the investments in the following joint ventures: 9.4 percent in Explorer Pipeline Company and, from November 15, 2002, 31.5 percent in Wolverine Pipe Line Company, 9.2 percent in West Shore Pipe Line Company, 14.0 percent in Yellowstone Pipe Line Company, and 43.8 percent in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company. On September 30, 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent ownership interest in West Shore, increasing the Partnership's ownership percentage to 12.3 percent. Equity income from this investment has been included at this increased ownership percentage from this date forward.
- (5) Based on the portion of net income for 2002 applicable to the period from February 8, 2002 (the date of the IPO) through December 31, 2002, after deduction of the general partner's interest in net income. Net income for the period from January 1, 2002 to February 7, 2002 totaled \$3.4 million.
- (6) Cash distributions paid per unit to limited partners include payments made per unit during the period stated. Cash distributions declared per unit to limited partners include distributions declared per unit related to the quarters within the period stated. Declared distributions were paid within 45 days following the close of each quarter. The distributions for 2002 include a \$0.26 per limited partner unit distribution for the first quarter, which represents the pro-rata portion of the \$0.45 minimum quarterly distribution for the 52-day period from the date of the IPO, February 8, 2002, through March 31, 2002.
- (7) EBITDA is defined in the Partnership's \$250 million revolving credit facility ("Credit Facility") as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. The following table reconciles the difference between net income, as determined under United States generally accepted accounting principles, and EBITDA (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Net income	\$37,378	\$30,520	\$26,767	\$46,775	\$ 59,434
Interest expense, net	6,487	10,304	10,980	17,299	20,040
Provision for income taxes	22,488	18,483	15,594	1,555	—
Depreciation and amortization	19,911	20,654	25,325	31,334	27,157
EBITDA	\$86,264	\$79,961	\$78,666	\$96,963	\$106,631

Management of the Partnership believes EBITDA information enhances an investor's understanding of a business's ability to satisfy principal and interest obligations with respect to its indebtedness and to utilize cash for other purposes, including the payment of distributions. In addition, EBITDA is used as a measure in the Credit Facility in determining the Partnership's compliance with certain covenants. However, there may be contractual, legal, economic or other reasons which may prevent the Partnership from satisfying principal and interest obligations with respect to indebtedness and may require the Partnership to allocate funds for other purposes. EBITDA does not represent and should not be considered as an alternative to net income, income from operations or cash flows from operating activities as determined under United States generally accepted accounting principles and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other businesses.

- (8) Excludes amounts attributable to the equity ownership interests in corporate joint ventures.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of Sunoco Logistics (Predecessor) and the financial statements of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. Among other things, those financial statements include more detailed information regarding the basis of presentation for the following information.

Introduction

The Partnership is a Delaware limited partnership formed on October 15, 2001 to acquire, own, and operate, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, refined product pipelines, terminalling and storage assets, crude oil pipelines, and crude oil acquisition and marketing assets located in the Northeast, Midwest and South Central United States. Most of these assets support Sunoco R&M, a wholly-owned refining and marketing subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc.

General

The Partnership conducts its business through three segments: the Eastern Pipeline System, the Terminal Facilities, and the Western Pipeline System. The Eastern Pipeline System primarily transports refined products in the Northeast and Midwest United States largely for three of Sunoco R&M's refineries and transports crude oil in Ohio and Michigan. This system also includes the interrefinery pipeline between Sunoco R&M's Marcus Hook and Philadelphia refineries and ownership interests in four refined product pipeline joint ventures located in the West and Midwest United States: 9.4 percent in Explorer Pipeline Company ("Explorer"), 31.5 percent in Wolverine Pipe Line Company ("Wolverine"), 12.3 percent in West Shore Pipe Line Company ("West Shore"), and 14.0 percent in Yellowstone Pipe Line Company ("Yellowstone"). The interests in Wolverine and Yellowstone and a 9.2 percent interest in West Shore were acquired on November 15, 2002 for a purchase price of \$54.0 million. On September 30, 2003, an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore was acquired for \$3.7 million, increasing the Partnership's overall ownership interest to 12.3 percent. The Terminal Facilities business includes a network of 31 refined product terminals in the Northeast and Midwest United States that distribute products primarily to Sunoco R&M's retail outlets, the Nederland marine crude oil terminal on the Texas Gulf Coast, and a liquefied petroleum gas ("LPG") storage facility in the Midwest. The Terminal Facilities business also owns and operates refinery-related assets, including one inland and two marine crude oil terminals and related pipelines that supply all of the crude oil processed by Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia refinery and a refined product storage terminal used by Sunoco R&M's Marcus Hook refinery. The Western Pipeline System owns and operates crude oil trunk and gathering pipelines and purchases and markets crude oil primarily in Oklahoma and Texas for Sunoco R&M's Tulsa, Oklahoma and Toledo, Ohio refineries and for other customers. The Western Pipeline System also has a 43.8 percent equity ownership interest in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company ("West Texas Gulf"), a joint venture that owns a crude oil pipeline in Texas. The interest in West Texas Gulf was acquired on November 15, 2002 for \$10.6 million.

Eastern Pipeline System

Revenues from the Eastern Pipeline System are generated by charging shippers tariffs for transporting refined products and crude oil through the Partnership's pipelines. The amount of revenue generated depends on the level of these tariffs and the throughput in the pipelines. When transporting barrels, a tariff is charged based on the point of origin and the ultimate destination, even if the barrel moves through more than one pipeline segment to reach its destination. For example, on the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania to Buffalo, New York pipeline segment, there are separate tariffs depending on whether the ultimate destination from Philadelphia is Rochester, New York or Buffalo, New York.

The tariffs for the Partnership's interstate common carrier pipelines are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). The rate making methodology for these pipelines is price indexing. This

[Table of Contents](#)

methodology provides for increases in tariff rates based upon changes in the producer price index. Competition, however, may constrain the tariffs charged. The Partnership also leases to Sunoco R&M, for a fixed amount escalating annually at 1.67 percent, three pipelines between Sunoco R&M's Marcus Hook and Philadelphia refineries, as well as a pipeline from the Partnership's Paulsboro terminal to the Philadelphia International Airport for the delivery of jet fuel.

The crude oil and refined product throughput in the Eastern Pipeline System's pipelines is directly affected by the level of supply and demand for crude oil and refined products in the markets served directly or indirectly by the pipelines. Demand for gasoline in most markets peaks during the summer driving season, which extends from April to September, and declines during the fall and winter months. Demand for heating oil and other distillate fuels tends to peak during the winter heating season, and declines during the spring and summer months. The supply of crude oil to the Eastern Pipeline System depends upon the level of crude oil production in Canada, which has increased in recent years. Demand for crude oil transported to refineries for processing is driven by refining margins (the price of refined products compared to the price of crude oil and refining costs), unscheduled downtime at refineries and the amount of turnaround activity, which is when refiners shut down selected portions of the refinery for scheduled maintenance.

The operating income generated by the Eastern Pipeline System depends not only on the volume transported on its pipelines and the level of the tariff charged, but also on the fixed costs and, to a much lesser extent, the variable costs of operating the pipelines. Fixed costs are typically related to maintenance, insurance, control rooms, telecommunications, pipeline field and support personnel and depreciation. Variable costs, such as fuel and power costs to run pump stations along the pipelines, fluctuate with throughput.

Terminal Facilities

Prior to January 1, 2002, most of the terminalling and throughput services provided to Sunoco R&M were at fees that enabled the Partnership to recover its costs but not generate operating income. The Partnership is now charging Sunoco R&M fees for these services, generally effective January 1, 2002, comparable to those charged in arm's-length, third-party transactions using the terms included in a pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement with Sunoco R&M entered into at the closing of the February 2002 initial public offering ("IPO"). Under this agreement, Sunoco R&M pays the Partnership a minimum level of revenues for terminalling refined products and crude oil and agrees to certain minimum throughputs at the Inkster Terminal, Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex, and Marcus Hook Tank Farm. (See "Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc." and Item 13. "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions".) Under this agreement, operating income from terminalling and storage activities depends on throughput and storage volume and the level of fees charged for terminalling and storage services, as well as the fixed and variable costs of operating these facilities.

The Partnership generates revenue at the Nederland Terminal by charging storage and throughput fees for crude oil and other petroleum products. The operating income generated at this facility depends on storage and throughput volume and the level of fees charged for these services, as well as the fixed and variable costs of operating the terminal. The absolute price level of crude oil and refined products does not directly affect terminalling and storage fees, although they are affected by the absolute levels of supply and demand for these products.

Western Pipeline System

The Western Pipeline System consists of crude oil pipelines and gathering systems as well as the crude oil acquisition and marketing operations.

The factors affecting the operating results of the crude oil pipelines and gathering systems are substantially similar to the factors affecting the operating results of the pipelines in the Eastern Pipeline System described above. The operating results of the crude oil acquisition and marketing operations are dependent on its ability to

[Table of Contents](#)

sell crude oil at a price in excess of the aggregate cost. Management of the Partnership believes gross margin, which is equal to sales and other operating revenue less cost of products sold and operating expenses and depreciation and amortization, is a key measure of financial performance for the Western Pipeline System.

The crude oil acquisition and marketing operations generate substantial revenue and cost of products sold because they reflect the sales price and cost of the significant volume of crude oil bought and sold. However, the absolute price levels for crude oil normally do not bear a relationship to gross margin, although these price levels significantly impact revenue and cost of products sold. As a result, period-to-period variations in revenue and cost of products sold are not generally meaningful in analyzing the variation in gross margin for the crude oil acquisition and marketing operations.

In general, crude oil is purchased at the wellhead from local producers and in bulk at major pipeline connection and marketing points. The Partnership also enters into transactions with third parties in which one grade of crude oil is exchanged for another grade that more nearly matches the delivery requirement or the preferences of customers. Bulk purchases and sales and exchange transactions are characterized by large volume and much smaller margins than are sales of crude oil purchased at the wellhead. As crude oil is purchased, the Partnership establishes a margin by selling or exchanging the crude oil for physical delivery of other crude oil to Sunoco R&M and third-party customers, such as independent refiners or major oil companies, thereby reducing exposure to price fluctuations. This margin is determined by the difference between the price of crude oil at the point of purchase and the price of crude oil at the point of sale, minus the associated costs related to acquisition and transportation. Changes in the absolute price level for crude oil do not materially impact the margin, as attempts are made to maintain positions that are substantially balanced between crude oil purchases and sales.

Because attempts are made to maintain balanced positions, the Partnership is able to minimize basis risk, which occurs when crude oil is purchased based on a crude oil specification that is different from the countervailing sales arrangement. Specification differences include grades or types of crude oil, variability in lease crude oil barrels produced, individual refinery demand for specific grades of crude oil, relative market prices for the different grades of crude oil, customer location, availability of transportation facilities, timing, and costs (including storage) involved in delivering crude oil to the customer. The Partnership's policy is only to purchase crude oil for which there is a market and to structure the sales contracts so that crude oil price fluctuations do not materially affect the margin received. The Partnership does not acquire and hold any futures contracts or other derivative products for any purpose.

The Partnership operates the crude oil acquisition and marketing activities differently as market conditions change. During periods when there is a higher demand than supply of crude oil in the near term, the market is in backwardation, meaning that the price of crude oil in a given month exceeds the price of crude oil for delivery in subsequent months. A backwardated market has a positive impact on marketing margins because crude oil marketers can continue to purchase crude oil from producers at a fixed premium to posted prices while selling crude oil at a higher premium to such prices. In backwardated markets, crude oil is purchased and contracted for its sale as soon as possible. When the demand for crude oil is weak, the market for crude oil is often in contango, meaning that the price of crude oil in a given month is less than the price of crude oil for delivery in subsequent months. In a contango market, marketing margins are adversely impacted, as crude oil marketers are unable to capture the premium to posted prices described above. However, this unfavorable market condition can be mitigated by storing crude oil because storage owners at major trading locations can simultaneously purchase production at current prices for storage and sell at higher prices for future delivery. As a result, in a contango market, crude oil will be purchased and contracted for its delivery in future months to capture the price difference.

Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc.

Upon the closing of the February 2002 IPO, the Partnership entered into the following agreements:

Pipelines and Terminals Storage and Throughput Agreement

Under this agreement, Sunoco R&M is paying the Partnership fees generally comparable to those charged by third parties to:

- transport on the refined product pipelines or throughput in the 31 inland refined product terminals an amount of refined products that will produce at least \$76.3 million of revenue for the contract year from March 1, 2003 to February 28, 2004, escalating at 1.67 percent each March 1 for the next three contract years. In addition, Sunoco R&M will pay the Partnership to transport on the refined product pipelines or throughput in the refined product terminals an amount of refined products that will produce at least \$54.3 million of revenue in the contract year commencing March 1, 2007, and at least \$55.2 million of revenue in the contract year commencing March 1, 2008. Sunoco R&M will pay the published tariffs on the pipelines and contractually agreed upon fees at the terminals. Based upon the prorated minimum amount noted, Sunoco R&M has exceeded the minimum revenue amount through December 31, 2003 and management of the Partnership expects Sunoco R&M to exceed the minimum amount under the agreement for the contract year from March 1, 2003 through February 29, 2004;
- receive and deliver at least 130,000 bpd of refined products per year at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm for five years. This throughput is an annual amount for the contract period from March 1 to February 28 for the term of the agreement. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2003, the Partnership received a fee of \$0.1654 per barrel for the first 130,000 bpd and \$0.0827 per barrel for volume in excess of 130,000 bpd. These fees escalate at the rate of 1.67 percent each January 1 for the term of the agreement. Based upon the prorated minimum throughput amount noted, Sunoco R&M has exceeded the minimum throughput amount through December 31, 2003 and management of the Partnership expects Sunoco R&M to exceed the minimum throughput amount under the agreement for the contract year from March 1, 2003 through February 29, 2004;
- store 975,734 barrels of LPG per contract year at the Inkster Terminal, which represents all of the LPG storage capacity at this facility. This storage is an annual amount for the contract period from April 1 to March 31 for the seven-year term of the agreement. For the calendar year ended December 31, 2003, the Partnership received a fee of \$2.078 per barrel of committed storage, a fee of \$0.222 per barrel for receipts greater than 975,734 barrels per contract year and a fee of \$0.222 per barrel for deliveries greater than 975,734 barrels per contract year. These fees will escalate at the rate of 1.875 percent each January 1 for the term of the agreement. Based upon the prorated minimum storage amount noted, Sunoco R&M has exceeded the minimum storage amount through December 31, 2003 and management of the Partnership expects Sunoco R&M to exceed the minimum storage amount under the agreement for the contract year from April 1, 2003 through March 31, 2004;
- receive and deliver at least 290,000 bpd of crude oil or refined products per contract year at the Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex for seven years. This throughput is an annual amount for the contract period from March 1 to February 28 for the term of the agreement. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Partnership received a fee of \$0.1654 per barrel for the first 180,000 bpd and \$0.0827 per barrel for volume in excess of 180,000 bpd. These fees will escalate at the rate of 1.67 percent each January 1 for the term of the agreement. Based upon the prorated minimum throughput amount noted, Sunoco R&M has exceeded the minimum throughput amount through December 31, 2003 and management of the Partnership expects Sunoco R&M to exceed the minimum throughput amount under the agreement for the contract year from March 1, 2003 through February 29, 2004; and
- transport or cause to be transported an aggregate of at least 140,000 bpd of crude oil per contract year on the Marysville to Toledo, Nederland to Longview, Cushing to Tulsa, Barnsdall to Tulsa, and Bad Creek to Tulsa crude oil pipelines at the published tariffs for a term of seven years. This throughput is an

Table of Contents

annual amount for the contract period from March 1 to February 28 for the term of the agreement. Based upon the prorated minimum throughput amount noted, Sunoco R&M has exceeded the minimum throughput amount through December 31, 2003 and management of the Partnership expects Sunoco R&M to exceed the minimum throughput amount under the agreement for the contract year from March 1, 2003 through February 29, 2004.

If Sunoco R&M fails to meet its minimum obligations pursuant to the contract terms set forth above, it will be required to pay in cash the amount of any shortfall, which may be applied as a credit in the following year after Sunoco R&M's minimum obligations for that year are met.

Sunoco R&M's obligations under this agreement may be permanently reduced or suspended if Sunoco R&M (1) shuts down or reconfigures one of its refineries (other than planned maintenance turnarounds), or is prohibited from using MTBE in the gasoline it produces, and (2) reasonably believes in good faith that such event will jeopardize its ability to satisfy these obligations. Although New York and Connecticut began enforcing state-imposed MTBE bans on January 1, 2004, this has not currently affected Sunoco R&M's obligations under the agreement.

From time to time, Sunoco, Inc. may be presented with opportunities by third parties with respect to its refinery assets. These opportunities may include offers to purchase and joint venture propositions. Sunoco, Inc. is also continually considering changes to its refineries. Those changes may involve new facilities, reduction in certain operations or modifications of facilities or operations. Changes may be considered to meet market demands, to satisfy regulatory requirements or environmental and safety objectives, to improve operational efficiency or for other reasons. Sunoco, Inc. has advised the Partnership that although it continually considers the types of matters referred to above, it is not currently proceeding with any transaction or plan that it believes will likely result in any reconfigurations or other operational changes in any of its refineries served by the Partnership's assets that would have a material effect on Sunoco R&M's business relationship with the Partnership. Further, Sunoco, Inc. has also advised the management of the Partnership that it is not considering a shutdown of any of its refineries served by the Partnership's assets. Sunoco, Inc. is, however, actively managing its assets and operations and, therefore, changes of some nature, possibly material to its business relationship with the Partnership, are likely to occur at some point in the future.

To the extent Sunoco R&M does not extend or renew the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, the Partnership's financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected. The Partnership's assets were constructed or purchased to service Sunoco R&M's refining and marketing supply chain and are well-situated to suit Sunoco R&M's needs. As a result, management of the Partnership would expect that even if this agreement is not renewed, Sunoco R&M would continue to use the pipelines and terminals. However, management cannot assure investors and other interested parties that Sunoco R&M will continue to use the Partnership's facilities or that additional revenues can be generated from third parties.

Omnibus Agreement

Historically, Sunoco has allocated a portion of its general and administrative expenses to its pipeline, terminalling, and storage operations to cover costs of centralized corporate functions such as legal, accounting, treasury, engineering, information technology, insurance and other corporate services. Prior to the IPO, such expenses were based on amounts negotiated between the parties, which approximated Sunoco's cost of providing such services. Under the Omnibus Agreement entered into with Sunoco concurrent with the IPO, Sunoco provides these centralized corporate functions for three years for an annual administrative fee, which may be increased annually by the lesser of 2.5 percent or the consumer price index for the applicable year. The fee for the annual period ended December 31, 2003 was \$8.2 million. These costs may also increase if the Partnership consummates an acquisition or constructs additional assets that require an increase in the level of general and administrative services received by the Partnership from the general partner or Sunoco. The annual fee includes costs incurred by Sunoco to perform the centralized corporate functions described above. This fee does not

[Table of Contents](#)

include the costs of shared insurance programs, which are allocated to the Partnership based upon its share of the premiums incurred. This fee also does not include salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or other employees of the general partner, including senior executives, or the cost of their employee benefits. The Partnership has no employees, and is reimbursing Sunoco for these costs and other direct expenses incurred on the Partnership's behalf. Selling, general and administrative expenses in the statements of income include costs related to the provision of these centralized corporate functions and allocation of shared insurance costs of \$10.8 million, \$10.2 million and \$10.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The Partnership's and Predecessor's share of allocated Sunoco employee benefit plan expenses, including non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans, defined contribution 401(k) plans, employee and retiree medical, dental and life insurance plans, incentive compensation plans and other such benefits, was \$19.6 million, \$19.6 million and \$20.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The Partnership also began incurring additional general and administrative costs from the date of the IPO, including costs for tax return preparation, annual and quarterly reports to unitholders, investor relations, registrar and transfer agent fees, and other costs related to operating as a separate publicly held entity.

The Omnibus Agreement also requires Sunoco R&M to: reimburse the Partnership for any operating expenses and capital expenditures in excess of \$8.0 million per year in each calendar year from 2002 to 2006 that are made to comply with the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule, subject to a maximum aggregate reimbursement of \$15.0 million over the five-year period ending December 31, 2006; complete, at its expense, certain tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm; and reimburse the Partnership for up to \$10.0 million of expenditures required at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements.

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership was reimbursed \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, by Sunoco R&M for maintenance capital expenditures and operating expenses incurred in excess of \$8.0 million to comply with the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule. At December 31, 2003, the Partnership has received a cumulative reimbursement of \$2.6 million in regard to the \$15.0 million maximum reimbursement over the five-year period for compliance expenditures related to the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Partnership was also reimbursed \$2.1 million by Sunoco R&M for certain tank maintenance and inspection capital expenditures at the Darby Creek Tank Farm. There were no amounts expended for these tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm during 2003. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership was reimbursed by Sunoco R&M for expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements. These expenditures, which were recorded as maintenance capital and operating expenses, were as follows:

	<u>Partnership and Predecessor</u>	<u>Partnership</u>
	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>
	(amounts in thousands)	
Maintenance capital	\$ 534	\$ 2,982
Operating expenses	351	467
	<u>\$ 885</u>	<u>\$ 3,449</u>

At December 31, 2003, the Partnership has received a cumulative reimbursement of \$4.3 million relative to the \$10 million maximum reimbursement for compliance expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm. The aggregate amounts reimbursed related to all of the previously mentioned provisions of the Omnibus Agreement of \$3.7 million and \$5.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, by Sunoco R&M related to these projects were recorded by the Partnership as capital contributions.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Omnibus Agreement also provides that Sunoco, Inc. will indemnify the Partnership for certain environmental, toxic tort and other liabilities. Please read “Environmental Matters”, “Business—Environmental Regulation—Environmental Remediation”, and Item 13. “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” for a more complete description of these provisions.

Interrefinery Lease Agreement

Under a 20-year lease agreement entered into by the Partnership and Sunoco R&M upon the closing of the February 2002 IPO, Sunoco R&M leases the Partnership’s 58 miles of interrefinery pipelines between Sunoco R&M’s Philadelphia and Marcus Hook refineries for an annual fee which escalates at 1.67 percent each January 1st for the term of the agreement. The annual fee for the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$5.2 million. These fees are recorded as revenue within the Partnership’s statements of income.

Crude Oil Purchase Agreement

The Partnership has agreements with Sunoco R&M whereby Sunoco R&M purchases from the Partnership, at market-based rates, particular grades of crude oil that are purchased by the crude oil acquisition and marketing business. These agreements automatically renew on a monthly basis unless terminated by either party on 30 days’ written notice. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, Sunoco R&M has purchased all the barrels offered pursuant to these agreements and has indicated that it has no current intention to terminate these agreements.

License Agreement

The Partnership has granted to Sunoco, Inc. and certain of its affiliates, including the general partner, a license to its intellectual property so that the general partner can manage its operations and create new intellectual property using the Partnership’s intellectual property. The general partner will assign to the Partnership the new intellectual property it creates in operating the Partnership’s business. The general partner has also licensed to the Partnership certain of its own intellectual property for use in the conduct of the Partnership’s business and the Partnership has licensed to the general partner certain intellectual property for use in the conduct of its business. The license agreement has also granted to the Partnership a license to use the trademarks, trade names, and service marks of Sunoco in the conduct of its business.

Treasury Services Agreement

The Partnership has a treasury services agreement with Sunoco, Inc. pursuant to which, among other things, it is participating in Sunoco, Inc.’s centralized cash management program. Under this program, all of the cash receipts and cash disbursements are processed, together with those of Sunoco, Inc. and its other subsidiaries, through Sunoco, Inc.’s cash accounts with a corresponding credit or charge to an intercompany account. The intercompany balance will be settled periodically, but no less frequently than monthly. Amounts due from Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries earn interest at a rate equal to the average rate of the Partnership’s third-party money market investments, while amounts due to Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries bear interest at a rate equal to the interest rate provided in the revolving credit facility (the “Credit Facility”).

[Table of Contents](#)
Results of Operations

	Predecessor	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
	(in thousands)		
Statements of Income			
Sales and other operating revenue:			
Affiliates	\$ 1,067,182	\$ 1,147,721	\$ 1,383,090
Unaffiliated customers	545,822	676,307	1,274,383
Other income	4,774	6,904	16,730
Total revenues	1,617,778	1,830,932	2,674,203
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	1,503,156	1,690,896	2,519,160
Depreciation and amortization	25,325	31,334	27,157
Selling, general and administrative expenses	35,956	43,073	48,412
Total costs and expenses	1,564,437	1,765,303	2,594,729
Operating income	53,341	65,629	79,474
Net interest expense	10,980	17,299	20,040
Income before income tax expense	42,361	48,330	59,434
Income tax expense	15,594	1,555	—
Net income	\$ 26,767	\$ 46,775	\$ 59,434
Segment Operating Income:			
Eastern Pipeline System			
Sales and other operating revenue:			
Affiliate	\$ 69,631	\$ 72,173	\$ 72,533
Unaffiliated customers	21,059	22,865	21,628
Other income	4,749	6,925	12,147
Total revenues	95,439	101,963	106,308
Operating expenses	42,784	42,982	40,000
Depreciation and amortization	9,778	15,051	10,630
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,984	16,772	18,560
Total costs and expenses	65,546	74,805	69,190
Operating income	\$ 29,893	\$ 27,158	\$ 37,118
Terminal Facilities			
Sales and other operating revenue:			
Affiliates	\$ 43,628	\$ 55,971	\$ 60,060
Unaffiliated customers	30,273	31,914	31,608
Other income/(loss)	(85)	2	1,146
Total revenues	73,816	87,887	92,814
Operating expenses	36,488	35,568	38,521
Depreciation and amortization	11,094	11,113	10,925
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10,158	12,367	12,913
Total costs and expenses	57,740	59,048	62,359
Operating income	\$ 16,076	\$ 28,839	\$ 30,455
Western Pipeline System			
Sales and other operating revenue:			
Affiliates	\$ 953,923	\$ 1,019,577	\$ 1,250,497
Unaffiliated customers	494,490	621,528	1,221,147
Other income/(loss)	110	(23)	3,437
Total revenues	1,448,523	1,641,082	2,475,081
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	1,423,884	1,612,346	2,440,639
Depreciation and amortization	4,453	5,170	5,602
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,814	13,934	16,939
Total costs and expenses	1,441,151	1,631,450	2,463,180
Operating income	\$ 7,372	\$ 9,632	\$ 11,901

Operating Highlights

	Predecessor	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Eastern Pipeline System⁽¹⁾:			
Total shipments (barrel miles per day) ⁽²⁾	55,198,189	56,768,267	55,323,880
Revenue per barrel mile (cents)	0.450	0.459	0.466
Terminal Facilities:			
Terminal throughput (bpd):			
Refined product terminals	272,698	272,784	283,071
Nederland Terminal	427,194	437,381	441,701
Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex	318,545	322,611	322,389
Marcus Hook Tank Farm	138,490	150,008	157,233
Western Pipeline System⁽¹⁾:			
Crude oil pipeline throughput (bpd)	287,237	286,912	304,471
Crude oil purchases at wellhead (bpd)	174,182	189,277	193,176
Gross margin per barrel of pipeline throughput (cents) ⁽³⁾	19.1	22.5	22.9

⁽¹⁾ Excludes amounts attributable to equity ownership interests in the corporate joint ventures.

⁽²⁾ Represents total average daily pipeline throughput multiplied by the number of miles of pipeline through which each barrel has been shipped.

⁽³⁾ Represents total segment sales and other operating revenue minus cost of products sold and operating expenses and depreciation and amortization divided by crude oil pipeline throughput.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 versus Year Ended December 31, 2002

Analysis of Statements of Income

Net income was \$59.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared with \$46.8 million for the prior year, an increase of \$12.6 million. This increase was primarily the result of a \$13.8 million increase in operating income and a \$1.6 million decrease in income tax expense, partially offset by a \$2.7 million increase in net interest expense. The increase in operating income was principally due to the effect of special items such as the absence in the current year of a \$6.3 million write-down of an idled refined product pipeline at the Eastern Pipeline System and a related terminal and a \$1.2 million gain in the current year relating to the settlement of a claim at the Nederland Terminal resulting from assets damaged by the May 2003 third-party natural gas pipeline release. The cash received relating to this claim was primarily used to replace or repair damaged assets. The increase in operating income also includes equity income for a full year from the corporate joint ventures acquired in November 2002, higher trunk and gathering pipeline volumes and an increase in gross margins at the Western Pipeline System, and a decline in operating expenses at the Eastern Pipeline System. Partially offsetting these items were increases in selling, general and administrative expenses and operating expenses at the Western Pipeline System and Terminal Facilities.

Sales and other operating revenue totaled \$2,657.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared with \$1,824.0 million for the prior year, an increase of \$833.5 million. This increase was largely attributable to an increase in crude oil prices and higher lease acquisition and bulk volumes. The average price of West Texas Intermediate crude oil at Cushing, Oklahoma, the benchmark crude oil in the United States, increased to an average price of \$31.02 per barrel for 2003 from \$26.11 per barrel for the prior year. Other income increased \$9.8 million from the prior year to \$16.7 million for 2003 due principally to equity income from the interests in corporate joint ventures acquired in November 2002.

[Table of Contents](#)

Total costs of products sold and operating expenses increased \$828.3 million to \$2,519.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$1,690.9 million for the prior year due primarily to the increase in crude oil prices and higher lease acquisition and bulk volumes described previously. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5.3 million to \$48.4 million for 2003 compared with \$43.1 million for the prior year due mainly to higher administrative costs, including employee-related expenses. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$4.2 million to \$27.2 million for 2003 due to the write-down in 2002 described previously.

Net interest expense increased \$2.7 million to \$20.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared with \$17.3 million for the prior year due principally to a decrease in capitalized interest and the interest on the Credit Facility borrowings as a result of the November 2002 acquisitions.

Income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 decreased \$1.6 million from the prior year to nil in the current year as the Partnership was not subject to income taxes from its inception on February 8, 2002.

Analysis of Segment Operating Income

Eastern Pipeline System

Operating income for the Eastern Pipeline System was \$37.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared with \$27.1 million for the prior year. The \$10.0 million increase was the result of a \$5.2 million increase in other income and a \$5.6 million decrease in total costs and expenses, partially offset by a \$0.9 million decrease in sales and other operating revenue. Sales and other operating revenue decreased to \$94.2 million for 2003 from \$95.0 million in the prior year as a result of a decrease in total shipments, partially offset by higher revenue per barrel mile. The increase in other income to \$12.1 million for 2003 was due to equity income from the interests in corporate joint ventures acquired in November 2002, partially offset by a decline in equity income from Explorer Pipeline Company ("Explorer") due to lower volumes.

The decrease in total costs and expenses from the prior year was due to a \$4.4 million decrease in depreciation and amortization and a \$3.0 million decrease in operating expenses, partially offset by a \$1.8 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses. The decrease in depreciation and amortization from \$15.1 million for 2002 to \$10.6 million for 2003 was principally due to the absence of the write-down previously mentioned. The decrease in operating expenses from \$43.0 million for 2002 to \$40.0 million for 2003 was primarily due to the absence of costs in the current year associated with a pipeline release in January 2002 and lower pipeline maintenance expenses. As this pipeline release occurred prior to the February 2002 IPO and the Partnership is indemnified by Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Sunoco") for liabilities associated with this incident, there was no impact on the Partnership's post-IPO results. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses to \$18.6 million for 2003 from \$16.8 million for 2002 was due to higher allocated administrative expenses.

Terminal Facilities

The Terminal Facilities business segment experienced a \$1.6 million increase in operating income to \$30.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared with \$28.9 million for the prior year. This increase was due mainly to a \$3.8 million increase in sales and other operating revenue and a \$1.1 million increase in other income, partially offset by a \$3.3 million increase in total costs and expenses. The increase in sales and other operating revenue to \$91.7 million for 2003 compared with \$87.9 million for the prior year was principally due to higher throughput volumes at the refined product terminals and the Marcus Hook Tank Farm, partially offset by lower tank rental revenues at the Nederland Terminal. Other income increased to \$1.1 million for 2003 due primarily to the gain recognized related to the settlement of a claim at the Nederland Terminal noted previously.

The increase in total costs and expenses was due mainly to a \$3.0 million increase in operating expenses and a \$0.5 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses. Operating expenses increased to

[Table of Contents](#)

\$38.5 million for 2003 from \$35.5 million for the prior year due principally to higher maintenance expenses at the refined product terminals, the Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex, and the Marcus Hook Tank Farm. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$12.9 million due to higher allocated administrative expenses.

Western Pipeline System

Operating income for the Western Pipeline System was \$11.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared with \$9.6 million for the prior year. This \$2.3 million increase was primarily the result of a \$3.5 million increase in other income and a \$1.8 million increase in gross margin, partially offset by a \$3.0 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Sales and other operating revenue and cost of products sold and operating expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared with the prior year due principally to an increase in crude oil prices and an increase in lease acquisition and bulk volumes previously mentioned. The increase in gross margin was due mainly to an increase in lease acquisition volumes and margins and higher trunk and gathering pipeline volumes compared with the prior year. These amounts were partially offset by higher pipeline integrity management and maintenance expenses. Other income increased to \$3.4 million for 2003 due mainly to the equity income from the interest in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line acquired in November 2002. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$16.9 million for 2003 compared with \$13.9 million in the prior year due to higher allocated administrative expenses.

Year Ended December 31, 2002 versus Year Ended December 31, 2001

Analysis of Statements of Income

Net income was \$46.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared with \$26.8 million for the prior year, an increase of \$20.0 million. This increase was primarily the result of a \$12.3 million increase in operating income and a \$14.0 million decrease in income tax expense subsequent to the February 2002 IPO, partially offset by a \$6.3 million increase in net interest expense. Operating income was \$12.3 million higher in 2002 compared with 2001 due principally to higher revenues at the Terminal Facilities, an increase in total shipments for the Eastern Pipeline System, and higher gross margins from the Western Pipeline System. The increase in revenues at the Terminal Facilities was primarily the result of a change in the pricing arrangement with Sunoco. Effective January 1, 2002, the Partnership began to charge Sunoco market-based fees for most terminalling and throughput services. Prior to this date, terminalling and throughput services provided to Sunoco were at fees that enabled the Partnership to recover costs but not generate any profits (see "Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc.").

Sales and other operating revenue totaled \$1,824.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared with \$1,613.0 million for the prior year, an increase of \$211.0 million. The increase was largely attributable to higher lease acquisition volumes and an increase in crude oil prices. The average price of West Texas Intermediate crude oil at Cushing, Oklahoma, increased to an average price of \$26.11 per barrel for 2002 from \$25.95 per barrel for the prior year. Other income increased \$2.1 million from the prior year to \$6.9 million for 2002 due principally to higher equity income from the Explorer pipeline generated by higher volume and revenue per barrel. Other income also increased due to equity income from the corporate joint venture interests acquired in November 2002. The equity income from these acquisitions has been included within the statements of income from their date of acquisition.

Total cost of products sold and operating expenses increased \$187.7 million to \$1,690.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 from \$1,503.2 million for the prior year due primarily to an increase in lease acquisition volume and the increase in crude oil prices described previously. Depreciation and amortization increased \$6.0 million from \$25.3 million for 2001 to \$31.3 million for 2002 primarily due to a \$6.3 million write-down of an idled refined product pipeline in the Eastern Pipeline System and a related terminal in 2002.

[Table of Contents](#)

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$7.1 million to \$43.1 million for 2002 from \$36.0 million for the prior year due mainly to public company costs, increased insurance premiums and higher administrative expenses.

Net interest expense increased \$6.3 million to \$17.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared with \$11.0 million for the prior year due principally to interest expense on the \$250.0 million, ten year, 7.25 percent Senior Notes, partially offset by lower interest expense on the debt due to Sunoco, which was at a lower variable interest rate than the Senior Notes. The debt due to Sunoco in the prior year was either repaid prior to the February 2002 IPO or not assumed by the Partnership.

Income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2002 of \$1.6 million represents a \$14.0 million decrease from \$15.6 million for the prior year as the Partnership was not subject to income taxes from its inception on February 8, 2002.

Analysis of Segment Operating Income

Eastern Pipeline System

Operating income for the Eastern Pipeline System was \$27.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared with \$29.9 million for the prior year. The \$2.7 million decrease was primarily the result of a \$9.3 million increase in total costs and expenses, partially offset by a \$4.4 million increase in sales and other operating revenue and a \$2.2 million increase in other income. Sales and other operating revenue increased to \$95.0 million for 2002 from \$90.7 million for 2001 due mainly to an increase in total shipments. The increase in other income was due principally to higher equity income from the Explorer pipeline resulting from increased volume and revenue per barrel, as well as equity income from the interests in corporate joint ventures acquired in November 2002.

The increase in total costs and expenses from the prior year was due mainly to increases in depreciation and amortization of \$5.3 million and selling, general and administrative expenses of \$3.8 million. The increase in depreciation and amortization to \$15.1 million for 2002 from \$9.8 million for 2001 was largely attributable to the write-down of the idled refined product pipeline in 2002. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses to \$16.8 million for 2002 from \$13.0 million for 2001 was principally due to allocated public company costs, increased insurance premiums and higher administrative expenses.

Terminal Facilities

Operating income for the Terminal Facilities was \$28.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared with \$16.1 million for the prior year. The \$12.7 million increase was due to a \$14.1 million increase in total revenues, partially offset by a \$1.3 million increase in total costs and expenses. Total revenues increased to \$87.9 million for 2002 from \$73.8 million for 2001 due mainly to the change in the fee arrangement for terminalling and throughput services provided to Sunoco as previously mentioned. Total revenues at the Nederland Terminal also increased due primarily to higher tank rental revenues, including additional revenues from the new tank that was placed into service at the beginning of the second quarter of 2002.

The increase in total costs and expenses was due to a \$2.2 million increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses, partially offset by a \$0.9 million decrease in operating expenses. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses to \$12.4 million for 2002 from \$10.2 million for 2001 was largely attributable to allocated public company costs, increased insurance premiums and higher administrative expenses. The decrease in operating expenses to \$35.6 million for 2002 from \$36.5 million for 2001 was primarily due to lower maintenance expenses at the refined product terminals, the Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex, and the Marcus Hook Tank Farm.

[Table of Contents](#)

Western Pipeline System

Operating income for the Western Pipeline System was \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, a \$2.3 million increase from the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of a \$3.5 million increase in gross margin, partially offset by a \$1.1 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Sales and other operating revenue and cost of products sold and operating expenses increased in 2002 compared with the prior year due principally to higher lease acquisition volumes and the increase in crude oil prices previously mentioned. The lease acquisition volume increase was partially due to the acquisition of GulfMark Energy, Inc.'s crude oil pipeline in Texas and its crude oil acquisition business in November 2001 (the "GulfMark Assets"). The \$3.5 million increase in gross margin was due to an increase in lease acquisition volume and margins, partially offset by a higher proportion of lower revenue per barrel throughput on the Western Pipeline trunk system. Depreciation and amortization increased \$0.7 million to \$5.2 million for 2002 compared with the prior year due to higher capital expenditures at the end of 2001, including the acquisition of the GulfMark Assets. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$13.9 million for 2002 from \$12.8 million for 2001 due to allocated public company costs, increased insurance premiums and higher administrative expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

Cash generated from operations and the Credit Facility are the Partnership's primary sources of liquidity. At December 31, 2003, the Partnership had working capital of \$38.8 million and \$185.5 million available borrowing capacity under the Credit Facility. The Partnership also has a \$500 million universal shelf registration statement which allows the issuance of additional common units or debt securities. In addition, the Partnership's working capital position reflects crude oil inventories based on historical costs under the LIFO method of accounting. If the inventories had been valued at their current replacement cost, working capital would have increased by \$38.1 million at December 31, 2003. Management believes that the Partnership has sufficient liquid assets, cash from operations and borrowing capacity under its credit agreements to meet its financial commitments, debt service obligations, unitholder distributions, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures. However, the Partnership is subject to business and operations risks that could adversely effect its cash flow. The Partnership may supplement its cash generation with proceeds from financing activities, including borrowings under the Credit Facility and other borrowings and the issuance of additional common units.

Cash Flows and Capital Expenditures

Net cash provided by operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 was \$27.2 million, \$2.2 million, and \$97.2 million, respectively. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2003 was primarily generated by net income of \$59.4 million, depreciation and amortization of \$27.2 million, and a decrease in working capital of \$7.5 million. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2002 was primarily generated by net income of \$46.8 million and depreciation and amortization of \$31.3 million, partially offset by an increase in working capital of \$73.4 million. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2001 principally includes net income of \$26.8 million, depreciation and amortization of \$25.3 million, and deferred income tax expense of \$8.8 million, partially offset by an increase in working capital of \$33.6 million. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities of \$95.0 million from 2002 to 2003 was primarily attributable to an increase in cash provided by working capital and an increase in net income. The change in working capital between 2002 and 2003 was due mainly to an increase in working capital in 2002 related to the replacement of working capital that was not contributed by Sunoco to the Partnership upon formation. The net proceeds of the IPO were used to replenish the working capital shortfall. The working capital not contributed consisted principally of \$81.0 million of affiliated-company accounts receivable and \$13.5 million of crude oil inventory. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities of \$25.0 million from 2001 to 2002 was due mainly to an increase in net cash

[Table of Contents](#)

used for working capital and a decrease in deferred income tax expense, partially offset by an increase in net income and depreciation and amortization. The change in working capital between 2001 and 2002 was primarily attributable to the increase in working capital in 2002 previously mentioned.

Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002, and 2003 was \$73.1 million, \$85.3 million and \$39.0 million, respectively. Capital expenditures were \$72.7 million in 2001, \$40.8 million in 2002, and \$37.4 million in 2003. During 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent corporate joint venture interest in West Shore for \$3.7 million. During 2002, the Partnership acquired equity ownership interests in four corporate joint venture pipeline companies for an aggregate purchase price of \$64.5 million in cash and \$0.1 million in Partnership common units, consisting of \$54.0 million for a 31.5 percent interest in Wolverine, a 9.2 percent interest in West Shore, and a 14.0 percent interest in Yellowstone and \$10.6 million for a 43.8 percent interest in West Texas Gulf. The only other significant investing transaction in the three-year period was the repayment of a loan to The Claymont Investment Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco, for \$20.0 million in 2002.

Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002, and 2003 was \$45.8 million, \$116.9 million, and (\$42.0) million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the \$42.0 million of net cash used in financing activities was primarily due to \$46.2 million of distributions paid to unitholders and the general partner and \$4.5 million of repayments of long-term debt related to a note payable to a third party, partially offset by net collections of \$3.4 million of advances to affiliate and \$5.3 million of capital contributions from Sunoco. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the \$116.9 million of net cash provided by financing activities was due to net proceeds of \$96.5 million from the IPO, \$64.5 million of borrowings under the Credit Facility to fund the acquisitions noted earlier, and \$43.9 million of capital contributions from Sunoco, partially offset by a \$50.0 million repayment of long-term debt due an affiliate, \$26.9 million of distributions paid to unitholders and the general partner and net advances to affiliates of \$10.7 million. In addition, net proceeds of \$244.8 million from the issuance of the Senior Notes in conjunction with the IPO were distributed to Sunoco. For a more detailed discussion of the IPO and related transactions, see "Initial Public Offering" and "Senior Notes" in this section. For the year ended December 31, 2001, the \$45.8 million of net cash provided by financing activities was primarily generated by \$91.1 million of contributions from Sunoco and its affiliates, partially offset by \$45.0 million of repayments of borrowings to The Claymont Investment Company.

Under the treasury services agreement entered into with Sunoco upon the closing of the February 2002 IPO, the Partnership, among other things, participates in Sunoco's centralized cash management program. Advances to affiliates in the Partnership's balance sheets at December 31, 2002 and 2003 represent amounts due from Sunoco under this treasury services agreement. Prior to the IPO, The Claymont Investment Company served as a lender and borrower of funds to and from Sunoco and its subsidiaries, including the predecessor to the Partnership, to enable those entities to achieve their desired capital structures. Amounts owed to and due from The Claymont Investment Company under these financing arrangements included in the Predecessor's balance sheets were not assumed by or contributed to the Partnership. Furthermore, subsequent to the IPO, the Partnership has not and will not engage in these types of financing arrangements with The Claymont Investment Company or any other subsidiary of Sunoco.

Capital Requirements

The pipeline, terminalling, and crude oil storage operations are capital intensive, requiring significant investment to upgrade or enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operational regulations. The capital requirements have consisted, and are expected to continue to consist, primarily of:

- Maintenance capital expenditures, such as those required to maintain equipment reliability, tankage, and pipeline integrity and safety, and to address environmental regulations; and
- Expansion capital expenditures to acquire complementary assets to grow the business and to expand existing facilities, such as projects that increase storage or throughput volume.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table summarizes maintenance and expansion capital expenditures for the years presented:

	<u>Predecessor</u>	<u>Partnership and Predecessor</u>	<u>Partnership</u>
	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>
Maintenance	\$ 53,628	(in thousands) \$ 27,934	\$ 30,850
Expansion	19,055	77,439 ⁽¹⁾	10,226 ⁽²⁾
Total	\$ 72,683	\$ 105,373	\$ 41,076

⁽¹⁾ Includes the acquisition of the interests in four corporate joint venture pipeline companies in November 2002 for an aggregate purchase price of \$64.6 million, including the issuance of \$0.1 million in Partnership common units.

⁽²⁾ Includes the acquisition of an additional interest in one of its corporate joint venture pipeline interests for \$3.7 million.

Maintenance capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 were \$53.6 million, \$27.9 million, and \$30.9 million, respectively. Maintenance capital expenditures primarily consist of recurring expenditures at each of the business segments such as pipeline integrity costs, pipeline relocations, repair and upgrade of field instrumentation, repair and replacement of tank floors and roofs, upgrades of cathodic protection systems, and the upgrade of pump stations. In addition to these recurring projects, maintenance capital includes expenditures at the Darby Creek Tank Farm and the Marcus Hook Tank Farm of \$2.6 million and \$3.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, for which the Partnership received reimbursement from Sunoco R&M under the terms of the Omnibus Agreement. The year ended December 31, 2003 includes \$1.8 million of expenditures for the replacement of assets at the Nederland Terminal related to the May 2003 third-party natural gas pipeline release. The Partnership received reimbursement in 2003 for these costs and other expenses as settlement of the claim related to this incident. The year ended December 31, 2001 includes several one-time projects undertaken primarily to upgrade the Partnership's technology, increase reliability, and lower its cost structure. These expenditures were not incurred in 2002 and 2003 and are not expected to be incurred in the near future by the Partnership's management. Management expects maintenance capital expenditures to be approximately \$25.0 million in 2004.

Expansion capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 were \$19.1 million, \$77.4 million and \$10.2 million, respectively. Expansion capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2003 includes the purchase of an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company for \$3.7 million, increasing the Partnership's overall ownership interest to 12.3 percent, and the completion of the construction of two new tanks and a new pump station at the Nederland Terminal. The new tanks added approximately 1.3 million barrels of storage capacity to the terminal. Expansion capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2002 includes the acquisition of the four corporate joint venture pipeline interests for an aggregate purchase price of \$64.6 million, the construction of the two Nederland tanks previously mentioned, the construction of multiple vapor combustion units at the Nederland Terminal, and pipeline meter modifications at the Eastern Pipeline System to improve throughput efficiency. Expansion capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2001 include several projects to create new tankage, increase throughput on existing pipelines and build new connections for deliveries to customers. Management of the Partnership anticipates pursuing both further acquisitions and growth projects similar to those mentioned in the future.

Under the terms of the Omnibus Agreement, Sunoco R&M is required, among other things, to: reimburse the Partnership for any operating expenses and capital expenditures in excess of \$8.0 million per year in each calendar year from 2002 to 2006 that are made to comply with the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule, subject to a maximum aggregate reimbursement of \$15.0 million over the five-year period ending December 31, 2006; complete, at its expense, certain tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm;

[Table of Contents](#)

and reimburse the Partnership for up to \$10.0 million of expenditures required at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements.

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership was reimbursed \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, by Sunoco R&M for maintenance capital expenditures and operating expenses incurred in excess of \$8.0 million to comply with DOT's pipeline integrity management rule. At December 31, 2003, the Partnership has received a cumulative reimbursement of \$2.6 million in regard to the \$15.0 million maximum reimbursement over the five-year period for compliance expenditures related to the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Partnership also was reimbursed \$2.1 million by Sunoco R&M for certain tank maintenance and inspection capital expenditures at the Darby Creek Tank Farm. There were no amounts expended for these tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm during 2003. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership was reimbursed by Sunoco R&M for expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements. These expenditures, which were recorded as maintenance capital and operating expenses, were as follows:

	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,	
	2002	2003
	(amounts in thousands)	
Maintenance capital	\$ 534	\$ 2,982
Operating expenses	351	467
	<u>\$ 885</u>	<u>\$ 3,449</u>

At December 31, 2003, the Partnership has received a cumulative reimbursement of \$4.3 million relative to the \$10 million maximum reimbursement for compliance expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm. The aggregate amounts reimbursed related to all of the previously mentioned provisions of the Omnibus Agreement of \$3.7 million and \$5.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, by Sunoco R&M related to these projects were recorded by the Partnership as capital contributions.

The Partnership expects to fund capital expenditures, including any acquisitions, from cash provided by operations and, to the extent necessary, from the proceeds of:

- borrowing under the Credit Facility discussed below and other borrowings; and
- issuance of additional common units.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth the aggregate amount of long-term debt maturities (including interest commitments based upon interest rate in effect at December 31, 2003), annual rentals applicable to noncancellable operating leases, and purchase commitments related to future periods at December 31, 2003 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,						Total
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Thereafter	
Long-term debt:							
Principal	\$ —	\$ 64,500	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 250,000	\$ 314,500
Interest	19,318	18,224	18,125	18,125	18,125	63,438	155,355
Operating leases	2,785	2,152	1,772	1,437	1,318	6,573	16,037
Purchase obligations	853,686	—	—	—	—	—	853,686
	<u>\$ 875,789</u>	<u>\$ 84,876</u>	<u>\$ 19,897</u>	<u>\$ 19,562</u>	<u>\$ 19,443</u>	<u>\$ 320,011</u>	<u>\$ 1,339,578</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

The Partnership's operating leases include leases of third-party pipeline capacity, office space, and other property and equipment. Operating leases included above have initial or remaining noncancelable terms in excess of one year.

A purchase obligation is an enforceable and legally binding agreement to purchase goods and services that specifies significant terms, including: fixed or expected quantities to be purchased; market-related pricing provisions; and a specified term. The Partnership's purchase obligations consist of noncancelable contracts to purchase crude oil for terms of one year or less by its Crude Oil Acquisition and Marketing group. The majority of the above purchase obligations include actual crude oil purchases for the month of January 2004. The remaining purchase obligation amounts are based on the quantities expected to be purchased for the remainder of the year, at December 31, 2003 crude oil prices. Actual amounts to be paid in regards to these obligations will be based upon market prices or formula-based market prices during the period of purchase. For further discussion of the Partnership's Crude Oil and Marketing activities, see Item 1. "Business—Western Pipeline System—Crude Oil Acquisition and Marketing".

Initial Public Offering

On February 8, 2002, the Partnership issued 5.75 million common units (including 750,000 units issued pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment option), representing a 24.8 percent limited partnership interest, in an IPO at a price of \$20.25 per unit. Proceeds from this offering, which totaled approximately \$96.5 million net of underwriting discounts and offering expenses, were used to establish working capital that was not contributed by Sunoco.

Credit Facility

In conjunction with the IPO, Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), entered into its Credit Facility, which currently has an aggregate committed sum of \$250 million. The Credit Facility is available to fund working capital requirements, to finance future acquisitions, and for general partnership purposes. It also includes a \$20.0 million distribution sublimit that is available for distributions, and may be used to fund the quarterly distributions, provided the total outstanding borrowings for distributions do not at any time exceed \$20.0 million. The Partnership will be required to reduce to zero all borrowings under the distribution sublimit under the Credit Facility each year for 15 days. At December 31, 2003, there was \$64.5 million drawn under the Credit Facility.

Obligations under the Credit Facility are unsecured. Indebtedness under the Credit Facility will rank equally with all the outstanding unsecured and unsubordinated debt of the Operating Partnership. All loans may be prepaid at any time without penalty subject to reimbursement of breakage and redeployment costs in the case of prepayment of LIBOR borrowings.

Indebtedness under the Credit Facility will bear interest, at the Partnership's option, at either (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin or (ii) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 0.50 percent or the Bank of America prime rate (each plus the applicable margin). The interest rate on the borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2003 was 1.85 percent. Fees are incurred in connection with the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility will mature on January 31, 2005. It is the Partnership's intent to renew the Credit Facility in 2004.

The credit agreement prohibits the Partnership from declaring distributions to unitholders if any event of default, as defined in the credit agreement, occurs or would result from the declaration of distributions. In addition, the Credit Facility contains various covenants limiting the Operating Partnership's ability to:

- incur indebtedness;
- grant certain liens;

[Table of Contents](#)

- make certain loans, acquisitions, and investments;
- make any material change to the nature of the business;
- acquire another company; or
- enter into a merger or sale of assets, including the sale or transfer of interests in the subsidiaries.

The Credit Facility also contains covenants requiring the maintenance, on a rolling-four-quarter basis, of:

- a maximum ratio of 4.0 to 1 of consolidated total debt to consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the credit agreement); and
- an interest coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of at least 3.5 to 1.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Partnership's ratio of total debt to EBITDA was 3.0 to 1 and the interest coverage ratio was 5.1 to 1.

Each of the following will be an event of default under the Credit Facility:

- failure to pay any principal, interest, fees, or other amounts when due;
- failure of any representation or warranty to be true and correct;
- termination of any material agreement, including the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement and the Omnibus Agreement;
- default under any material agreement if such default could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership;
- bankruptcy or insolvency events involving the Partnership, the general partner, or the subsidiaries;
- the entry of monetary judgments, not covered or funded by insurance, against the Partnership, the general partner, or any of its subsidiaries in excess of \$20.0 million in the aggregate, or any non-monetary judgment having a material adverse effect;
- the sale by Sunoco of a material portion of its refinery assets or other assets related to its agreements with the Partnership unless the purchaser of those assets has a minimum credit rating and fully assumes the rights and obligations of Sunoco under those agreements; and
- failure by Sunoco to own, directly or indirectly, 51 percent of the general partnership interest in the Partnership or to control management and that of the Operating Partnership.

Senior Notes

Also in connection with the February 2002 IPO, the Operating Partnership issued \$250 million of Senior Notes, the net proceeds of which were distributed to Sunoco as additional consideration for its contribution of assets to the Partnership. The Senior Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, and the obligations under the Senior Notes are unsecured. Indebtedness under the Senior Notes rank equally with the Credit Facility and all the outstanding unsecured and unsubordinated debt of the Operating Partnership. The Senior Notes and Credit Facility have been guaranteed by the Partnership and the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries. The Senior Notes will mature on February 15, 2012 and bears interest at a rate of 7.25 percent per annum, payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15. The Senior Notes are redeemable, at the Partnership's option, at a make-whole premium calculated on the basis of a discount rate equal to the yield on United States treasury notes having a constant maturity comparable to the remaining term of the Senior Notes, plus 25 basis points. The Senior Notes are not subject to any sinking fund provisions.

Table of Contents

In addition, the Senior Notes contain various covenants limiting the Operating Partnership's ability to:

- incur certain liens;
- engage in sale/leaseback transactions; or
- merge, consolidate, or sell substantially all of its assets.

Each of the following is an event of default under the indenture governing the Senior Notes:

- failure to pay interest on any note for 30 days;
- failure to pay the principal or any premium on any note when due;
- failure to perform any other covenant in the indenture that continues for 60 days after being given written notice;
- the acceleration of the maturity of any other debt of the Partnership or any of the subsidiaries or a default in the payment of any principal or interest in respect of any other indebtedness of the Partnership or any of the subsidiaries having an outstanding principal amount of \$10.0 million or more individually or in the aggregate and such default shall be continuing for a period of 30 days; or
- the bankruptcy, insolvency, or reorganization of the Operating Partnership.

Upon the occurrence of a change of control to a non-investment grade entity, the Operating Partnership must offer to purchase the Senior Notes at a price equal to 100 percent of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase. The initial offering of the Senior Notes was not registered under the Securities Act. A registration statement was subsequently filed and declared effective on June 28, 2002, and an exchange offer was completed on August 2, 2002, with all \$250 million aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes being exchanged for a like principal amount of new publicly tradable notes having substantially identical terms issued pursuant to the exchange offer registration statement filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Shelf Registration Statement

On March 14, 2003, the Partnership and Operating Partnership, as co-registrants, filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission and became effective. This shelf registration permits the periodic offering and sale of equity securities by the Partnership and debt securities of the Operating Partnership (guaranteed by the Partnership). No offering of equity or debt securities have been made, either by the Partnership or the Operating Partnership, since the shelf registration became effective and, at December 31, 2003, \$500 million remains available for issuance. However, the amount, type and timing of any offerings will depend upon, among other things, the funding requirements of the Partnership, prevailing market conditions, and compliance with covenants in applicable debt obligations of the Operating Partnership (including the Credit Facility).

Environmental Matters

Operation of the pipelines, terminals, and associated facilities are subject to stringent and complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to protection of the environment. As a result of compliance with these laws and regulations, liabilities have been accrued for estimated site restoration costs to be incurred in the future at the facilities and properties, including liabilities for environmental remediation obligations. Under the Partnership's accounting policies, liabilities are recorded when site restoration and environmental remediation and cleanup obligations are either known or considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. For a discussion of the accrued liabilities and charges against income related to these activities, see Note 11 to the financial statements included in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

[Table of Contents](#)

Under the terms of the Omnibus Agreement and in connection with the contribution of assets by affiliates of Sunoco, Sunoco has agreed to indemnify the Partnership for 30 years from environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets contributed that arise from the operation of such assets prior to closing of the February 2002 IPO. Sunoco is obligated to indemnify the Partnership for 100 percent of all losses asserted within the first 21 years of closing of the February 2002 IPO. Sunoco's share of liability for claims asserted thereafter will decrease by 10 percent a year. For example, for a claim asserted during the twenty-third year after closing of the February 2002 IPO, Sunoco would be required to indemnify the Partnership for 80 percent of the loss. There is no monetary cap on the amount of indemnity coverage provided by Sunoco. Any environmental and toxic tort liabilities not covered by this indemnity will be the Partnership's responsibility. Total future costs for environmental remediation activities will depend upon, among other things, the identification of any additional sites, the determination of the extent of the contamination at each site, the timing and nature of required remedial actions, the technology available and needed to meet the various existing legal requirements, the nature and extent of future environmental laws, inflation rates, and the determination of the liability at multiparty sites, if any, in light of the number, participation levels, and financial viability of other parties. The Partnership has agreed to indemnify Sunoco and its affiliates for events and conditions associated with the operation of the assets that occur on or after the closing of the February 2002 IPO and for environmental and toxic tort liabilities to the extent Sunoco is not required to indemnify the Partnership.

The use of MTBE continues to be the focus of federal and state government attention due to public health and environmental issues that have been raised by the use of MTBE in gasoline, and specifically the discovery of MTBE in water supplies. MTBE is the primary oxygenate used by Sunoco R&M and other petroleum refiners to meet reformulated gasoline requirements under the Clean Air Act. Several states, including New York and Connecticut, began enforcing state-imposed MTBE bans on January 1, 2004 in response to concerns about MTBE's adverse impact on ground or surface water. Other states are considering bans or restrictions on MTBE or opting out of the EPA's reformulated gasoline program, either of which events would reduce the use of MTBE. Any ban or restriction on the use of MTBE may lead to the greater use of ethanol. Unlike MTBE, which can be blended in gasoline at the refinery, ethanol is blended at the terminal and is not transported by the pipelines. While many of the inland-refined product terminals currently blend ethanol, any revenues the Partnership would receive for blending ethanol might not offset the loss of revenues that would be suffered from the reduced volume transported on the Eastern refined product pipelines.

Sunoco has disclosed that new rules under the Clean Air Act affecting fuel specifications may have a significant impact on its operations (primarily with respect to the capital and operating expenditures at Sunoco R&M's refineries), but that such impact ultimately may be affected by technology selection, timing uncertainties related to construction or permitting schedules, any effect on prices due to changes in the levels of gasoline or diesel production, and other factors. The Partnership cannot assure investors that the impact of these new rules, and Sunoco's responses to them, will not reduce Sunoco R&M's obligations under the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, thereby reducing the throughput in the pipelines and the cash flow.

For more information concerning environmental matters, please see Item 1. "Business—Environmental Regulation."

Impact of Inflation

Although the impact of inflation has slowed in recent years, it is still a factor in the United States economy and may increase the cost to acquire or replace property, plant, and equipment and may increase the costs of labor and supplies. To the extent permitted by competition, regulation, and existing agreements, the Partnership has and will continue to pass along increased costs to customers in the form of higher fees.

Critical Accounting Policies

A summary of the Partnership's significant accounting policies is included in Note 1 to the financial statements included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Management believes that the application of these policies on a consistent basis enables it to provide the users of the financial statements with useful and reliable information about the Partnership's operating results and financial condition. The preparation of the Partnership's financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Significant items that are subject to such estimates and assumptions include long-lived assets and environmental remediation activities. Although management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, actual results may differ to some extent from the estimates on which the Partnership's financial statements are prepared at any given point in time. Despite these inherent limitations, management believes the Partnership's Management's Discussion and Analysis and financial statements provide a meaningful and fair perspective of the Partnership. Management has reviewed the estimates affecting its critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee of Sunoco Partners LLC's Board of Directors.

Long-Lived Assets. The cost of property, plants and equipment, less estimated salvage value, is generally depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Useful lives are based on historical experience and are adjusted when changes in planned use, technological advances or other factors show that a different life would be more appropriate. Changes in useful lives that do not result in the impairment of an asset are recognized prospectively. There have been no significant changes in the useful lives of the Partnership's plants and equipment to be recognized prospectively during the 2001-2003 period.

Long-lived assets, other than those held for sale, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Such events and circumstances include, among other factors: operating losses; unused capacity; market value declines; technological developments resulting in obsolescence; changes in demand for products manufactured by others utilizing the Partnership's services or for the Partnership's products; changes in competition and competitive practices; uncertainties associated with the United States and world economies; changes in the expected level of environmental capital, operating or remediation expenditures; and changes in governmental regulations or actions. Additional factors impacting the economic viability of long-lived assets are described under "Forward Looking Statements", which can be found after the Table of Contents at the front of this document.

A long-lived asset is considered to be impaired when the undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset are less than its carrying amount. Such estimated future cash flows are highly subjective and are based on numerous assumptions about future operations and market conditions. The impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the impaired asset. It is also difficult to precisely estimate fair market value because quoted market prices for the Partnership's long-lived assets may not be readily available. Therefore, fair market value is generally based on the present values of estimated future cash flows using discount rates commensurate with the risks associated with the assets being reviewed for impairment.

The Partnership had asset impairments of \$1.4 million and \$6.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2002. There were no asset impairments for the year ended December 31, 2003. During 2002, the Partnership recorded a \$6.3 million provision to write-down an idled refined product pipeline in the Eastern Pipeline System and a related terminal. These assets were idled as a result of a long-term agreement entered into by the Partnership in December 2002 to lease throughput capacity on a third-party refined product pipeline which allows it to provide substantially the same service as existed on the idled pipeline while reducing operating expenses. During 2001, the Predecessor recorded a \$1.4 million charge to write-off obsolete refined product terminal equipment due to the purchase of technologically advanced units. These provisions were recorded within depreciation and amortization in the statements of income in the financial statements. For further discussion of these asset impairments, see Note 4 to the financial statements included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

[Table of Contents](#)

Environmental Remediation. The operation of the Partnership's pipelines, terminals and associated facilities are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations which regulate the discharge of materials into the environment or that otherwise relate to the protection of the environment. As a result of compliance with these laws and regulations, site restoration costs have been and will be incurred in the future at the Partnership's facilities and properties, including liabilities for environmental remediation obligations.

At December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership's accrual for environmental remediation activities was \$0.5 million for both periods. These accruals are for work at identified sites where an assessment has indicated that cleanup costs are probable and reasonably estimable. The accrual is undiscounted and is based on currently available information, estimated timing of remedial actions and related inflation assumptions, existing technology and presently enacted laws and regulations. It is often extremely difficult to develop reasonable estimates of future site remediation costs due to changing regulations, changing technologies and their associated costs, and changes in the economic environment. In the above instances, if a range of probable environmental cleanup costs exists for an identified site, FASB Interpretation No. 14, "Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss" requires that the minimum of the range be accrued unless some other point or points in the range are more likely, in which case the most likely amount in the range is accrued. Engineering studies, historical experience and other factors are used to identify and evaluate remediation alternatives and their related costs in determining the estimated accruals for environmental remediation activities. Losses attributable to unasserted claims are also reflected in the accruals to the extent their occurrence is probable and reasonably estimable.

Management believes that none of the current remediation locations are material, individually or in the aggregate, to the Partnership's financial position at December 31, 2003. As a result, the Partnership's exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material. However, if changes in environmental regulations occur, such changes could impact several of the Partnership's facilities. As a result, from time to time, significant charges against income for environmental remediation may occur.

Under the terms of the Omnibus Agreement and in connection with the contribution of the Predecessor to the Partnership by affiliates of Sunoco, Sunoco has retained these liabilities in connection with its agreement to indemnify the Partnership for 30 years from environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets contributed that arise from the operation of such assets prior to closing of the February 2002 IPO. Sunoco is obligated to indemnify the Partnership for 100 percent of all losses asserted within the first 21 years of closing of the February 2002 IPO. Sunoco's share of liability for claims asserted thereafter will decrease by 10 percent a year. For example, for a claim asserted during the twenty-third year after closing of the February 2002 IPO, Sunoco would be required to indemnify the Partnership for 80 percent of the loss. There is no monetary cap on the amount of indemnity coverage provided by Sunoco. Any environmental and toxic tort liabilities not covered by this indemnity will be the Partnership's responsibility. The Partnership has agreed to indemnify Sunoco and its affiliates for events and conditions associated with the operation of the assets that occur on or after the closing of the February 2002 IPO and for environmental and toxic tort liabilities to the extent Sunoco is not required to indemnify the Partnership.

In summary, total future costs for environmental remediation activities will depend upon, among other things, the identification of any additional sites, the determination of the extent of the contamination at each site, the timing and nature of required remedial actions, the technology available and needed to meet the various existing legal requirements, the nature and terms of cost sharing arrangements with other potentially responsible parties and the nature and extent of future environmental laws, inflation rates and the determination of the Partnership's liability at the sites, if any, in the light of the number, participation level and financial viability of other parties.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FASB Interpretation No. 46"), was issued. Among other things, FASB Interpretation No. 46 defines a variable interest entity ("VIE") as an entity that either has investor voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests or has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. FASB Interpretation No. 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated by an entity if that entity is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the entity that is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the VIE's activities or, if no entity is subject to a majority of such risk, the entity that is entitled to receive a majority of the VIE's residual returns. The Partnership intends to adopt FASB Interpretation No. 46 effective January 1, 2004. FASB Interpretation No. 46 is not expected to have an impact on the Partnership's financial statements upon adoption.

Effective July 1, 2003, the Partnership adopted the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 01-8, "Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease" ("EITF 01-8"). EITF 01-8 provides guidance in determining whether an arrangement meets the definition of a lease under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 13, "Accounting for Leases" ("SFAS No. 13"). SFAS No. 13 defines a lease as an agreement conveying the right to use property, plant or equipment for a stated period of time. EITF 01-8 provides criteria to determine whether an arrangement conveys the right to use property, plant and equipment under SFAS No. 13. The accounting requirements under EITF 01-8 could affect an arrangement's timing of revenue and expense recognition, and revenues reported as transportation and storage services might have to be reported as rental or leasing income. The provisions of EITF 01-8 are to be applied prospectively to arrangements agreed to, modified, or acquired in business combinations after July 1, 2003. Previous arrangements that would be leases or would contain a lease according to this pronouncement will continue to be recorded in accordance with their prior accounting treatment. The Partnership is currently analyzing its agreements that were in existence prior to July 1, 2003 to determine if the accounting for these agreements would be impacted upon renewal or amendment. The provisions of EITF 01-8 had no impact on the Partnership's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Partnership is exposed to various market risks, including volatility in crude oil commodity prices and interest rates. To manage such exposure, inventory levels and expectations of future commodity prices and interest rates are monitored when making decisions with respect to risk management. The Partnership has not entered into derivative transactions that would expose it to price risk.

The \$250 million Credit Facility, with outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2003 of \$64.5 million, exposes the Partnership to interest rate risk, since it bears interest at a variable rate (1.85 percent at December 31, 2003). A one percent change in interest rates changes annual interest expense by approximately \$645,000.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors of
Sunoco Partners LLC:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the "Partnership") as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 and the related statements of income and partners' capital/net parent investment and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. at December 31, 2002 and 2003 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2002 the Partnership changed its method of accounting for goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142.

ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
February 13, 2004

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in thousands, except units and per unit amounts)

	Predecessor	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Revenues			
Sales and other operating revenue:			
Affiliates (Note 3)	\$ 1,067,182	\$ 1,147,721	\$ 1,383,090
Unaffiliated customers	545,822	676,307	1,274,383
Other income	4,774	6,904	16,730
Total Revenues	1,617,778	1,830,932	2,674,203
Costs and Expenses			
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	1,503,156	1,690,896	2,519,160
Depreciation and amortization (Note 4)	25,325	31,334	27,157
Selling, general and administrative expenses	35,956	43,073	48,412
Total Costs and Expenses	1,564,437	1,765,303	2,594,729
Operating Income	53,341	65,629	79,474
Net interest cost paid to affiliates (Note 3)	11,727	1,205	15
Other interest cost and debt expense, net	393	17,390	20,518
Capitalized interest	(1,140)	(1,296)	(493)
Income before income tax expense	42,361	48,330	59,434
Income tax expense (Note 5)	15,594	1,555	—
Net Income	\$ 26,767	\$ 46,775	\$ 59,434
Allocation of 2002 Net Income:			
Portion applicable to January 1 through February 7, 2002 (period prior to initial public offering)		\$ 3,421	
Portion applicable to February 8 through December 31, 2002		43,354	
Net Income		\$ 46,775	
Calculation of Limited Partners' interest in Net Income:			
Net Income		\$ 43,354	\$ 59,434
Less: General Partners' interest in Net Income		867	1,423
Limited Partners' interest in Net Income		\$ 42,487	\$ 58,011
Net Income per Limited Partner unit (2002 is for the period from February 8, 2002 through December 31, 2002):			
Basic		\$ 1.87	\$ 2.55
Diluted		\$ 1.86	\$ 2.53
Weighted average Limited Partners' units outstanding (Note 6):			
Basic		22,767,899	22,771,793
Diluted		22,785,407	22,894,520

(See Accompanying Notes)

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.

BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands)

	Partnership	
	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 33,840	\$ 50,081
Advances to affiliates (Note 3)	10,716	7,288
Accounts receivable, affiliated companies (Note 3)	105,466	116,936
Accounts receivable, net	251,071	302,235
Inventories (Note 7)	25,744	27,268
Total Current Assets	426,837	503,808
Properties, plants and equipment, net (Note 8)	573,514	583,164
Investment in affiliates (Note 9)	65,733	70,490
Deferred charges and other assets	27,796	22,536
Total Assets	\$ 1,093,880	\$ 1,179,998
Liabilities and Partners' Capital / Net Parent Investment Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 356,977	\$ 426,863
Accrued liabilities	25,090	26,816
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 10)	303	—
Accrued taxes other than income	11,273	11,312
Total Current Liabilities	393,643	464,991
Long-term debt (Note 10)	317,142	313,136
Other deferred credits and liabilities	745	1,000
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 11)		
Partners' Capital:		
Limited partners' interest	376,632	394,592
General partner's interest	5,718	6,279
Total Liabilities and Partners' Capital	\$ 1,093,880	\$ 1,179,998

(See Accompanying Notes)

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Predecessor	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net Income	\$ 26,767	\$ 46,775	\$ 59,434
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	25,325	31,334	27,157
Deferred income tax expense	8,813	675	—
Gain on claim settlement	—	—	(1,175)
Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities:			
Accounts receivable, affiliated companies	508	(99,221)	(11,470)
Accounts receivable, net	106,780	(102,253)	(51,164)
Inventories	(1,923)	(12,127)	(1,524)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(140,340)	135,244	71,612
Taxes payable	1,415	4,972	39
Other	(107)	(3,188)	4,303
Net cash provided by operating activities	27,238	2,211	97,212
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Capital expenditures	(72,683)	(40,782)	(37,377)
Net cash paid for acquisitions	—	(64,491)	(3,699)
Collection of loan to affiliate	—	20,000	—
Net proceeds from claim settlement	—	—	2,068
Other	(396)	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(73,079)	(85,273)	(39,008)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Distributions paid to unitholders and general partner	—	(26,949)	(46,227)
Net proceeds from issuance of common units to the public	—	96,468	—
Advances to affiliates, net	—	(10,716)	3,428
Net borrowings under credit facility	—	64,500	—
Repayments of long-term debt	(262)	(303)	(4,478)
Repayments of long-term debt to affiliate	—	(50,000)	—
Net proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	—	244,788	—
Special distribution to Sunoco	—	(244,788)	—
Contributions from Sunoco	91,103	43,902	5,314
Net repayments of short-term borrowings due affiliate	(45,000)	—	—
Net cash provided by / (used in) financing activities	45,841	116,902	(41,963)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	—	33,840	16,241
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	—	—	33,840
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ —	\$ 33,840	\$ 50,081

(See Accompanying Notes)

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL/NET PARENT INVESTMENT
(in thousands, except unit amounts)

	Partners' Capital						Total Partners' Capital / Net Parent Investment
	Net Parent Investment	Limited Partners				General Partner	
		Common		Subordinated			
		Units	\$	Units	\$		
Balance at January 1, 2001	\$ 157,023	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 157,023
Net income	26,767	—	—	—	—	—	26,767
Contribution from Sunoco	91,103	—	—	—	—	—	91,103
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$ 274,893	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 274,893
Net income applicable to the period from January 1 through February 7, 2002	3,421	—	—	—	—	—	3,421
Contribution from Sunoco	40,217	—	—	—	—	—	40,217
Adjustment to reflect net liabilities not contributed by Sunoco to the Partnership (Note 12)	190,887	—	—	—	—	—	190,887
Special distribution to Sunoco	(244,788)	—	—	—	—	—	(244,788)
Net assets contributed by Sunoco	264,630	—	—	—	—	—	264,630
Allocation of net assets contributed by Sunoco	(264,630)	5,633,639	85,855	11,383,639	173,482	5,293	—
Issuance of common units to the public (Note 2)	—	5,750,000	96,468	—	—	—	96,468
Issuance of units to Sunoco in partial consideration for West Texas Gulf Acquisition (Note 14)	—	4,515	100	—	—	2	102
Contribution from Sunoco (Note 3)	—	—	1,539	—	3,111	95	4,745
Net income applicable to the period from February 8 through December 31, 2002	—	—	21,248	—	21,239	867	43,354
Cash distributions	—	—	(13,205)	—	(13,205)	(539)	(26,949)
Balance at December 31, 2002	—	11,388,154	\$ 192,005	11,383,639	\$ 184,627	\$ 5,718	\$ 382,350
Contribution from Sunoco	—	—	1,726	—	3,482	106	5,314
Net income	—	—	29,011	—	29,000	1,423	59,434
Cash distributions	—	—	(22,634)	—	(22,625)	(968)	(46,227)
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ —	11,388,154	\$ 200,108	11,383,639	\$ 194,484	\$ 6,279	\$ 400,871

(See Accompanying Notes)

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Combination / Consolidation

Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the “Partnership”) is a Delaware limited partnership formed by Sunoco, Inc. in October 2001 to acquire, own and operate a substantial portion of Sunoco, Inc.’s logistics business, consisting of refined product pipelines, terminalling and storage assets, crude oil pipelines, and crude oil acquisition and marketing assets located in the Northeast, Midwest and South Central United States (collectively, “Sunoco Logistics (Predecessor)” or the “Predecessor”).

The accompanying financial statements reflect the historical cost-basis accounts of the Predecessor for periods prior to February 8, 2002, the closing date of the Partnership’s initial public offering (the “IPO”—see Note 2), and include charges from Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, “Sunoco”) for direct costs and allocations of indirect corporate overhead. Management of the Partnership believes that the allocation methods are reasonable, and that the allocations are representative of the costs that would have been incurred on a stand-alone basis. Beginning on February 8, 2002, the financial statements reflect the consolidated financial statements of the Partnership and its subsidiaries. Equity ownership interests in corporate joint ventures, which are not consolidated, are accounted for under the equity method.

Description of Business

Most of the assets of the Partnership support Sunoco refining and marketing operations which are conducted primarily by Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) (“Sunoco R&M”). The Partnership operates in three principal business segments: Eastern Pipeline System, Terminal Facilities and Western Pipeline System.

The Eastern Pipeline System transports refined products in the Northeast and Midwest largely for Sunoco R&M’s Philadelphia, PA, Marcus Hook, PA and Toledo, OH refineries. The Eastern Pipeline System also transports crude oil on a pipeline in Ohio and Michigan that supplies both Sunoco R&M’s Toledo refinery and third-party refineries. This segment also includes an interrefinery pipeline between Sunoco R&M’s Marcus Hook and Philadelphia refineries and the equity interest in Explorer Pipeline Company (“Explorer”), which transports refined products from the Gulf Coast to numerous terminals throughout the Midwest. During November 2002, the Partnership acquired an equity ownership interest in three Midwestern and Western United States refined product pipelines, consisting of 31.5 percent of Wolverine Pipe Line Company (“Wolverine”), 9.2 percent of West Shore Pipe Line Company (“West Shore”), and 14.0 percent of Yellowstone Pipe Line Company (“Yellowstone”) (see Note 14). In September 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company, increasing its overall ownership interest to 12.3 percent.

The Terminal Facilities segment includes a network of 31 refined product terminals in the Northeast and Midwest that distribute products primarily to Sunoco R&M’s retail outlets, a 12.5 million-barrel marine crude oil terminal on the Texas Gulf Coast and a one million barrel liquefied petroleum gas (“LPG”) storage facility near Detroit, MI. This segment also owns and operates one inland and two marine crude oil terminals and the related storage facilities and pipelines that supply all of the crude oil processed by Sunoco R&M’s Philadelphia refinery. Finally, this segment includes a two million barrel refined product storage terminal in Marcus Hook, PA that is used by Sunoco R&M’s Marcus Hook refinery to source barrels to the Partnership’s pipelines.

The Western Pipeline System acquires, transports and markets crude oil principally in Oklahoma and Texas for Sunoco R&M’s Tulsa, OK and Toledo, OH refineries and also for other customers. During November 2002, the Partnership acquired a 43.8 percent equity ownership interest in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company (“West Texas Gulf”), a crude oil pipeline company located in Texas (see Note 14), from Sunoco.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Crude oil gathering and marketing revenues are recognized when title to the crude oil is transferred to the customer. Revenues are not recognized for crude oil exchange transactions, which are entered into primarily to acquire crude oil of a desired quality or to reduce transportation costs by taking delivery closer to the Partnership's end markets. Any net differential for exchange transactions is recorded as an adjustment of inventory costs in the purchases component of cost of products sold and operating expenses in the statements of income. Such amounts are not deemed to be material. Terminalling and storage revenues are recognized at the time the services are provided. Pipeline revenues are recognized upon delivery of the barrels to the location designated by the shipper.

Affiliated revenues consist of sales of crude oil as well as the provision of crude oil and refined product pipeline transportation, terminalling and storage services to Sunoco R&M. Sales of crude oil to affiliates are computed using the formula-based pricing mechanism of a supply agreement with Sunoco R&M. Management of the Partnership believes these terms to be comparable to those that could be negotiated with an unrelated third party. Pipeline revenues from affiliates are generally determined using posted tariffs. Prior to January 1, 2002, affiliated revenues from terminalling and storage were generally equal to all of the costs incurred for these activities, including operating, maintenance and environmental remediation expenditures. Concurrent with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into a pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement with Sunoco R&M under which the Partnership is charging Sunoco R&M fees for services provided under these agreements comparable to those charged in arm's-length, third-party transactions. Under the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, Sunoco R&M has agreed to pay the Partnership a minimum level of revenue for transporting and terminalling refined products. Sunoco R&M also has agreed to minimum throughputs of refined products and crude oil in the Partnership's Inkster Terminal, Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex, Marcus Hook Tank Farm and certain crude oil pipelines. Fee arrangements consistent with this contract, generally effective January 1, 2002, were used as the basis for the transfer prices used in the preparation of Sunoco's segment information. Accordingly, such fees are generally reflected in the financial statements beginning on January 1, 2002.

Cash Equivalents

The Partnership considers all highly liquid investments with a remaining maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. These cash equivalents consist principally of money market accounts.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Accounts Receivable, net

Accounts receivable represent valid claims against non-affiliated customers (see Note 3 for affiliated receivables) for products sold or services rendered. The Partnership extends credit terms to certain customers after review of various credit indicators, including the customer's credit rating. Outstanding customer receivable balances are regularly reviewed for possible non-payment indicators and reserves are recorded for doubtful accounts based upon management's estimate of collectibility at the time of review. The following shows the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts for the three-year period ended December 31, 2003:

	Predecessor	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	2001	2002	2003
Balance at January 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 500
Amounts charged to expense	—	500	—
Balance at December 31	\$ —	\$ 500	\$ 500

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Crude oil inventory cost has been determined using the last-in, first-out method ("LIFO"). Under this methodology, the cost of products sold consists of the actual crude oil acquisition costs of the Partnership. Such costs are adjusted to reflect increases or decreases in crude oil inventory quantities, which are valued based on the changes in the LIFO inventory layers. Prior to February 8, 2002, the IPO date, crude oil inventory amounts reflected an allocation to the Predecessor by Sunoco R&M of the Predecessor's share of Sunoco R&M's crude oil inventory, the cost of which has been determined under the LIFO method. The cost of materials, supplies and other inventories is determined using principally the average cost method.

Properties, Plants and Equipment

Properties, plants and equipment are stated at cost. Additions to properties, plants and equipment, including replacements and improvements, are recorded at cost. Repair and maintenance expenditures are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation is provided principally using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets. For certain interstate pipelines, the depreciation rate is applied to the net asset value based on FERC requirements. When FERC-regulated property, plant and equipment is retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost less net proceeds is charged to accumulated depreciation and amortization, except that gains and losses for those groups are reflected in other income in the statements of income for unusual disposals. Gains and losses on the disposal of non-FERC properties, plants and equipment are reflected in other income in the statements of income.

Capitalized Interest

The Partnership capitalizes interest on borrowed funds related to capital projects only for periods that activities are in progress to bring these projects to their intended use. The weighted average rate used to capitalize interest on borrowed funds was 7.8 percent, 7.3 percent, and 7.3 percent for 2001, 2002, and 2003, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the amount of interest capitalized was \$1.1 million, \$1.3 million, and \$0.5 million, respectively.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets other than those held for sale are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. An asset is considered to be impaired when the undiscounted estimated net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset are less than its carrying amount. The impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the impaired asset. Long-lived assets held for sale are recorded at the lower of their carrying amount or fair market value less cost to sell the assets. Effective January 1, 2002, the Partnership adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," ("SFAS No. 144") which, among other things, changed the criteria that would have to be met to classify an asset as held for sale. SFAS No. 144 had no impact on the Partnership's financial statements during 2002 and 2003.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill, which represents the excess of the purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired, is presented net of accumulated amortization within deferred charges and other assets on the balance sheets. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership had \$16.2 million of unamortized goodwill.

Effective January 1, 2002, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS No. 142"), was adopted. SFAS No. 142 requires the testing of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment rather than amortizing them. The Partnership ceased amortizing goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets effective January 1, 2002 and determined during 2002 and 2003 that such assets were not impaired. Prior to January 1, 2002, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets were amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of net income as reported to net income as adjusted to exclude amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2001 (in thousands of dollars):

	Predecessor
	Year Ended December 31, 2001
Net income, as reported	\$ 26,767
Add back:	
goodwill amortization	586
indefinite-lived intangible asset amortization	300
Net income, as adjusted	\$ 27,653

Deferred financing fees of \$3.1 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$0.4 million, as of December 31, 2002 and \$2.7 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$1.0 million, as of December 31, 2003 were included within deferred charges and other assets on the balance sheets. Amortization expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, was included within other interest cost and debt expense on the statements of income. The Partnership amortizes deferred financing fees over the life of the respective debt agreement.

Investment in Affiliates

Investments in affiliates, which consist of corporate joint ventures, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting as required by Accounting Principles Board Opinion 18, "The Equity Method of

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Accounting for Investments in Common Stock” (“APB 18”). Under this method, an investment is carried at acquisition cost, increased for the equity in income or decreased for the equity in loss from the date of acquisition, and reduced for dividends received. The Partnership had \$0.8 million of undistributed earnings from its investments in corporate joint ventures within Partner’s Capital on its December 31, 2003 balance sheet.

The Partnership allocates its excess investment cost over its equity in the net assets of affiliates to the underlying tangible and intangible assets of the corporate joint ventures. Other than land and indefinite-lived intangible assets, all amounts allocated, principally to pipeline and related assets, were amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life of 40 years. The amortization of these amounts are included within depreciation and amortization in the statements of income.

Environmental Remediation

The Partnership accrues environmental remediation costs for work at identified sites where an assessment has indicated that cleanup costs are probable and reasonably estimable. Such accruals are undiscounted and are based on currently available information, estimated timing of remedial actions and related inflation assumptions, existing technology and presently enacted laws and regulations. If a range of probable environmental cleanup costs exists for an identified site, the minimum of the range is accrued unless some other point or points in the range are more likely, in which case the most likely amount in this range is accrued.

Income Taxes

For the period prior to the IPO, the Predecessor is included in the consolidated federal income tax return filed by Sunoco. However, the provision for federal income taxes included in the statements of income and the deferred tax amounts reflected in the balance sheet have been determined on a separate-return basis. Any current federal income tax amounts due on a separate-return basis were settled with Sunoco through the net parent investment account. Effective upon the closing date of the IPO, substantially all income taxes are the responsibility of the unitholders and not the Partnership.

Long Term Incentive Plan

The Partnership follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (“SFAS 123”) “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation,” which provides for the recognition of compensation expense for stock-based compensation arrangements based on the fair value of the equity instrument (see Note 13).

Asset Retirement Obligations

Effective January 1, 2003, the Partnership adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, “Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations” (“SFAS No. 143”). This statement significantly changed the method of accruing for costs that an entity is legally obligated to incur associated with the retirement of fixed assets. Under SFAS No. 143, the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the fixed asset and depreciated over its estimated useful life. Prior to January 1, 2003, a liability for an asset retirement obligation was recognized using a cost-accumulation measurement approach. The cumulative effect of this accounting change for years prior to 2003 of \$0.4 million was included in cost of products sold and operating expenses in the statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2003. The Partnership did not reflect the amount as a cumulative effect of an accounting change as it was not material to the financial statements. Other than the

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

cumulative effect, this change did not have a significant impact on the Partnership's statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2003. At December 31, 2003, the Partnership's liability for asset retirement obligations was \$0.6 million. The Partnership has legal asset retirement obligations for several other assets, including certain pipelines and terminals, for which it is not possible to estimate the time period when the obligations will be settled. Consequently, the fair value of the retirement obligations for these assets cannot be measured and recognized at this time.

Exit or Disposal Activities

Effective January 1, 2003, the Partnership adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" ("SFAS No. 146"). This statement superseded Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Terminal Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." SFAS No. 146 requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred. SFAS No. 146 also establishes fair value as the objective for initial measurement of the liability. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 are effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. Under prior accounting principles, certain costs associated with restructuring plans were recognized as of the date of commitment. SFAS No. 146 only applies to prospective activities, and it had no impact on the Partnership's financial position or results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Guarantees

Effective January 1, 2003, the Partnership adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" ("FASB Interpretation No. 45"). The accounting recognition provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 45 are effective January 1, 2003 on a prospective basis. They require that a guarantor recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. Under prior accounting principles, a guarantee was not recognized as a liability until a loss was probable and reasonably estimable. FASB Interpretation No. 45 had no impact on the Partnership's financial position or results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003.

New Accounting Principles

In January 2003, FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FASB Interpretation No. 46"), was issued. Among other things, FASB Interpretation No. 46 defines a variable interest entity ("VIE") as an entity that either has investor voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests or has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. FASB Interpretation No. 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated by an entity if that entity is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the entity that is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the VIE's activities or, if no entity is subject to a majority of such risk, the entity that is entitled to receive a majority of the VIE's residual returns. The Partnership intends to adopt FASB Interpretation No. 46 effective January 1, 2004. FASB Interpretation No. 46 is not expected to have an impact on the Partnership's financial statements upon adoption.

Effective July 1, 2003, the Partnership adopted the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 01-8, "Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease" ("EITF 01-8"). EITF 01-8 provides guidance in determining whether an arrangement meets the definition of a lease under the provisions of Statement of

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Financial Accounting Standards No. 13, “Accounting for Leases” (“SFAS No. 13”). SFAS No. 13 defines a lease as an agreement conveying the right to use property, plant or equipment for a stated period of time. EITF 01-8 provides criteria to determine whether an arrangement conveys the right to use property, plant and equipment under SFAS No. 13. The accounting requirements under EITF 01-8 could affect an arrangement’s timing of revenue and expense recognition, and revenues reported as transportation and storage services might have to be reported as rental or leasing income. The provisions of EITF 01-8 are to be applied prospectively to arrangements agreed to, modified, or acquired in business combinations after July 1, 2003. Previous arrangements that would be leases or would contain a lease according to this pronouncement will continue to be recorded in accordance with their prior accounting treatment. The Partnership is currently analyzing its agreements that were in existence prior to July 1, 2003 to determine if the accounting for these agreements would be impacted upon renewal or amendment. The provisions of EITF 01-8 had no impact on the Partnership’s financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior years’ financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

2. The Initial Public Offering

On February 8, 2002, Sunoco, through its subsidiary, Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of the Partnership, contributed the Predecessor to the Partnership in exchange for: (i) a 2 percent general partner interest in the Partnership; (ii) incentive distribution rights (as defined in the Partnership Agreement); (iii) 5,633,639 common units; (iv) 11,383,639 subordinated units; and (v) a special interest representing the right to receive from the Partnership, on the closing of the IPO, the net proceeds from the issuance of \$250 million of ten-year senior notes (the “Senior Notes”) by Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership (the “Operating Partnership”), which totaled \$244.8 million. The Partnership concurrently issued 5,750,000 common units (including 750,000 units issued pursuant to the underwriters’ over-allotment option), representing a 24.8 percent limited partnership interest in the Partnership, in an IPO at a price of \$20.25 per unit. Proceeds from the IPO, which totaled \$96.5 million net of underwriting discounts and offering expenses, were used by the Partnership to provide working capital that was not contributed to the Partnership by Sunoco.

3. Related Party Transactions

Prior to the IPO, substantially all of the related party transactions discussed below were settled immediately through the net parent investment account. Subsequent to the IPO, normal trade terms apply to transactions with Sunoco as described in various agreements discussed below which were entered into concurrent with the IPO.

Advances to Affiliate

The Partnership entered into a treasury services agreement with Sunoco at the closing of the IPO pursuant to which it, among other things, participates in Sunoco’s centralized cash management program. Under this program, all of the Partnership’s cash receipts and cash disbursements are processed, together with those of Sunoco and its other subsidiaries, through Sunoco’s cash accounts with a corresponding credit or charge to an intercompany account. The intercompany balances are settled periodically, but no less frequently than monthly. Amounts due from Sunoco earn interest at a rate equal to the average rate of the Partnership’s third-party money market investments, while amounts due to Sunoco bear interest at a rate equal to the interest rate provided in the Partnership’s revolving credit facility (see Note 10).

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Selling, general and administrative expenses in the statements of income include costs incurred by Sunoco for the provision of centralized corporate functions such as legal, accounting, treasury, engineering, information technology, insurance and other corporate services. Prior to the closing of the IPO, such expenses were based on amounts negotiated between the parties, which approximated Sunoco's cost of providing such services. Under an omnibus agreement ("Omnibus Agreement") with Sunoco that the Partnership entered into at the closing of the IPO, Sunoco provides these centralized corporate functions for three years for an annual administrative fee, which may be increased annually by the lesser of 2.5 percent or the consumer price index for the applicable year. The fee for the annual period ended December 31, 2003 was \$8.2 million. These costs may also increase if the Partnership consummates an acquisition or constructs additional assets that require an increase in the level of general and administrative services received by the Partnership from the general partner or Sunoco. The annual fee includes expenses incurred by Sunoco to perform the centralized corporate functions described above. This fee does not include the costs of shared insurance programs, which are allocated to the Partnership based upon its share of the premiums incurred. This fee also does not include salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or other employees of the general partner, including senior executives, or the cost of their employee benefits. The Partnership is reimbursing Sunoco for these costs and other direct expenses incurred on the Partnership's behalf. Selling, general and administrative expenses in the statements of income include costs related to the provision of these centralized corporate functions and allocation of shared insurance costs of \$10.8 million, \$10.2 million and \$10.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

The Partnership entered into a license agreement at the closing of the IPO with Sunoco and certain of its affiliates, including its general partner, Sunoco Partners LLC, pursuant to which the Partnership granted to Sunoco Partners LLC a license to the Partnership's intellectual property so that Sunoco Partners LLC can manage the Partnership's operations and create intellectual property using the Partnership's intellectual property. Sunoco Partners LLC will assign to the Partnership the new intellectual property it creates in operating the Partnership's business. Sunoco Partners LLC has also licensed to the Partnership certain of its own intellectual property for use in the conduct of the Partnership's business and the Partnership licensed to Sunoco Partners LLC certain of the Partnership's intellectual property for use in the conduct of its business. The license agreement also grants to the Partnership a license to use the trademarks, trade names, and service marks of Sunoco in the conduct of the Partnership's business.

Allocated Sunoco employee benefit plan expenses for employees who work in the pipeline, terminalling, storage and crude oil gathering operations, including senior executives, include non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans, defined contribution 401(k) plans, employee and retiree medical, dental and life insurance plans, incentive compensation plans and other such benefits. The Partnership's and Predecessor's share of allocated Sunoco employee benefit plan expenses was \$19.6 million, \$19.6 million and \$20.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. These expenses are reflected in cost of products sold and operating expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses in the statements of income. In connection with the transfer of the Predecessor's operations to the Partnership, these employees, including senior executives, became employees of the Partnership's general partner or its affiliates, wholly-owned subsidiaries of Sunoco. The Partnership has no employees.

Accounts Receivable, Affiliated Companies

Affiliated revenues in the statements of income consist of sales of crude oil as well as the provision of crude oil and refined product pipeline transportation, terminalling and storage services to Sunoco R&M. Sales of crude oil are computed using the formula-based pricing mechanism of a supply agreement with Sunoco R&M. Management of the Partnership believes these terms to be comparable to those that could be negotiated with an unrelated third party. Pipeline revenues are generally determined using posted tariffs. Prior to January 1, 2002, revenues from terminalling and storage were generally equal to all of the costs incurred for these activities,

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

including operating, maintenance and environmental remediation expenditures. Concurrent with the closing of the IPO, the Partnership entered into a pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement and various other agreements with Sunoco R&M under which the Partnership is charging Sunoco R&M fees for services provided under these agreements comparable to those charged in arm's-length, third-party transactions. Under the pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement, Sunoco R&M has agreed to pay the Partnership a minimum level of revenues for transporting and terminalling refined products. Sunoco R&M also has agreed to minimum throughputs of refined products and crude oil in the Partnership's Inkster Terminal, Fort Mifflin Terminal Complex, Marcus Hook Tank Farm and certain crude oil pipelines. Effective January 1, 2002 for most terminals, fee arrangements consistent with this contract were used as the basis for the transfer prices to be used in preparation of Sunoco's segment information. Accordingly, such fees for most terminals are reflected in the financial statements beginning on January 1, 2002.

Under various other agreements entered into at the closing of the IPO, Sunoco R&M is, among other things, purchasing from the Partnership, at market-based rates, particular grades of crude oil that the Partnership's crude oil acquisition and marketing business purchases for delivery to certain pipelines. These agreements automatically renew on a monthly basis unless terminated by either party on 30 days' written notice. Sunoco R&M also leases the Partnership's 58 miles of interrefinery pipelines between Sunoco R&M's Philadelphia and Marcus Hook refineries for a term of 20 years.

Note Receivable from Affiliate

Effective October 1, 2000, the Predecessor loaned \$20.0 million to Sunoco. The loan, which was evidenced by a note that was collected on January 1, 2002, earned interest at a rate based on the short-term applicable federal rate established by the Internal Revenue Service.

Short-Term Borrowings due Affiliate

At December 31, 2000, the Predecessor had two short-term notes totaling \$45.0 million due Sunoco, which were repaid during 2001. The notes bore interest at a rate based on the short-term applicable federal rate established by the Internal Revenue Service.

Long-term Debt due Affiliate

At December 31, 2001, the Predecessor had long-term debt due to affiliate that was not assumed by the Partnership at the date of the IPO. The debt consisted of individual notes payable to Sunoco. One of the notes bore interest at a rate based on the short-term applicable federal rate established by the Internal Revenue Service, while the other notes bore interest based on the prime rate. Cash payments for interest in regards to the debt due affiliate, net of capitalized interest (see Note 1), were \$12.1 million and \$0.7 million in 2001 and 2002, respectively. There was no cash payment for interest on debt due to affiliate in 2003.

Capital Contributions

The Omnibus Agreement requires Sunoco R&M to: reimburse the Partnership for any operating expenses and capital expenditures in excess of \$8.0 million per year in each calendar year from 2002 to 2006 that are made to comply with the U.S. Department of Transportation's ("DOT") pipeline integrity management rule, subject to a maximum aggregate reimbursement of \$15.0 million over the five-year period ending December 31, 2006; complete, at its expense, certain tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm; and reimburse the Partnership for up to \$10.0 million of expenditures required at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership was reimbursed \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, by Sunoco R&M for maintenance capital expenditures and operating expenses incurred in excess of \$8.0 million to comply with DOT's pipeline integrity management rule. At December 31, 2003, the Partnership has received a cumulative reimbursement of \$2.6 million in regard to the \$15.0 million maximum reimbursement over the five-year period for compliance expenditures relative to the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Partnership was also reimbursed \$2.1 million by Sunoco R&M for certain tank maintenance and inspection expenditures at the Darby Creek Tank Farm. There were no amounts expended for these tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm during 2003. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Partnership was reimbursed by Sunoco R&M for expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements. These expenditures, which were recorded as maintenance capital and operating expenses, were as follows:

	Partnership and Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,	
	2002	2003
	(amounts in thousands)	
Maintenance capital	\$ 534	\$ 2,982
Operating expenses	351	467
	\$ 885	\$ 3,449

At December 31, 2003, the Partnership has received a cumulative reimbursement of \$4.3 million relative to the \$10 million maximum reimbursement for compliance expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm. The aggregate amounts reimbursed related to all of the previously mentioned provisions of the Omnibus Agreement of \$3.7 million and \$5.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, by Sunoco R&M related to these projects were recorded by the Partnership as capital contributions.

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired an equity ownership interest in an entity for \$10.6 million from Sunoco (see Note 14). Since the acquisition was from a related party, the interest in the entity was recorded by the Partnership at Sunoco's historical cost of \$11.7 million rather than the acquisition price of \$10.6 million. The additional \$1.1 million was recorded by the Partnership as a capital contribution.

4. Write-Down of Assets

In December 2002, the Partnership recorded a \$6.3 million provision to write-down an idled refined product pipeline in the Eastern Pipeline System and a related terminal. These assets were idled as a result of a long-term agreement entered into by the Partnership in December 2002 to lease throughput capacity on a third-party refined product pipeline which allows it to provide substantially the same service as existed on the idled pipeline while reducing operating expenses. This provision was recorded within depreciation and amortization in the statements of income.

In December 2001, the Predecessor recorded a \$1.4 million charge to write-off refined product terminal equipment. This provision was recorded within depreciation and amortization in the statements of income.

5. Income Taxes

As discussed in Note 1, substantially all income taxes are the responsibility of the unitholders and not the Partnership as of February 8, 2002 (the date of the IPO). However, prior to February 8, 2002, the Predecessor was included in the consolidated federal income tax return filed by Sunoco, prepared on a separate-return basis.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The components of income tax expense are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Predecessor	
	Year Ended December 31,	
	2001	2002
Income taxes currently payable:		
U.S. federal	\$ 5,657	\$ 841
State	1,124	39
	<u>6,781</u>	<u>880</u>
Deferred taxes:		
U.S. federal	7,352	616
State	1,461	59
	<u>8,813</u>	<u>675</u>
	<u>\$ 15,594</u>	<u>\$ 1,555</u>

The reconciliation of income tax expense at the U.S. statutory rate to income tax expense is as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Predecessor	
	Year Ended December 31,	
	2001	2002
Income tax expense at the U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent	\$14,826	\$ 1,742 ⁽¹⁾
Increase (reduction) in income taxes resulting from:		
State income taxes net of Federal income tax effects	1,680	64
Dividend exclusion for joint venture pipeline operations	(1,059)	(262)
Other	147	11
	<u>\$15,594</u>	<u>\$ 1,555</u>

⁽¹⁾ Based upon income for the period from January 1, 2002 through February 7, 2002, the date prior to the IPO.

Cash payments for income taxes (including amounts paid to Sunoco) amounted to \$6.2 million and \$0.1 million in 2001 and 2002, respectively.

6. Net Income Per Unit Data

The computation of basic net income per limited partner unit is calculated by dividing net income, after the deduction of the general partner's interest in net income, by the weighted-average number of common and subordinated units outstanding during the year. The general partner's interest in net income is calculated on a quarterly basis based upon its percentage interest in quarterly cash distributions declared. The general partner's interest in quarterly cash distributions consists of its 2.0 percent general interest and "incentive distributions", which are increasing percentages, up to 50 percent of quarterly distributions in excess of \$0.50 per limited partner unit (see Note 15). The general partner was allocated net income of \$1.4 million (representing 2.4 percent of total net income for the period) for the year ended December 31, 2003 and \$0.9 million (representing 2.0 percent of total net income for the period) for the period from February 8, 2002 to December 31, 2002. Diluted net income per limited partner unit is calculated by dividing net income applicable to limited partners' by the sum of the weighted-average number of common and subordinated units outstanding and the dilutive effect of incentive unit awards (see Note 13), as calculated by the treasury stock method.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Prior to the closing of the Partnership's IPO on February 8, 2002, there were no limited partner units outstanding. As such, net income per limited partner unit is not presented for the year ended December 31, 2001 and for the 38-day period from January 1, 2002 to February 7, 2002. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the weighted average number of limited partner units used to compute basic net income per limited partner unit to those used to compute diluted net income per limited partner unit for the period from February 8, 2002 to December 31, 2002 and the year ended December 31, 2003:

	Partnership	
	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding—basic	22,767,899	22,771,793
Add effect of dilutive unit incentive awards	17,508	122,727
Weighted average number of limited partner units—diluted	22,785,407	22,894,520

7. Inventories

The components of inventories are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Partnership	
	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Crude oil	\$ 24,641	\$ 26,543
Materials, supplies and other	1,103	725
	\$ 25,744	\$ 27,268

The current replacement cost of crude oil inventory exceeded its carrying value by \$33.5 million and \$38.1 million at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively. During 2002, the Partnership reduced crude oil inventory quantities, which were valued at lower LIFO costs prevailing in prior years. The effect of this reduction in inventory was to increase 2002 net income by \$1.5 million.

8. Properties, Plants and Equipment

The components of net properties, plants and equipment are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Estimated Useful Lives	Partnership	
		December 31,	
		2002	2003
Land and land improvements (including rights of way)	—	\$ 48,310	\$ 49,425
Pipeline and related assets	38 - 60	493,887	510,670
Terminals and storage facilities	5 - 44	324,506	345,950
Other	5 - 48	73,512	75,674
Construction-in-progress	—	32,284	24,026
		972,499	1,005,745
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(398,985)	(422,581)
		\$ 573,514	\$ 583,164

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

9. Investment in Affiliates

The Partnership's ownership percentages in joint ventures are as follows:

	Partnership	
	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Explorer Pipeline Company	9.4%	9.4%
Wolverine Pipe Line Company	31.5%	31.5%
West Shore Pipe Line Company	9.2%	12.3%
Yellowstone Pipe Line Company	14.0%	14.0%
West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company	43.8%	43.8%

The following table provides summarized financial information on a 100 percent basis for the Partnership's equity ownership interests. The results of Wolverine, West Shore, Yellowstone and West Texas Gulf have been included from their dates of acquisition (see Note 14) (in thousands of dollars):

	Predecessor	Predecessor and Partnership	Partnership
	2001	2002	2003
Income Statement Data:			
Total revenues	\$ 178,082	\$ 213,609	\$ 345,840
Income before income taxes	\$ 80,047	\$ 106,234	\$ 156,788
Net income	\$ 50,610	\$ 70,447	\$ 96,712
Balance Sheet Data (as of year-end):			
Current assets	\$ 44,075	\$ 100,132	\$ 90,291
Non-current assets	\$ 132,327	\$ 441,720	\$ 477,467
Current liabilities	\$ 20,976	\$ 70,404	\$ 87,199
Non-current liabilities	\$ 149,407	\$ 419,563	\$ 423,763
Net equity	\$ 6,019	\$ 51,885	\$ 56,796

The Partnership's investments in Wolverine, West Shore, Yellowstone, and West Texas Gulf at December 31, 2003 include an excess investment amount of approximately \$56.7 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$0.7 million. The excess investment is the difference between the investment balance and the Partnership's proportionate share of the net assets of the entities. The excess investment was allocated to the underlying tangible and intangible assets. Other than land and indefinite-lived intangible assets, all amounts allocated, principally to pipeline and related assets, were amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life of 40 years and included within depreciation and amortization in the statements of income.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

10. Long-Term Debt

The components of long-term debt (including current portion) are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Partnership	
	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Credit Facility	\$ 64,500	\$ 64,500
Senior Notes	250,000	250,000
Less unamortized bond discount	(1,533)	(1,364)
Other (including current portion)	4,478	—
	<u>317,445</u>	<u>313,136</u>
Less current portion	(303)	—
	<u>\$317,142</u>	<u>\$313,136</u>

In conjunction with the IPO, the Operating Partnership issued \$250.0 million of ten-year, 7.25 percent Senior Notes at 99.325 percent of the principal amount and established a revolving credit facility that matures January 2005 (the "Credit Facility"). The Senior Notes are redeemable, at a make-whole premium, and are not subject to sinking fund provisions. The Senior Notes contain various covenants limiting the Operating Partnership's ability to incur certain liens, engage in sale/leaseback transactions, or merge, consolidate or sell substantially all of its assets. The Operating Partnership is in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2003. In addition, the Senior Notes are also subject to repurchase by the Operating Partnership at a price equal to 100 percent of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest upon a change of control to a non-investment grade entity. The Operating Partnership distributed the net proceeds of \$244.8 million after offering commissions and issuance expenses from the sale of the outstanding Senior Notes to the Partnership for distribution to Sunoco.

On April 11, 2002, the Operating Partnership filed an exchange offer registration statement on SEC Form S-4, in connection with the registration of the exchange of the Senior Notes and the guarantees covering the Senior Notes. This registration statement was declared effective on June 28, 2002. The exchange offer was completed on August 2, 2002, with all \$250 million aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes being exchanged for a like principal amount of new publicly tradable notes having substantially identical terms issued pursuant to the exchange offer registration statement filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

The Credit Facility, which currently has an aggregate committed sum of \$250 million, is available to fund the Operating Partnership's working capital requirements, to finance future acquisitions and for general partnership purposes. It may also be used to fund the quarterly distribution to a maximum of \$20.0 million. Borrowing under this distribution sublimit must be reduced to zero each year for a 15-day period. The Credit Facility bears interest at the Operating Partnership's option, at either (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin or (ii) the higher of the federal funds rate plus 0.50 percent or the Bank of America prime rate (each plus the applicable margin). The interest rate on the outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2003 was 1.85 percent. The Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time. The Credit Facility contains various covenants limiting the Operating Partnership's ability to incur indebtedness; grant certain liens; make certain loans, acquisitions and investments; make any material change to the nature of its business; acquire another company; or enter into a merger or sale of assets, including the sale or transfer of interests in the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries. The Credit Facility also contains covenants requiring the Operating Partnership to maintain, on a rolling four-quarter basis, a maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio (each as defined in the credit agreement) of 4.0 to 1; and an interest

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of at least 3.5 to 1. The Operating Partnership is in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2003. The Partnership's ratio of total debt to EBITDA was 3.0 to 1 and the interest coverage ratio was 5.1 to 1 for the year ended December 31, 2003.

The Partnership and the operating subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership serve as joint and several guarantors of the Senior Notes and of any obligations under the Credit Facility. The guarantees are full and unconditional.

The Partnership has no operations and its only assets are its investments in its wholly-owned partnerships and subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership also has no operations and its assets are limited primarily to its investments in its wholly-owned operating subsidiaries, deferred charges, and cash and cash equivalents of \$50.1 million. Except for amounts associated with the Senior Notes, the Credit Facility, cash and cash equivalents and advances to affiliate, the assets and liabilities in the balance sheets and the revenues and costs and expenses in the statements of income are primarily attributable to the operating subsidiaries.

The aggregate amount of long-term debt maturities is as follows (in thousands of dollars):

<u>Year Ended December 31:</u>	
2005	\$ 64,500
2012	250,000
	<u>\$ 314,500</u>

Cash payments for interest related to long-term debt, net of capitalized interest (see Note 1), were \$0.4 million, \$8.6 million and \$19.3 million in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

The Partnership, as lessee, has noncancelable operating leases for land, office space and equipment. Total rental expense for 2001, 2002 and 2003 amounted to \$3.7 million, \$3.1 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

The aggregate amount of future minimum annual rentals as of December 31, 2003 applicable to noncancelable operating leases is as follows (in thousands of dollars):

<u>Year Ended December 31:</u>	
2004	\$ 2,785
2005	2,152
2006	1,772
2007	1,437
2008	1,318
Thereafter	6,573
Total	<u>\$ 16,037</u>

As part of the agreement to purchase Wolverine in November 2002 (see Note 14), the Partnership agreed to assume participation in an agreement along with the other owners of Wolverine to guarantee certain outstanding debt instruments of Wolverine based upon ownership percentage. Based upon outstanding indebtedness of these instruments of approximately \$6.4 million at December 31, 2003, the approximate value of the guarantee is \$2.0 million.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The Partnership is subject to numerous federal, state and local laws which regulate the discharge of materials into the environment or that otherwise relate to the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations result in liabilities and loss contingencies for remediation at the Partnership's facilities and at third-party or formerly owned sites. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, there were accrued liabilities for environmental remediation in the balance sheets of \$0.5 million for each period. The accrued liabilities for environmental remediation does not include any amounts attributable to unasserted claims, nor have any recoveries from insurance been assumed. Pretax charges against income for environmental remediation totaled \$6.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Total future costs for environmental remediation activities will depend upon, among other things, the identification of any additional sites, the determination of the extent of the contamination at each site, the timing and nature of required remedial actions, the technology available and needed to meet the various existing legal requirements, the nature and extent of future environmental laws, inflation rates and the determination of the Partnership's liability at multi-party sites, if any, in light of uncertainties with respect to joint and several liability, and the number, participation levels and financial viability of other parties. As discussed below, the Partnership's current and future costs have been and will be impacted by an indemnification from Sunoco.

The Predecessor is a party to certain pending and threatened claims. Although the ultimate outcome of these claims cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some portion of them could be resolved unfavorably to the Predecessor. Management does not believe that any liabilities which may arise from such claims and the environmental matters discussed above would be material in relation to the financial position of the Partnership at December 31, 2003. Furthermore, management does not believe that the overall costs for such matters will have a material impact, over an extended period of time, on the Partnership's operations, cash flows or liquidity.

Sunoco has indemnified the Partnership for 30 years from environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets contributed to the Partnership that arise from the operation of such assets prior to the closing of the February 2002 IPO. Sunoco has indemnified the Partnership for 100 percent of all losses asserted within the first 21 years of closing of the February 2002 IPO. Sunoco's share of liability for claims asserted thereafter will decrease by 10 percent a year. For example, for a claim asserted during the twenty-third year after closing of the February 2002 IPO, Sunoco would be required to indemnify the Partnership for 80 percent of its loss. There is no monetary cap on the amount of indemnity coverage provided by Sunoco. The Partnership has agreed to indemnify Sunoco for events and conditions associated with the operation of the Partnership's assets that occur on or after the closing of the February 2002 IPO and for environmental and toxic tort liabilities to the extent Sunoco is not required to indemnify the Partnership.

Sunoco also has indemnified the Partnership for liabilities, other than environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets contributed to the Partnership, that arise out of Sunoco's ownership and operation of the assets prior to the closing of the February 2002 IPO and that are asserted within 10 years after closing of the February 2002 IPO. In addition, Sunoco has indemnified the Partnership from liabilities relating to certain defects in title to the assets contributed to the Partnership and associated with failure to obtain certain consents and permits necessary to conduct its business that arise within 10 years after closing of the February 2002 IPO as well as from liabilities relating to legal actions currently pending against Sunoco or its affiliates and events and conditions associated with any assets retained by Sunoco or its affiliates.

Management of the Partnership does not believe that any liabilities which may arise from claims indemnified by Sunoco would be material in relation to the financial position of the Partnership at December 31, 2003.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

12. Net Parent Investment

The Predecessor's net parent investment account represented a net balance resulting from the settlement of intercompany transactions (including federal income taxes) between the Predecessor and Sunoco as well as Sunoco's ownership interest in the net assets of the Predecessor. It also reflects the Predecessor's participation in Sunoco's central cash management program, wherein all of the Predecessor's cash receipts were remitted to Sunoco and all cash disbursements were funded by Sunoco. There were no terms of settlement or interest charges attributable to this balance. The Predecessor's net parent investment account excludes amounts loaned to/borrowed from Sunoco evidenced by interest-bearing notes.

In connection with the contribution of the Predecessor to the Partnership on February 8, 2002, Sunoco retained certain assets and liabilities. The following table summarizes the carrying amount of the assets and liabilities which were not contributed by Sunoco (in thousands of dollars):

Account receivable	\$ 2,446
Inventories	6,989
Deferred income taxes	2,821
Properties, plants and equipment, net	1,482
Other deferred charges	1,464
	<hr/>
	15,202
	<hr/>
Accounts payable	4,152
Accrued liabilities	10,714
Taxes payable	14,072
Long-term debt due affiliate	90,000
Deferred income taxes	78,815
Other deferred credits and liabilities	8,336
	<hr/>
	206,089
	<hr/>
Net liabilities retained by Sunoco	<u>\$ 190,887</u>

13. Management Incentive Plan

Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of the Partnership, has adopted the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") for employees and directors of the general partner who perform services for the Partnership. The LTIP is administered by the independent directors of the Compensation Committee of the general partner's board of directors. LTIP awards may consist of either restricted units or unit options. The LTIP currently permits the grant of restricted units and unit options covering an aggregate of 1,250,000 common units.

Restricted Units

A restricted unit entitles the grantee to receive a common unit or, at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, an amount of cash equivalent to the value of a common unit upon the vesting of the unit, which may include the attainment of predetermined performance targets. The Compensation Committee may make additional grants under the LTIP to employees and directors containing such terms as the Compensation Committee shall determine. Common units to be delivered to the grantee upon vesting may be common units acquired by the general partner in the open market, common units already owned by the general partner, common units acquired by the general partner directly from the Partnership or any other person, or any combination of the

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

foregoing. The general partner will be entitled to reimbursement by the Partnership for the cost incurred in acquiring common units. If the Partnership issues new common units upon vesting of the restricted units, the total number of common units outstanding will increase. The Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may grant tandem distribution equivalent rights (“DER”) with respect to the restricted units. DERs entitle the grantee to receive an amount of cash equal to the per unit cash distributions made by the Partnership during the period the restricted unit is outstanding.

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, 185,625 and 62,200 restricted units, respectively, along with tandem distribution equivalent rights were granted to employees of the general partner and will vest at the end of three-year periods based upon attainment of certain predetermined performance targets. The weighted average price for the restricted unit awards at the dates of grant was \$19.87 for the 2002 grants and \$24.94 for the 2003 grants. The Partnership recognized non-cash compensation expense of \$0.7 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, related to these grants.

Unit Options

A unit option entitles the grantee to purchase a common unit at a price determined at the date of grant by the Compensation Committee. There have been no grants of unit options for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, and there are no unit options outstanding as of December 31, 2003. However, the Compensation Committee may, in the future, make grants under the LTIP to employees and directors containing such terms as the Compensation Committee shall determine, provided that unit options have an exercise price no less than the fair market value of the units on the date of grant. Upon exercise of a unit option, the general partner will deliver common units acquired by it in the open market, purchased directly from the Partnership or any other person, use common units already owned by the general partner, or any combination of the foregoing. The general partner will be entitled to reimbursement by the Partnership for the difference between the cost incurred by the general partner in acquiring such common units and the proceeds received by the general partner from an optionee at the time of exercise. Thus, the cost of the unit options will be born by the Partnership. If the Partnership issues new common units upon exercise of the unit options, the total number of common units outstanding will increase, and the general partner will remit to the Partnership the proceeds received by it from the optionee upon exercise of the unit option.

The Partnership follows SFAS 123 (as discussed in Note 1) and has recognized compensation expense related to the restricted units granted based on the fair value method.

14. Acquisitions

On September 30, 2003, the Partnership acquired an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore, a Midwestern United States products pipeline company, for \$3.7 million. The acquisition of this additional interest raised the Partnership’s overall ownership percentage in West Shore from 9.2 percent to 12.3 percent.

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a company whose assets included equity ownership interests in three Midwestern and Western United States products pipeline companies, consisting of a 31.5 percent interest in Wolverine, a 9.2 percent interest in West Shore, and a 14.0 percent interest in Yellowstone, for an aggregate purchase price of \$54.0 million. The purchase price for this acquisition was funded through borrowings under the Partnership’s Credit Facility (see Note 10). The Partnership’s share of income from these joint ventures has been included in other income in the statements of income from the acquisition date. This investment was included within investment in affiliates in the balance sheets.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

On November 15, 2002, the Partnership acquired a 43.8 percent equity ownership interest in West Texas Gulf, a Texas crude oil pipeline, for an aggregate purchase price of \$10.6 million from Sunoco. Consideration paid to Sunoco for the acquisition included \$10.5 million in cash and 4,515 Partnership common units with a value of \$0.1 million at the date of the transaction. Since the acquisition was from a related party, the interest in West Texas Gulf was recorded by the Partnership at Sunoco's historical cost of \$11.7 million. The additional \$1.1 million was reflected as a capital contribution in the balance sheet. The cash component of the consideration for this acquisition was principally financed through borrowings under the Partnership's Credit Facility. The Partnership's share of income from this joint venture has been included in other income in the statements of income from the acquisition date. The investment was included within investment in affiliates in the balance sheets.

The following unaudited pro forma data is presented to show pro forma revenues, net income and basic and diluted net income per limited partner unit for the Partnership as if the acquisitions had occurred on January 1, 2001 (in thousands except per unit amounts):

	Predecessor	Partnership And Predecessor	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Total revenues	\$ 1,623,005	\$ 1,838,210	\$ 2,674,525
Net income	\$ 28,795	\$ 52,111	\$ 59,655
Net income per Limited Partner unit (2002 is for the period from February 8 through December 31, 2002):			
Basic		\$ 2.06	\$ 2.56
Diluted		\$ 2.05	\$ 2.54

Pro forma net income utilized for pro forma net income per limited partner unit calculation purposes for the period from February 8, 2002 to December 31, 2002 and the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$46.8 million and \$58.2 million, respectively, after reduction for the general partner's interest in net income. Prior to the closing of the Partnership's IPO on February 8, 2002, there were no limited partner units outstanding and, as such, net income per limited partner unit is not presented for the year ended December 31, 2001 and for the 38 day period from January 1, 2002 to February 7, 2002.

15. Cash Distributions

The Partnership distributes all cash on hand within 45 days after the end of each quarter, less reserves established by the general partner in its discretion. This is defined as "available cash" in the partnership agreement. The general partner has broad discretion to establish cash reserves that it determines are necessary or appropriate to properly conduct the Partnership's business. The Partnership will make quarterly distributions to the extent there is sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to the general partner.

The Partnership has 11,383,639 subordinated units issued, all of which are held by the general partner and for which there is no established public trading market. During the subordination period the Partnership will, in general, pay cash distributions each quarter in the following manner:

- First, 98 percent to the holders of common units and 2 percent to the general partner, until each common unit has received a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.45, plus any arrearages from prior quarters;

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- Second, 98 percent to the holders of subordinated units and 2 percent to the general partner, until each subordinated unit has received a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.45; and
- Thereafter, in the manner described in the table below.

The subordination period is generally defined as the period that ends on the first day of any quarter beginning after December 31, 2006 if (1) the Partnership has distributed at least the minimum quarterly distribution on all outstanding units with respect to each of the immediately preceding three consecutive, non-overlapping four quarter periods; and (2) the adjusted operating surplus, as defined in the partnership agreement, during such periods equals or exceeds the amount that would have been sufficient to enable the Partnership to distribute the minimum quarterly distribution on all outstanding units on a fully diluted basis and the related distribution on the 2 percent general partner interest during those periods. The Partnership has met the minimum quarterly distribution requirements on all outstanding units for each of the four quarter periods in 2002 and 2003. In addition, one-quarter of the subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis after December 31, 2004, and one-quarter of the subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis after December 31, 2005, if the Partnership meets the tests set forth in the partnership agreement. If the subordination period ends, the rights of the holders of subordinated units will no longer be subordinated to the rights of the holders of common units and the subordinated units may be converted into common units.

After the subordination period, the Partnership will, in general, pay cash distributions each quarter in the following manner:

- First, 98 percent to all unitholders, pro rata, and 2 percent to the general partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and
- Thereafter, as described in the paragraph and table below.

As presented in the table below, if cash distributions exceed \$0.50 per unit in a quarter, the general partner will receive increasing percentages, up to 50 percent, of the cash distributed in excess of that amount. These distributions are referred to as “incentive distributions.” The amounts shown in the table below are the percentage interests of the general partner and the unitholders in any available cash from operating surplus that is distributed up to and including the corresponding amount in the column “Quarterly Cash Distribution per Unit,” until the available cash that is distributed reaches the next target distribution level, if any. The percentage interests shown for the unitholders and the general partner for the minimum quarterly distribution are also applicable to quarterly distribution amounts that are less than the minimum quarterly distribution.

<u>Quarterly Cash Distribution per Unit:</u>	<u>Unitholders</u>	<u>General Partner</u>
Up to minimum quarterly distribution (\$0.45 per Unit)	98%	2%
Above \$0.45 per Unit up to \$0.50 per Unit	98%	2%
Above \$0.50 per Unit up to \$0.575 per Unit	85%	15%
Above \$0.575 per Unit up to \$0.70 per Unit	75%	25%
Above \$0.70 per Unit	50%	50%

There is no guarantee that the Partnership will pay the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units in any quarter, and the Partnership will be prohibited from making any distributions to unitholders if it would cause an event of default, or if an event of default is existing, under the Credit Facility or the Senior Notes.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Distributions paid by the Partnership for the period from February 8, 2002, the closing date of the IPO, through December 31, 2003 were as follows:

<u>Date Cash Distribution Paid</u>	<u>Per Unit Cash Distribution Amount</u>	<u>Annualized Per Unit Cash Distribution Amount</u>	<u>Total Cash Distribution to the Limited Partners</u>	<u>Total Cash Distribution To the General Partner</u>
			(\$ in millions)	(\$ in millions)
May 15, 2002	\$ 0.26	\$ 1.80	\$ 5.9	\$ 0.1
August 14, 2002	\$ 0.45	\$ 1.80	\$ 10.3	\$ 0.2
November 14, 2002	\$ 0.45	\$ 1.80	\$ 10.3	\$ 0.2
February 14, 2003	\$ 0.4875	\$ 1.95	\$ 11.1	\$ 0.2
May 15, 2003	\$ 0.4875	\$ 1.95	\$ 11.1	\$ 0.2
August 14, 2003	\$ 0.50	\$ 2.00	\$ 11.4	\$ 0.2
November 14, 2003	\$ 0.5125	\$ 2.05	\$ 11.7	\$ 0.3

On January 21, 2004, the Partnership declared a cash distribution of \$0.55 per unit on its outstanding common and subordinated units, representing the distribution for the quarter ended December 31, 2003. The \$13.0 million distribution, including \$0.4 million to the general partner, was paid on February 13, 2004 to unitholders of record at the close of business on February 4, 2004. The distribution paid on May 15, 2002 represented the minimum quarterly distribution for the 52-day period from the closing date of the IPO, February 8, 2002, through March 31, 2002.

16. Financial Instruments and Concentration of Credit Risk

The estimated fair value of financial instruments has been determined based on the Partnership's assessment of available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, these estimates may not necessarily be indicative of the amounts that the Partnership could realize in a current market exchange.

The Partnership's current assets (other than inventories) and current liabilities are financial instruments. The estimated fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying amounts. The estimated fair values of long-term debt, consisting primarily of the Senior Notes and amounts due under the Credit Facility, at December 31, 2002 and 2003 were \$351.1 million and \$349.8 million, respectively, compared to the carrying amounts of \$317.1 million and \$313.1 million, respectively. The Senior Notes, which are publicly traded, were valued based upon quoted market prices while the fair values of the other debt were based upon the current interest rates at the balance sheet dates for similar issues.

Approximately 52 percent of total revenues recognized by the Partnership during 2003 is derived from Sunoco R&M. The Partnership sells crude oil to Sunoco R&M, transports crude oil and refined products to/from Sunoco R&M's refineries and provides terminalling and storage services for Sunoco R&M. Sunoco has been issued an investment grade credit rating by three recognized agencies and, accordingly, management of the Partnership does not believe that the transactions with Sunoco R&M expose it to significant credit risk.

The Partnership's other trade relationships are primarily with major integrated oil companies, independent oil companies and other pipelines and wholesalers. These concentrations of customers may affect the Partnership's overall credit risk in that the customers (including Sunoco R&M) may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other factors. The Partnership's customers' credit positions are analyzed prior to extending credit and periodically after the credit has been extended. The Partnership manages its exposure to credit risk through credit analysis, credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures, and for certain transactions may utilize letters of credit, prepayments and guarantees.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

17. Business Segment Information

The Partnership operates in three principal business segments: Eastern Pipeline System, Terminal Facilities and Western Pipeline System. A detailed description of each of these segments is contained in Note 1.

Segment Information (in thousands of dollars)

	Predecessor			
	Year Ended December 31, 2001			
	Eastern Pipeline System	Terminal Facilities	Western Pipeline System	Total
Sales and other operating revenue:				
Affiliates	\$ 69,631	\$ 43,628 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 953,923	\$ 1,067,182
Unaffiliated customers	\$ 21,059	\$ 30,273	\$ 494,490	\$ 545,822
Operating income	\$ 29,893 ⁽²⁾	\$ 16,076	\$ 7,372	\$ 53,341
Net interest expense				(10,980)
Income tax expense				(15,594)
Net income				\$ 26,767
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 9,778	\$ 11,094 ⁽³⁾	\$ 4,453	\$ 25,325
Capital expenditures	\$ 28,618	\$ 25,203	\$ 18,862	\$ 72,683
Investment in affiliates	\$ 27	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 27
Identifiable assets	\$ 303,685	\$ 189,378	\$ 293,317	\$ 789,201 ⁽⁴⁾

⁽¹⁾ Substantially all of these revenues reflect transfer prices which are equal to the costs incurred for these activities. Includes \$3,008 thousand reimbursement of costs incurred for environmental remediation and other unusual items.

⁽²⁾ Includes equity income of \$4,323 thousand attributable to the Predecessor's equity ownership interest in Explorer.

⁽³⁾ Includes a \$1,414 thousand charge to write-off refined product terminal equipment (see Note 4).

⁽⁴⁾ Identifiable assets include the Predecessor's unallocated \$2,821 thousand deferred income tax asset.

	Predecessor and Partnership			
	Year Ended December 31, 2002			
	Eastern Pipeline System	Terminal Facilities	Western Pipeline System	Total
Sales and other operating revenue:				
Affiliates	\$ 72,173	\$ 55,971	\$ 1,019,577	\$ 1,147,721
Unaffiliated customers	\$ 22,865	\$ 31,914	\$ 621,528	\$ 676,307
Operating income	\$ 27,158 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 28,839	\$ 9,632 ⁽²⁾	\$ 65,629
Net interest expense				(17,299)
Income tax expense				(1,555)
Net income				\$ 46,775
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 15,051 ⁽³⁾	\$ 11,113 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 5,170	\$ 31,334
Capital expenditures	\$ 12,848 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 21,199	\$ 6,735 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 40,782
Investment in affiliates	\$ 54,660	\$ —	\$ 11,073	\$ 65,733
Identifiable assets	\$ 318,128	\$ 212,286	\$ 512,966	\$ 1,093,880 ⁽⁷⁾

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- (1) Includes equity income of \$6,706 thousand attributable to the Partnership's equity ownership interests in Explorer, Wolverine, West Shore and Yellowstone.
- (2) Includes equity income of \$207 thousand attributable to the Partnership's equity ownership interest in West Texas Gulf.
- (3) Includes a \$5,598 thousand provision to write-down an idled refined product pipeline (see Note 4).
- (4) Includes a \$671 thousand provision to write down an idled terminal (see Note 4).
- (5) Excludes the \$54,000 thousand acquisition of an entity whose assets included equity ownership interests in Wolverine, West Shore and Yellowstone (see Note 14).
- (6) Excludes the \$10,491 thousand acquisition of the equity ownership interest in West Texas Gulf (see Note 14).
- (7) Identifiable assets include the Partnership's unallocated \$33,840 thousand cash and cash equivalents, \$10,716 thousand advances to affiliate, \$3,124 thousand deferred financing costs, and \$2,820 thousand attributable to corporate activities.

	Partnership			
	Year Ended December 31, 2003			
	Eastern Pipeline System	Terminal Facilities	Western Pipeline System	Total
Sales and other operating revenue:				
Affiliates	\$ 72,533	\$ 60,060	\$ 1,250,497	\$ 1,383,090
Unaffiliated customers	\$ 21,628	\$ 31,608	\$ 1,221,147	\$ 1,274,383
Operating income	\$ 37,118 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 30,455	\$ 11,901 ⁽²⁾	\$ 79,474
Net interest expense				(20,040)
Net income				\$ 59,434
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 10,630	\$ 10,925	\$ 5,602	\$ 27,157
Capital expenditures	\$ 11,243 ⁽³⁾	\$ 19,617	\$ 6,517	\$ 37,377
Investment in affiliates	\$ 58,996	\$ —	\$ 11,494	\$ 70,490
Identifiable assets	\$ 324,037	\$ 218,048	\$ 574,898	\$ 1,179,998 ⁽⁴⁾

- (1) Includes equity income of \$11,921 thousand attributable to the Partnership's equity ownership interests in Explorer, Wolverine, West Shore and Yellowstone.
- (2) Includes equity income of \$2,544 thousand attributable to the Partnership's equity ownership interest in West Texas Gulf.
- (3) Excludes the \$3,699 thousand acquisition of an additional equity ownership interest in West Shore (see Note 14).
- (4) Identifiable assets include the Partnership's unallocated \$50,081 thousand cash and cash equivalents, \$7,288 thousand advances to affiliate, \$2,699 thousand deferred financing costs, and \$2,947 thousand attributable to corporate activities.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table sets forth the Partnership's and Predecessor's total sales and other operating revenue by product or service (in thousands of dollars):

	Predecessor	Predecessor and Partnership	Partnership
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Affiliates:			
Crude oil sales	\$ 944,400	\$ 1,009,988	\$ 1,246,210
Pipeline	79,154	81,762	76,820
Terminalling and other	43,628	55,971	60,060
	<u>\$ 1,067,182</u>	<u>\$ 1,147,721</u>	<u>\$ 1,383,090</u>
Unaffiliated Customers:			
Crude oil sales	\$ 491,238	\$ 618,314	\$ 1,218,063
Pipeline	24,311	26,079	24,712
Terminalling and other	30,273	31,914	31,608
	<u>\$ 545,822</u>	<u>\$ 676,307</u>	<u>\$ 1,274,383</u>

18. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data is as follows (in thousands of dollars, except per unit amounts):

	Predecessor and Partnership	Partnership		
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2002				
Sales and other operating revenue:				
Affiliates	\$ 237,468	\$ 307,824	\$ 284,903	\$ 317,526
Unaffiliated customers	\$ 106,943	\$ 142,616	\$ 213,433	\$ 213,315
Gross margin ⁽¹⁾	\$ 25,105	\$ 24,969	\$ 27,141	\$ 24,583
Operating income	\$ 17,116	\$ 17,063	\$ 18,300	\$ 13,150
Net income	\$ 12,539	\$ 12,507	\$ 13,474	\$ 8,255
Net income per Limited Partner unit—basic ⁽²⁾	\$ 0.39 ⁽³⁾	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.36
Net income per Limited Partner unit—diluted ⁽²⁾	\$ 0.39 ⁽³⁾	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.35

	Partnership			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2003				
Sales and other operating revenue:				
Affiliates	\$ 353,980	\$ 313,087	\$ 352,981	\$ 363,042
Unaffiliated customers	\$ 362,039	\$ 343,854	\$ 300,179	\$ 268,311
Gross margin ⁽¹⁾	\$ 30,463	\$ 26,231	\$ 29,021	\$ 25,441
Operating income	\$ 22,441	\$ 16,818	\$ 22,136	\$ 18,079
Net income	\$ 17,843	\$ 11,862	\$ 16,868	\$ 12,861
Net income per Limited Partner unit—basic ⁽²⁾	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.55
Net income per Limited Partner unit—diluted ⁽²⁾	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.54

⁽¹⁾ Gross margin equals sales and other operating revenue less cost of products sold and operating expenses and depreciation and amortization.

⁽²⁾ Net income included within this calculation excludes amounts attributable to the general partner's interest in net income.

⁽³⁾ Net income included within this calculation is for the 52 day period from February 8, 2002, the date of the IPO, to March 31, 2002.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) As of December 31, 2003, the Partnership carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Sunoco Partners LLC, the Partnership's general partner (including the President and Chief Executive Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC and the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC and the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

(b) No change in the Partnership's internal controls over financial reporting has occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2003 that has materially affected, or that is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Partnership reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Partnership reports under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC and the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner, is a wholly-owned, indirect subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc. The general partner manages the Partnership's operations and activities. The Partnership's unitholders did not elect the general partner, or any of its officers or directors, and none of them is subject to re-election on a regular basis by unitholders in the future. Unitholders do not directly or indirectly participate in the management or operation of the Partnership. The general partner is liable, as general partner, for all of the Partnership's debts (to the extent not paid from Partnership assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it.

The general partner's board of directors held 5 meetings during 2003. Following his or her respective date of appointment to the board, each director attended all of the scheduled board meetings held during the year. The board has established standing committees to consider designated matters. The standing committees of the board are the Audit/Conflicts Committee and the Compensation Committee. The board includes three directors who meet applicable criteria for independence established under the federal securities laws and NYSE regulations. Each of these directors is a member of the Audit/Conflicts Committee and the Compensation Committee, and each of these committees is chaired by an independent director.

The members of the Audit/Conflicts Committee oversee external financial reporting, engage independent auditors, and review procedures for internal auditing and the adequacy of internal accounting controls. In addition, the Audit/Conflicts Committee also reviews specific matters that the board believes may involve conflicts of interest. This committee determines if the resolution of the conflict of interest is fair and reasonable to the Partnership. The members of the Audit/Conflicts Committee may not be officers or employees of the general partner or directors, officers, or employees of its affiliates, and must meet the independence and experience standards to serve on an audit committee of a board of directors established by the New York Stock Exchange. The current members of the Audit/Conflicts Committee are Stephen L. Cropper (chairman), Gary W. Edwards and L. Wilson Berry. The Audit/Conflicts Committee held 9 meetings during 2003 and, following their respective dates of appointment, each member attended all of the Audit/Conflict Committee meetings held during the year.

The general partner's board of directors has determined that, based upon relevant experience, Audit/Conflicts Committee member Stephen L. Cropper is an "audit committee financial expert," as defined in Item 401 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. A description of Mr. Cropper's qualifications may be found elsewhere in this Item 10. The general partner's Board also has determined that Mr. Cropper is independent of management.

The Compensation Committee of the general partner's board of directors oversees compensation decisions for the officers of the general partner and the administration of the compensation plans described below. The current members of the Compensation Committee are Gary W. Edwards (chairman), Stephen L. Cropper, L. Wilson Berry and John G. Drosdick. Mr. Drosdick recuses himself from Compensation Committee decisions relating to equity compensation awards. The Compensation Committee held 4 meetings during 2003 and, following their respective dates of appointment, each member attended all of the Compensation Committee meetings held during the year.

The Partnership's unitholders and other interested parties may communicate with the general partner's board of directors, or any director or committee chairperson by writing to such parties in care of Bruce D. Davis, Jr., Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Sunoco Partners LLC, Ten Penn Center—3rd Floor, 1801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699. Communications addressed to the board generally will be forwarded either to the appropriate committee chairperson or to all directors. Communications may be submitted confidentially and anonymously. Under certain circumstances, the Partnership or the general partner may be

[Table of Contents](#)

required by law to disclose the information or identity of the person submitting the communication. There were no material actions taken by the Board of Directors as a result of communications received during 2003 from unitholders or others. Certain concerns communicated to the general partner's board of directors also may be referred to the general partner's internal auditor or its General Counsel, in accordance with the general partner's regular procedures for addressing such concerns. The chairman of the general partner's board of directors, or the chairman of the general partner's Audit/Conflicts Committee may direct that certain concerns be presented to the Audit/Conflicts Committee, or to the full board, or that they otherwise receive special treatment, including retention of external counsel or other advisors.

The officers of the general partner, other than Paul A. Mulholland, Treasurer, spend substantially all of their time managing the Partnership's business and affairs. The non-executive directors devote as much time as is necessary to prepare for and attend board of directors and committee meetings.

The Partnership's general partner has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Officers. This Code applies to the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer, the principal accounting officer and the treasurer and persons performing similar functions for the general partner and its subsidiaries. The Partnership and its general partner will satisfy any disclosure requirement under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to, or waiver from, any provision of this Code of Ethics with respect to the officers subject thereto by disclosing the nature of such amendment or waiver on its website or in a current report on Form 8-K.

Directors and Executive Officers of Sunoco Partners LLC (The General Partner)

The following table shows information for the directors and executive officers of Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner. Executive officers and directors are elected for one-year terms.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position with the General Partner</u>
John G. Drosdick	60	Chairman and Director
Deborah M. Fretz	55	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Cynthia A. Archer	50	Director
L. Wilson Berry	60	Director
Stephen L. Cropper	53	Director
Michael H.R. Dingus	55	Director
Gary W. Edwards	62	Director
Bruce G. Fischer	48	Director
Thomas W. Hofmann	52	Director
Paul S. Broker	43	Vice President, Western Operations
Bruce D. Davis, Jr.	47	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
James L. Fidler	56	Vice President, Business Development
David A. Justin	51	Vice President, Eastern Operations
Sean P. McGrath	32	Comptroller
Paul A. Mulholland	51	Treasurer
Colin A. Oerton	40	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Drosdick was elected Chairman of the Board of Directors in October 2001. He has been Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer of Sunoco, Inc. since May 2000. Prior to that, he was a director, President and Chief Operating Officer of Sunoco, Inc. from December 1996 to May 2000. Mr. Drosdick is a director of Lincoln National Corp and United States Steel Corporation.

Ms. Fretz was elected President, Chief Executive Officer and a director in October 2001. Prior to assuming her positions with the Partnership, she was Senior Vice President, MidContinent Refining, Marketing and Logistics of Sunoco, Inc. from November 2000. Prior to that, she was Senior Vice President, Logistics of Sunoco, Inc. from August 1994 to November 2000 and also held the position of Senior Vice President,

[Table of Contents](#)

Lubricants of Sunoco, Inc. from January 1997 to November 2000. In addition, she has been President of Sun Pipe Line Company, a subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc., since October 1991. Ms. Fretz is a director of GATX Corporation.

Ms. Archer was elected to the Board of Directors in April 2002. Ms. Archer has been Vice President, Marketing and Development, Sunoco, Inc. since January 2001. Prior to joining Sunoco, she was Senior Vice President, Operations for Williams-Sonoma Inc., in charge of their direct-to-customer business from June 1999 to January 2001. Before that, she was Senior Vice President, Intermodal Service Group for Consolidated Rail Corporation from May 1995 to May 1999. Ms. Archer is a director of Mercantile Bankshares Corporation.

Mr. Berry was elected to the Board of Directors in March 2003. He is currently a consultant in the energy field. From 1998 until his retirement in 2000, Mr. Berry was Chief Executive Officer and President of Motiva Enterprises LLC, a refining and marketing joint venture in the Eastern United States, established by Shell Norco Refining Company, Texaco Refining and Marketing (East) Inc., and Saudi Refining Inc. From 1996 to 1998, he was President of Texaco Refining & Marketing, Inc., a domestic refining and marketing division of Texaco, Inc.

Mr. Cropper was elected to the Board of Directors in May 2002. Mr. Cropper is currently a private investor. From January 1996 until the time of his retirement in December 1998, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Williams Energy Services, a diversified energy company. Mr. Cropper served as president of Williams Pipe Line Company from 1986 to 1998. He is a director of: Heritage Propane, QuikTrip Corporation, Berry Petroleum, Rental Car Finance Corporation and NRG Energy, Inc. Mr. Cropper has also been a past chairman of the Association of Oil Pipelines, and has served on the National Petroleum Council as well as the Transportation and Public Policy Committees of the American Petroleum Institute.

Mr. Dingus was elected to the Board of Directors in April 2002. He has been Senior Vice President, Sunoco, Inc. since January 2002. Prior to that, he was Vice President of Sunoco, Inc. from May 1999, and he has been President, Sun Coke Company since June 1996.

Mr. Edwards was elected to the Board of Directors in May 2002. Mr. Edwards is currently a consultant in the energy field. From November 1999 until the time of his retirement in December 2001, he was Senior Executive Vice President, Corporate Strategy & Development, Conoco, Inc., and had been Executive Vice President, Refining, Marketing, Supply & Transportation of Conoco from September 1991 until November 1999. From September 1991 to October 1998, Mr. Edwards was also a Senior Vice President, E. I. duPont de Nemours and Company (Conoco's former parent). Mr. Edwards is a past director of the American Petroleum Institute, and a past director and Vice President of the European Petroleum Industry Association in Brussels.

Mr. Fischer was elected to the Board of Directors in April 2002. He has been Senior Vice President, Sunoco Chemicals of Sunoco, Inc. since January 2002. Prior to that, he was Vice President, Sunoco Chemicals from November 2000 to January 2002, Vice President and General Manager, Sunoco MidAmerica Marketing and Refining from January 1999 to November 2000 and General Manager, Sunoco MidAmerica Marketing & Refining from June 1995 to January 1999.

Mr. Hofmann was elected to the Board of Directors in October 2001. He has been Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sunoco, Inc. since January 2002. Prior to that, he was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sunoco, Inc. from July 1998 to January 2002.

Mr. Broker was elected Vice President, Western Operations in November 2001. Prior to that, he had been Manager, Western Area Operations for Sun Pipe Line Company since September 2000. Mr. Broker served as an Area Superintendent of Eastern Area Operations for Sun Pipe Line Company from March 1997 through September 2000.

Mr. Davis was elected Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in November 2003. From September 2000 to November 2003, Mr. Davis was Associate General Counsel for Mirant Corporation. Prior to that, from July 1992 to September 2000, he was Associate General Counsel for Constellation Energy Group.

[Table of Contents](#)

Mr. Fidler was elected Vice President, Business Development in November 2001. Mr. Fidler had been Vice President/General Manager of Sunoco Distribution Operations for the Sunoco Logistics and Lubricants business units of Sunoco, Inc. since 1995.

Mr. Justin was elected Vice President, Eastern Operations in November 2001. From September 2000 to November 2001, Mr. Justin served as Manager, Eastern Area Operations for Sun Pipe Line Company. Prior to that, he had been Manager, Western Area Operations for Sun Pipe Line Company from 1998 through September 2000.

Mr. McGrath was elected Comptroller in June 2002. Prior to that, from November 1998 to May 2002, he was Manager—Financial Reporting for Asplundh Tree Expert Co.

Mr. Mulholland was elected Treasurer in January 2002. He has been Treasurer of Sunoco, Inc. since March 2000. Prior to that, from May 1996 to April 2000, he was Assistant Treasurer of Sunoco, Inc.

Mr. Oerton was elected Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in January 2002. From October 2001 to January 2002, he was acting as a consultant in the natural resources industry. Prior to that, from August 1996 to October 2001, he was Senior Vice President—Natural Resources Group for Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires directors, executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10 percent of the units to file certain reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange concerning their beneficial ownership of the equity securities. The Securities and Exchange Commission regulations also require that a copy of all such Section 16(a) forms must be furnished to the Partnership by the directors, executive officers and greater than 10 percent unitholders. Based solely upon review of copies of such reports, management of the Partnership believes that its officers, directors and 10 percent unitholders are in compliance with applicable requirements of Section 16(a).

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Partnership has no employees. It is managed by the officers of its general partner. The Partnership reimburses its general partner for certain indirect and direct expenses, including executive compensation expenses, incurred on the Partnership's behalf. Officers and employees of the general partner participate in employee benefit plans and arrangements sponsored by the general partner or its affiliates.

Compensation of Directors

Directors who are employees of Sunoco Partners LLC or its affiliates receive no additional compensation for service on the general partner's board of directors or any committees of the board. Directors who are not employees of Sunoco Partners LLC or its affiliates ("non-employee directors"), receive an annual retainer of \$15,000 in cash, paid quarterly, and a number of restricted units paid quarterly under the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan. These restricted units have an aggregate fair market value equal to \$15,000 on an annual basis (the fair market value of each quarterly payment of restricted units is calculated as of the payment date). The portion of the annual retainer paid in the form of restricted units is required to be deferred, and is credited to each non-employee director's account in the Sunoco Partners LLC Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts thus deferred in the form of restricted units are treated as if invested in common units of the Partnership, and include a credit for distribution equivalent rights (in the form of additional restricted units), credited on the applicable date for Partnership cash distributions.

During 2003, the chairmen of the Compensation Committee and the Audit/Conflicts Committee each received an annual committee chair retainer of \$1,500 in cash. In January 2004, the Audit/Conflicts Committee

[Table of Contents](#)

chair retainer was increased to \$6,500 in cash annually. Non-employee directors receive \$1,500 in cash for each board meeting attended, and \$1,000 in cash for each committee meeting attended. In addition, each non-employee director will be reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the board of directors or committees. Each director will be indemnified fully by the Partnership for actions associated with being a director to the extent permitted under Delaware law.

In addition to the mandatory deferral of the restricted unit retainer, the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan also permits directors voluntarily to defer all or a portion of their cash compensation. Voluntarily deferred cash compensation amounts are credited in the form of restricted units, the value of which varies as though invested in common units of the Partnership. Amounts voluntarily deferred in the form of restricted units also are credited with distribution equivalent rights (in the form of additional restricted units), on the applicable date for Partnership cash distributions.

Payments of compensation deferred under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan are restricted in terms of the earliest and latest dates that payments may begin. All deferrals, whether mandatory or voluntary, will be paid out in cash.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

The general partner has adopted the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan for employees and directors of the general partner and employees of its affiliates who perform services for the Partnership. This plan was designed to furnish additional compensation to employees and directors and to align their economic interests with those of common unitholders. The plan consists of two components: restricted units and unit options. The long-term incentive plan currently permits the grant of awards covering an aggregate of 1,250,000 common units. The Compensation Committee administers the plan.

The Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may terminate or amend the long-term incentive plan at any time with respect to any units for which a grant has not yet been made. However, no change in any outstanding grant may be made that would materially impair the rights of the participant without the consent of the participant. The Compensation Committee also has the right to alter or amend the long-term incentive plan or any part of the plan from time to time, including increasing the number of units that may be granted, subject to unitholder approval as required by the exchange upon which the common units are listed at that time.

Restricted Units

Each restricted unit entitles the grantee to receive a common unit upon the vesting or, in the discretion of the Compensation Committee, an amount of cash equivalent to the value of a common unit. From time to time, the Compensation Committee may make grants under the plan to employees and/or directors containing such terms as the Compensation Committee shall determine under the plan. The Compensation Committee will determine the period over which restricted units granted to employees and/or directors will vest, and whether or not any such restricted units will have distribution equivalent rights entitling the grantee to receive an amount in cash equal to cash distributions made by the Partnership with respect to a like number of its common units during the period such restricted units are outstanding. The Compensation Committee may base its determination upon the achievement of specified financial or other performance objectives. Each grant of restricted units may be documented by an agreement with the participant, setting forth the specific terms and conditions for forfeiture, vesting and payout of such grant. In addition, the restricted units will vest upon a change of control of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., the general partner, or Sunoco, Inc.

If a grantee's employment or membership on the board of directors is terminated for cause, the grantee's restricted units automatically will be forfeited unless, and to the extent, the Compensation Committee provides otherwise. Common units to be delivered upon the vesting of restricted units may be common units acquired by

[Table of Contents](#)

the general partner in the open market, common units already owned by the general partner, common units acquired by the general partner directly from the Partnership or any other person or any combination of the foregoing. The general partner will be entitled to reimbursement by the Partnership for the cost incurred in acquiring common units. If the Partnership issues new common units upon vesting of the restricted units, the total number of common units outstanding will increase. The Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may grant tandem distribution equivalent rights with respect to restricted units.

The Partnership intends the issuance of the common units upon vesting of the restricted units under the plan to serve as a means of incentive compensation for performance and not primarily as an opportunity to participate in the equity appreciation of the common units. Therefore, plan participants will not pay any consideration for the common units they receive, and the Partnership will receive no remuneration for the units.

Unit Options

The long-term incentive plan currently permits the grant of options covering common units. In the future, the Compensation Committee may determine to make grants under the plan to employees and directors containing such terms as the committee shall determine. Unit options will have an exercise price that may not be less than the fair market value of the units on the date of grant. In general, unit options granted will become exercisable over a period determined by the Compensation Committee. In addition, the unit options will become exercisable upon a change in control of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., the general partner, or Sunoco, Inc. or upon the achievement of specified financial objectives.

Upon exercise of a unit option, the general partner will acquire common units in the open market or directly from the Partnership or any other person or use common units already owned by the general partner, or any combination of the foregoing. The general partner will be entitled to reimbursement by the Partnership for the difference between the cost incurred by the general partner in acquiring these common units and the proceeds received by the general partner from an optionee at the time of exercise. Thus, the cost of the unit options will be borne by the Partnership. If the Partnership issues new common units upon exercise of the unit options, the total number of common units outstanding will increase, and the general partner will pay the Partnership the proceeds it received from the optionee upon exercise of the unit option.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

The general partner has established the Sunoco Partners LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan to permit certain key employees each year to voluntarily defer the receipt of all or a portion of the payment to which they otherwise would be entitled under the provisions of the Annual Incentive Compensation Plan. In addition, the general partner's Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may require a participant to defer. Payment may be deferred to the first day of any calendar year that is at least six months after the end of the quarter in which the bonus is earned, or the first day of the calendar year following the date of death, permanent disability, retirement, or other termination. Deferred amounts are credited with interest equivalents, and may be paid out as a lump sum, or in a series of up to 20 approximately equal annual installments. At any time prior to payout, the participant may request in writing to accelerate the receipt of the deferred amounts, subject to a 5 percent reduction in the participant's deferred compensation account balance. Upon the occurrence of a change in control, a participant may elect to receive a single lump sum payment of his or her deferred compensation account balance.

Annual Incentive Plan

The general partner has adopted the Sunoco Partners LLC Annual Incentive Plan. This plan is designed to enhance the performance of the general partner's key employees by providing cash awards for achievement of annual financial and operational performance objectives. The Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may determine individual participants and payments, if any, for each fiscal year. The general partner's board of

[Table of Contents](#)

directors may amend or change the annual incentive plan at any time. The Partnership will reimburse the general partner for payments and costs incurred under the plan.

Unit Ownership Guidelines

Sunoco Partners LLC has established targets or guidelines for the ownership of Partnership common units, applicable to approximately ten top executives. These unit ownership guidelines are denominated as a multiple of base salary, and the amount of common units required to be owned increases with the level of responsibility of each executive, with the Chief Executive Officer expected to own common units with a value equal to at least three times base salary. These guidelines are intended to tie the financial risks and rewards for such executives to the Partnership's total unitholder return and better align the interest of such executives with those of the Partnership's unitholders.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table represents compensation expense for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 for the Chief Executive Officer and each of the four other most highly compensated executive officers of the general partner:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation			All Other Annual Compensation ⁴
		Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compensation ¹ (\$)	Awards	Payouts		
					Restricted Stock Award(s) ² (\$)	Securities Underlying Options /SARs ³	LTIP Payouts (\$)	
D. M. Fretz President and Chief Executive Officer	2003	399,486	320,160	7,630				20,822
	2002	380,000	361,775	6,940				19,789
C. A. Oerton Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2003	230,000	122,728	247,376 ⁵	298,050 ⁶			9,766
	2002	239,504	138,801					439
J. L. Fidler Vice President, Business Development	2003	221,507	88,467	1,343				11,535
	2002	213,500	101,630					11,118
D. A. Justin Vice President, Eastern Operations	2003	190,945	77,790					9,942
	2002	183,600	88,774					9,561
P.S. Broker Vice President, Western Operations	2003	154,203	59,887					8,030
	2002	148,272	58,724					7,734
J. W. Wagner ⁷ Former General Counsel and Secretary	2003	147,680	186,415 ⁸					7,773
	2002	152,386	62,680					7,990

NOTES TO TABLE:

- The amounts shown in this column include reimbursements for the payment of certain taxes.
- Awards of Restricted Units, subject to performance-based conditions on vesting, granted under the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") are excluded from this table. However, such grants made during the last completed fiscal year are reflected in the table captioned "Other Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards" on page 81. Other Restricted Unit awards, conditioned only upon lapse of time or continued service are included in this Summary Compensation Table as "Restricted Stock Awards."

Table of Contents

3. Although permitted by the terms of the LTIP, no Unit Options have been awarded at this time.
 4. This table below shows the components of this column for 2003:

Name	Company Match Under Defined Contribution Plan	Cost of Basic Life Insurance	Total
D. M. Fretz ...	\$ 19,992	\$ 830	\$ 20,822
C. A. Oerton...	\$ 9,289	\$ 477	\$ 9,766
J. L. Fidler...	\$ 11,075	\$ 460	\$ 11,535
D. A. Justin...	\$ 9,547	\$ 395	\$ 9,942
P. S. Broker...	\$ 7,710	\$ 320	\$ 8,030
J. W. Wagner...	\$ 7,445	\$ 328	\$ 7,773

5. This figure reflects payment for temporary living expenses and moving expenses (\$61,634) under the Sunoco, Inc. Moving and Relocation Policy for New Hires, and amounts reimbursed during 2002 for payment of taxes (\$185,742) relating to moving and relocation expenses and an associated salary advance.
 6. In connection with his hiring, Mr. Oerton was granted a special award of 15,000 Restricted Units with an aggregate value of \$298,050 on the date of grant. Vesting and payout of these Restricted Units is subject only to Mr. Oerton's continued employment with Sunoco Partners LLC during the period from July 23, 2002 through January 14, 2005. These Restricted Units have distribution equivalent rights.
 7. Mr. Jeffrey W. Wagner resigned as General Counsel and Secretary of Sunoco Partners LLC in November 2003. He is included in this table since he otherwise would have been one of the four most highly compensated executive officers at December 31, 2003, other than the chief executive officer.
 8. The bonus amount of \$186,415 shown in the table, reflects a prorated amount of \$49,007 earned during 2003 under the Sunoco Partners LLC Annual Incentive Plan, a special bonus of \$99,196 approved by the general partner's board of directors in July 2003, and a severance bonus of \$38,212.

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS—AWARDS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR¹

The following table presents certain data concerning grants to the named executive officers of Restricted Units under the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") during the last completed fiscal year:

Name	Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights (#) ²	End of Performance Period	Estimated Future Payout ³		
			Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)
D. M. Fretz	28,000	12-31-2005	0	28,000	56,000
C. A. Oerton	9,600	12-31-2005	0	9,600	19,200
J. L. Fidler	6,200	12-31-2005	0	6,200	12,400
D. A. Justin	4,800	12-31-2005	0	4,800	9,600
P. S. Broker	2,000	12-31-2005	0	2,000	4,000
J. W. Wagner ⁴	2,000	12-31-2005	0	0	0

NOTES TO TABLE:

1. Actual payout of these awards will depend upon the Partnership achieving certain specified performance levels based upon annual objectives for distributable cash over a Restricted Period that runs from January 22, 2003 through December 31, 2005. Payment of any amounts earned will occur following such period, assuming continued employment with the general partner at such time.
 2. Values in this column represent regular grants of Restricted Units on January 21, 2003.
 3. The portion of each award that may be earned during the Restricted Period ranges from a threshold value of zero, to a target value equal to 100 percent of the award, and a maximum value of 200 percent of the award.
 4. Mr. Wagner resigned from his position as General Counsel and Secretary of Sunoco Partners LLC in November 2003. He is included in this table, since he was granted restricted units during 2003. However, under the terms of the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan and the award itself, these units were forfeited, since Mr. Wagner resigned prior to the end of the Restricted Period.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

There are no compensation committee interlocks.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SECURITYHOLDER MATTERS

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information, as of December 31, 2003, regarding Partnership common units that may be issued upon conversion (assuming a one-for-one conversion) of outstanding restricted units granted under the general partner’s Long-Term Incentive Plan to executive officers and other key employees. For more information about this plan, which did not require approval by the Partnership’s limited partners, refer to “Item 11—Executive Compensation—Long-Term Incentive Plan”.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION¹

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans			
Approved by security holders	None	None	None
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	343,956	—	906,044
Total	343,956	—	906,044

NOTES TO TABLE:

^{1.} The amounts in column (a) of this table reflect only Restricted Units that have been granted under the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan, since the inception of the plan. No unit options have been granted. Each Restricted Unit shown in the table represents a right to receive (upon vesting and payout) a specified number of Partnership common units. Vesting and payout may be conditioned upon achievement of pre-determined financial or other performance objectives for, or attainment of certain length of service goals with, the Partnership and its affiliates. No value is shown in column (b) of the table, since the Restricted Units do not have an exercise, or “strike,” price. For illustrative purposes, a maximum payment (i.e., a 200 percent ratio) has been assumed for vesting and payout.

[Table of Contents](#)

Beneficial Ownership Table

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of common units of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., held by beneficial owners of 5 percent or more of the units, by directors of Sunoco Partners LLC (the general partner), by each named executive officer and by all directors and officers of Sunoco Partners LLC as a group as of December 31, 2003. During 2003, Sunoco Partners LLC was owned by the following members: Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware (17%); Sun Pipe Line Company (50%); Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) (13%); Atlantic Petroleum Corporation (17%); and Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp. (3%), each of which is a direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc. Since January 1, 2004, Sunoco Partners LLC consists of the following members: Sun Pipe Line Company (67%); Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) (13%); and Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp. (20%).

<u>Name of Beneficial Owner⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Common Units Beneficially Owned</u>	<u>Percentage of Common Units Beneficially Owned</u>	<u>Subordinated Units Beneficially Owned</u>	<u>Percentage of Subordinated Units Beneficially Owned</u>	<u>Percentage of Total Units Beneficially Owned</u>
Sunoco Partners LLC ⁽²⁾	5,638,154	49.5%	11,383,639	100%	74.7%
John G. Drosdick	30,000	*	0	0	*
Deborah M. Fretz	1,600	*	0	0	*
Cynthia A. Archer	2,000	*	0	0	*
L. Wilson Berry	0	*	0	0	*
Stephen L. Cropper	1,000	*	0	0	*
Michael H.R. Dingus	2,000	*	0	0	*
Gary W. Edwards	0	*	0	0	*
Bruce G. Fischer	2,000	*	0	0	*
Thomas W. Hofmann	2,500	*	0	0	*
Paul S. Broker	500	*	0	0	*
James L. Fidler	1,600	*	0	0	*
David A. Justin	1,000	*	0	0	*
Colin A. Oerton	5,000	*	0	0	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (15 persons)	51,200	*	0	0	*

* Less than 0.5 percent.

NOTES TO TABLE:

1. The address of each beneficial owner named above is 1801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

2. Sunoco, Inc. is the ultimate parent company of Sunoco Partners LLC and may, therefore, be deemed to beneficially own the units that are held by Sunoco Partners LLC.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of Sunoco, Inc.'s common stock, as of December 31, 2003, by directors of Sunoco Partners LLC, by each named executive officer and by all directors and officers of Sunoco Partners LLC as a group. Unless otherwise noted, each individual exercises sole voting or investment power over the shares of Sunoco, Inc. common stock shown in the table. For purposes of this table, beneficial ownership includes shares of Sunoco, Inc. common stock as to which the person has sole or shared voting or investment power and also any shares of Sunoco, Inc. common stock that such person has the right to acquire within 60 days of December 31, 2003, through the exercise of any option, warrant, or right.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Sunoco, Inc. Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Sunoco, Inc. Common Stock Beneficially Owned
John G. Drosdick	771,837	1.0%
Deborah M. Fretz	39,524	*
Cynthia A. Archer	29,931	*
L. Wilson Berry	0	*
Stephen L. Cropper	0	*
Michael H.R. Dingus	122,158	*
Gary W. Edwards	0	*
Bruce G. Fischer	94,616	*
Thomas W. Hofmann	99,414	*
Paul S. Broker	1,995	*
James L. Fidler	21,365	*
David A. Justin	0	*
Colin A. Oerton	0	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (15 persons)	1,201,019	1.59%

* Less than 0.5 percent.

⁽¹⁾ The amounts shown include shares of Sunoco, Inc. common stock which the following persons have the right to acquire through the exercise of stock options within 60 days after December 31, 2003 under certain Sunoco, Inc. plans:

Name	Shares
John G. Drosdick	645,000
Deborah M. Fretz	36,750
Cynthia A. Archer	23,000
Michael H.R. Dingus	104,195
Bruce G. Fischer	76,425
Thomas W. Hofmann	74,800
Paul S. Broker	1,700
James L. Fidler	19,140
David A. Justin	0
All executive officers as a group (including those named above)	997,040

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

As of December 31, 2003, the general partner owned 5,638,154 common units and 11,383,639 subordinated units, representing a 73.3 percent limited partner interest. In addition, the general partner also owns a 2 percent general partner interest. The general partner's ability to manage and operate the Partnership and its ownership of a 73.3 percent limited partner interest effectively gives the general partner the ability to control the Partnership.

Distribution and Payments to the General Partner and Its Affiliates

The following table summarizes the distribution and payments made and to be made by the Partnership to the general partner and its affiliates in connection with the ongoing operation and liquidation. These distributions

[Table of Contents](#)

and payments were determined by and among affiliated entities and, consequently, are not the result of arm's-length negotiations.

Operational Stage

Payments to the general partner and its affiliates

The Partnership will pay Sunoco, Inc. or its affiliates an administrative fee, \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, for the provision of various general and administrative services for the Partnership's benefit. In addition, the general partner will be entitled to reimbursement for all expenses it incurs on the Partnership's behalf, including other general and administrative expenses. These reimbursable expenses include the salaries and the cost of employee benefits of employees of the general partner who provide services to the Partnership. The general partner has sole discretion in determining the amount of these expenses.

Removal or withdrawal of the general partner

If the general partner withdraws or is removed, its general partner interest and its incentive distribution rights will either be sold to the new general partner for cash or converted into common units, in each case for an amount equal to the fair market value of those interests as provided in the Partnership Agreement.

Liquidation Stage

Liquidation

Upon liquidation, the partners, including the general partner, will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their particular capital account balances.

Other Agreements

The Partnership has entered into various agreements with Sunoco, Inc., Sunoco R&M and the general partner. These agreements did not result from arm's-length negotiations and they, or any of the transactions they provide for, may be effected on terms at least as favorable to the parties to these agreements as they could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Omnibus Agreement

On February 8, 2002, the Partnership entered into an Omnibus Agreement with Sunoco, Inc., Sunoco R&M, and the general partner that addresses the following matters:

- Sunoco R&M's obligation to reimburse the Partnership for specified operating expenses and capital expenditures or otherwise to complete certain tank maintenance and inspection projects;
- The Partnership's obligation to pay the general partner or Sunoco, Inc. an annual administrative fee, \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, for the provision by Sunoco, Inc. of certain general and administrative services;
- Sunoco, Inc.'s and its affiliates' agreement not to compete with the Partnership under certain circumstances;
- The Partnership's agreement to undertake to develop and construct or acquire an asset if requested by Sunoco, Inc.;

Table of Contents

- an indemnity by Sunoco, Inc. for certain environmental, toxic tort and other liabilities;
- The Partnership's obligation to indemnify Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates for events and conditions associated with the operation of the assets that occur on or after the closing of the initial public offering and for environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets to the extent Sunoco, Inc. is not required to indemnify the Partnership; and
- The Partnership's option to purchase certain pipeline, terminalling, and storage assets retained by Sunoco, Inc. or its affiliates.

Reimbursement of Expenses and Completion of Certain Projects by Sunoco, Inc.

The Omnibus Agreement requires Sunoco R&M to:

- reimburse the Partnership for any operating expenses and capital expenditures in excess of \$8.0 million per year in each calendar year from 2002 to 2006 that are made to comply with the DOT's pipeline integrity management rule, subject to a maximum aggregate reimbursement of \$15.0 million over the five-year period ending December 31, 2006;
- complete, at its expense, certain tank maintenance and inspection projects at the Darby Creek Tank Farm; and
- reimburse the Partnership for up to \$10.0 million of required expenditures at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm and the Darby Creek Tank Farm to maintain compliance with existing industry standards and regulatory requirements, including:
 - cathodic protection upgrades at these facilities;
 - raising tank farm pipelines above ground level at these facilities; and
 - repairing or demolishing two riveted tanks at the Marcus Hook Tank Farm.

The Partnership is reflecting outlays for these programs as operating expenses or capital expenditures, as appropriate. Capital expenditures are depreciated over their useful lives. Reimbursements by Sunoco R&M are reflected as capital contributions.

Payment of General and Administrative Services Fee

In addition, under the Omnibus Agreement the Partnership will pay Sunoco, Inc. or the general partner an annual administrative fee for the provision of various general and administrative services for the Partnership's benefit. The contract provides that this amount may be increased in the second and third years following the initial public offering by the lesser of 2.5 percent or the consumer price index for the applicable year. The general partner, with the approval and consent of its conflicts committee, will also have the right to agree to further increases in connection with expansion of the operations through the acquisition or construction of new assets or businesses. After this three-year period, the general partner will determine the general and administrative expenses that will be allocated to the Partnership. This administrative fee includes expenses incurred by Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates to perform centralized corporate functions, such as legal, accounting, treasury, engineering, information technology, insurance, and other corporate services, including the administration of employee benefit plans. This fee does not include the costs of shared insurance programs, which are allocated to the Partnership based upon its share of the premiums incurred. The fee also does not include salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or other employees of the general partner, including senior executives, or the cost of their employee benefits. The Partnership will also reimburse Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates for direct expenses they incur on the Partnership's behalf. In addition, the Partnership has incurred additional general and administrative costs, including costs related to operating as a separate publicly held entity, such as costs for tax return preparation, annual and quarterly reports to unitholders, and investor relations and registrar and transfer agent fees, as well as incremental insurance costs.

Development or Acquisition of an Asset By The Partnership

The Omnibus Agreement also contains a provision pursuant to which Sunoco, Inc. may at any time propose to the Partnership that it undertake a project to develop and construct or acquire an asset. If the general partner determines in its good faith judgment, with the concurrence of its conflicts committee, that the project, including the terms on which Sunoco, Inc. would agree to use such asset, will be beneficial on the whole and that proceeding with the project will not effectively preclude the Partnership from undertaking another project that will be more beneficial to the Partnership, the Partnership will be required to use commercially reasonable efforts to finance, develop, and construct or acquire the asset.

Noncompetition

Sunoco, Inc. agreed, and will cause its affiliates to agree, for so long as Sunoco, Inc. controls the general partner, not to engage in, whether by acquisition or otherwise, the business of purchasing crude oil at the wellhead or operating crude oil pipelines or terminals, refined products pipelines or terminals, or LPG terminals in the continental United States. This restriction does not apply to:

- any business operated by Sunoco, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries at the closing of the initial public offering;
- any logistics asset constructed by Sunoco, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries within a manufacturing or refining facility in connection with the operation of that facility;
- any business that Sunoco, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries acquires or constructs that has a fair market value of less than \$5.0 million; and
- any business that Sunoco, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries acquires or constructs that has a fair market value of \$5.0 million or more if the Partnership has been offered the opportunity to purchase the business for fair market value not later than six months after completion of such acquisition or construction, and the Partnership declines to do so with the concurrence of the conflicts committee.

In addition, the limitations on the ability of Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates to compete with the Partnership will terminate upon a change of control of Sunoco, Inc.

Options to Purchase Assets Retained by Sunoco, Inc.

The Omnibus Agreement also contains the terms under which the Partnership has the option to purchase Sunoco, Inc.'s direct or indirect interests in Mid-Valley Pipeline Company, Mesa Pipeline and Inland Corporation, as well as the Icedale pipeline, as described under "Business—Pipeline, Terminalling, and Storage Assets Retained by Sunoco, Inc."

Indemnification

Under the Omnibus Agreement, Sunoco, Inc. has agreed to indemnify the Partnership for 30 years after the closing of the February 2002 IPO against certain environmental and toxic tort liabilities associated with the operation of the assets and occurring before the closing date of the February 2002 IPO. This indemnity obligation will be reduced by 10 percent per year beginning with the 22nd year after the closing of the February 2002 IPO. The Partnership has agreed to indemnify Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates for events and conditions associated with the operation of the assets that occur on or after the closing of the February 2002 IPO and for environmental and toxic tort liabilities related to the assets to the extent Sunoco, Inc. is not required to indemnify the Partnership. Please read "Business—Environmental Regulation—General."

Sunoco, Inc. has also agreed to indemnify the Partnership for liabilities relating to:

- the assets contributed, other than environmental and toxic tort liabilities, that arise out of the operation of the assets prior to the closing of the February 2002 IPO and that are asserted within ten years after the closing of the IPO;

[Table of Contents](#)

- certain defects in title to the assets contributed and failure to obtain certain consents and permits necessary to conduct the business that arise within ten years after the closing of the February 2002 IPO;
- legal actions currently pending against Sunoco, Inc. or its affiliates; and
- events and conditions associated with any assets retained by Sunoco, Inc. or its affiliates.

Pipelines and Terminals Storage and Throughput Agreement

Concurrently with the closing of the February 2002 IPO, the Partnership entered into a pipelines and terminals storage and throughput agreement with Sunoco R&M as described under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc.”

Sunoco R&M’s obligations under this agreement do not terminate if Sunoco, Inc. and its affiliates no longer own the general partner. This agreement may be assigned by Sunoco R&M only with the consent of the conflicts committee.

Other Agreements with Sunoco R&M and Sunoco, Inc.

Under a 20-year lease agreement entered into by the Partnership and Sunoco R&M upon the closing of the February 2002 IPO, Sunoco R&M leases the Partnership’s 58 miles of interrefinery pipelines between Sunoco R&M’s Philadelphia and Marcus Hook refineries for an annual fee which escalates at 1.67 percent each January 1st for the term of the agreement. The annual fee for the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$5.2 million.

The Partnership has agreements with Sunoco R&M whereby Sunoco R&M purchases from the Partnership, at market-based rates, particular grades of crude oil that is purchased by the crude oil acquisition and marketing business. These agreements will automatically renew on a monthly basis unless terminated by either party on 30 days’ written notice. Sunoco R&M has indicated that it has no current intention to terminate these agreements.

The Partnership has a license agreement with Sunoco, R&M and certain of its affiliates, including the general partner, pursuant to which the general partner and its affiliates were granted a license to the intellectual property so they can manage the operations and create intellectual property using the Partnership’s intellectual property. The general partner will also assign to the Partnership the new intellectual property it creates in operating the Partnership’s business. The general partner also licensed to the Partnership certain intellectual property for use in the conduct of the Partnership’s business and the Partnership licensed to the general partner certain of its intellectual property for use in the conduct of its business. The license agreement also granted to the Partnership a license to use the trademarks, trade names, and service marks of Sunoco, Inc. in the conduct of its business.

The Partnership has a treasury services agreement with Sunoco, Inc. pursuant to which, among other things, it participates in Sunoco, Inc.’s centralized cash management program. Under this program, all cash receipts and cash disbursements are processed, together with those of Sunoco, Inc. and its other subsidiaries, through Sunoco, Inc.’s cash accounts with a corresponding credit or charge to an intercompany account. The intercompany balances will be settled periodically, but no less frequently than at the end of each month. Amounts due from Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries earn interest at a rate equal to the average rate of the Partnership’s third-party money market investments, while amounts due to Sunoco, Inc. and its subsidiaries bear interest at a rate equal to the interest rate provided in the revolving Credit Facility.

[Table of Contents](#)

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed for audit and other professional services and products by the Partnership's principal accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, for each of the last two fiscal years:

<i>Type of Fee</i>	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2002	2003
Audit Fees ¹	\$ 455,000	\$ 497,000
Audit Related Fees ²	\$ 75,000	\$ 6,000

NOTES:

- ^{1.} Audit Fees, including those for statutory audits, include the aggregate fees paid by the Partnership during the fiscal year indicated for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young for the audit of the Partnership's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Partnership's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.
- ^{2.} Audit Related Fees include the aggregate fees paid by the Partnership during the fiscal year indicated for assurance and related services by Ernst & Young that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Partnership's financial statements and not included in Audit Fees, including review of registration statements and issuance of consents. Also included in Audit Related Fees are fees for internal control review and accounting advice.

Each of the services listed above were approved by the Audit/Conflicts Committee of the general partner's board of directors prior to their performance. All services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP, the Partnership's principal accountant, are performed pursuant to a written engagement letter with the general partner. During the last two fiscal years, no audit or audit-related services were performed for the Partnership, or its general partner, by anyone other than Ernst & Young LLP.

The Audit/Conflicts Committee of the general partner's board of directors is responsible for pre-approving all audit services, and permitted non-audit services, to be performed by independent auditors for the Partnership, or its general partner. The Committee reviews the services to be performed to determine whether provision of such services potentially could impair the independence of the Partnership's independent auditors. The Committee's approval procedures include reviewing a detailed budget for each particular service or category of audit, audit-related, or tax services to be rendered, as well as a description of, and budgeted amounts for, specific categories of anticipated non-audit services. Pre-approval is generally granted for up to one year. Committee approval is required to exceed the budgeted amount for any particular category of services or to engage the independent auditors for services not included in the budget. Additional services or specific engagements may be approved, on a case-by-case basis, prior to the independent auditor undertaking such services.

Subject to the requirements of applicable law, the Audit/Conflicts Committee may delegate such pre-approval authority to the Audit/Conflicts Committee chairman. However, any pre-approvals granted by the chairman, acting pursuant to such delegated authority, are reviewed by the full membership of the Audit/Conflicts Committee at its next regular meeting. Management of the general partner provides periodic updates to the Audit/Conflicts Committee regarding the extent of any services provided in accordance with this pre-approval process, as well as the cumulative fees incurred to date for all non-audit services, to ensure that such services are within the parameters approved by the Audit/Conflicts Committee.

PART IV**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

- (1) The financial statements are included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.
- (2) All financial statement schedules required are included in the financial statements or notes thereto.
- (3) Exhibits:

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
3.1*	Certificate of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form S-1 Registration Statement, file No. 333-71968, filed October 22, 2001)
3.2*	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., dated as of February 8, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
3.2.1*	Amendment No. 1 to First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., dated as of February 8, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form S-8, file No. 333-71968, filed July 22, 2002)
3.2.2	Amendment No. 2 to First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., effective as of January 20, 2004
3.3*	Certificate of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Operations L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 filed December 18, 2001)
3.4*	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., dated as of February 8, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
3.5*	Certificate of Organization of Sunoco Partners LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Form S-1 Registration Statement filed October 22, 2001)
3.6*	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunoco Partners LLC, dated April 30, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 10-Q, file No. 1-31219, filed May 15, 2002)
3.6.1	Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunoco Partners LLC
3.6.2	Membership Assignment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2004, by and among Atlantic Petroleum Corporation, Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp., Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware and Sun Pipe Line Company
10.1*	Credit Agreement dated as of February 1, 2002, among Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Bank of America, N.A., First Union National Bank, Credit Suisse First Boston, Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., Citibank, N.A., and UBS AG (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.1.1*	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2003, among Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., the Lenders party to the Credit Agreement, Bank of America as Administrative Agent, Barclays Bank PLC and Key Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.1 of the Form 10-Q, file No. 1-31219, filed May 9, 2003)
10.1.2	Joinder Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2003, among SunTrust Bank (as New Lender), Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P. (as Borrower), Bank of America (as Administrative Agent), and the Lenders and Guarantors party to the Credit Agreement

Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.1.3	Joinder Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2003, among Royal Bank of Canada (as New Lender), Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P. (as Borrower), Bank of America (as Administrative Agent), and the Lenders and Guarantors party to the Credit Agreement
10.2*	Indenture, dated as of February 7, 2002, between Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P. and First Union National Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.3*	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2002, among Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Sunoco Pipeline L.P., Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P., and the following Initial Purchasers: Lehman Brothers, Inc., Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation, Banc of America Securities LLC, Salomon Smith Barney Inc., UBS Warburg LLC and First Union Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.4*	Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2002, among Sunoco, Inc., Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware, Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), Atlantic Petroleum Corporation; Sunoco Texas Pipe Line Company, Sun Oil Line of Michigan (Out) LLC, Mid-Continent Pipe Line (Out) LLC, Sun Pipe Line Services (Out) LLC, Atlantic Petroleum Delaware Corporation, Atlantic Pipeline (Out) L.P., Sunoco Partners LLC, Sunoco Partners Lease Acquisition & Marketing LLC, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners GP LLC, Sunoco Pipeline L.P., Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P., Sunoco Mid-Con (In) LLC, Atlantic (In) L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations GP LLC, Atlantic R&M (In) L.P., Sun Pipe Line Services (In) L.P., Sunoco Michigan (In) LLC, Atlantic (In) LLC, Sunoco Logistics Pipe Line GP LLC, Sunoco R&M (In) LLC, and Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.5*	Omnibus Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2002, among Sunoco, Inc., Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), Sunoco Logistics Pipe Line Company of Delaware, Atlantic Petroleum Corporation, Sunoco Texas Pipe Line Company, Sun Pipe Line Services (Out) LLC, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., and Sunoco Partners LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.6*	Pipelines and Terminals Storage and Throughput Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2002, among Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., Sunoco Partners LLC, Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P., Sunoco Pipeline L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners GP LLC, and Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations GP LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.7*	Treasury Services Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2002, among Sunoco, Inc., Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., and Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.7.1	Amended and Restated Treasury Services Agreement, by and among Sunoco, Inc., Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., and Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P.
10.8*	Intellectual Property and Trademark License Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2002 among Sunoco, Inc., (“Sunoco”), Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), Sunmarks, Inc., Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P., Sunoco Pipeline L.P., and Sunoco Partners LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)
10.9*	Interrefinery Lease, dated as of February 8, 2002, between Sunoco Pipeline L.P., and Sunoco, Inc. (R&M) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Form 10-K, file No. 1-31219, filed April 1, 2002)

Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.11*	Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan (amended and restated as of July 22, 2002) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form S-8, File No. 333-71968, filed July 22, 2002)
10.12*	Sunoco Partners LLC Annual Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 2 to the Form S-1 Registration Statement File January 11, 2002)
10.13*	Sunoco Partners LLC Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form S-8, File No. 333-71968, filed July 22, 2002)
10.14	Sunoco Partners LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan
10.15	Severance agreement letter with J.W. Wagner, dated November 13, 2003
12.1	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
14.1	Code of Ethics for Senior Officers
21.1	Subsidiaries of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
24.1	Power of Attorney, executed by certain officers of Sunoco Partners LLC
24.2	Certified copy of resolution authorizing certain officers to sign and file the Form 10-K
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification of Periodic Report Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification of Periodic Report Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
32	Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certification of Periodic Report Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350
99	Audited Balance Sheet of Sunoco Partners LLC

* Each such exhibit has heretofore been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as part of the filing indicated and is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

Current report on Form 8-K, dated October 9, 2003, filed pursuant to Item 5 in connection with the press release announcing the acquisition of an additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore Pipe Line Company.

Current report on Form 8-K, dated October 20, 2003, filed pursuant to Item 5 in connection with the press release announcing an increased third quarter 2003 distribution to unitholders.

Current report on Form 8-K, dated October 23, 2003, furnished pursuant to Item 9 and Item 12 in connection with the announcement of earnings for the third quarter 2003.

Current report on Form 8-K, dated January 22, 2004, furnished pursuant to Item 9 and Item 12 in connection with the announcement of earnings for the fourth quarter 2003.

Current reports listed above as "furnished" under Item 9 and Item 12 are not deemed "filed" with the SEC and are not incorporated by reference herein or in any other SEC filings.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
(Registrant)

By: Sunoco Partners LLC (Its General Partner)

By /s/ COLIN A. OERTON

Colin A. Oerton
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

March 4, 2004

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by or on behalf of the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 4, 2004.

CYNTHIA A. ARCHER*

Cynthia A. Archer
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

STEPHEN L. CROPPER*

Stephen L. Cropper
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

MICHAEL H.R. DINGUS*

Michael H.R. Dingus
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

JOHN G. DROSDICK*

John G. Drosdick
Director and Chairman of
Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

GARY W. EDWARDS*

Gary W. Edwards
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

L. WILSON BERRY*

L. Wilson Berry
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

BRUCE G. FISCHER*

Bruce G. Fischer
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

DEBORAH M. FRETZ*

Deborah M. Fretz
Chief Executive Officer and Director of
Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.
(Principal Executive Officer)

THOMAS W. HOFMANN*

Thomas W. Hofmann
Director of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

SEAN P. MCGRATH*

Sean P. McGrath
Comptroller of Sunoco Partners LLC,
General Partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.
(Principal Accounting Officer)

COLIN A. OERTON*

Colin A. Oerton
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of
Sunoco Partners LLC, General Partner of
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.
(Principal Financial Officer)

*By: /s/ COLIN A. OERTON

Colin A. Oerton
Individually and as Attorney-in-Fact

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO
FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS, L.P.

This Amendment No. 2 (this "Amendment No.2") to the First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the "Partnership") is hereby adopted effective as of January 20, 2004, by Sunoco Partners LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company (the "General Partner"), as general partner of the Partnership. Capitalized terms used but not defined herein are used as defined in the Partnership Agreement.

WHEREAS, the General Partner, the Organizational Limited Partner and the Limited Partners of the Partnership entered into that certain First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Partnership dated as of February 8, 2002 (the "Partnership Agreement");

WHEREAS, Section 13.1(d)(i) of the Partnership Agreement provides that the General Partner may amend any provision of the Partnership Agreement without the approval of any Partner or Assignee to reflect a change that, in the discretion of the General Partner, does not adversely affect the Limited Partners (including any particular class of Partnership Interests as compared to other classes of Partnership Interests) in any material respect;

WHEREAS, acting pursuant to the power and authority granted to it under Section 13.1(d)(i) of the Partnership Agreement, the General Partner has determined that the following amendment to the Partnership Agreement does not adversely affect the Limited Partners (including any particular class of Partnership Interests as compared to other classes of Partnership Interests) in any material respect.

NOW THEREFORE, the General Partner does hereby amend the Partnership Agreement as follows:

Section 1. Amendment.

(a) Section 5.7(b) is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

(b) During the Subordination Period, the Partnership may also issue an unlimited number of Parity Units without the prior approval of the Unitholders, if such issuance occurs (i) in connection with an Acquisition or Capital Improvement or (ii) within 365 days of, and the net proceeds from such issuance are used to repay debt incurred in connection with, an Acquisition or Capital Improvement, in each case where such Acquisition or Capital Improvement involves assets that, if acquired (or in the case of a Capital Improvement, put into commercial service) by the Partnership as of the date that is one year prior to the

first day of the Quarter in which such Acquisition was consummated or such Capital Improvement was put into commercial service (“One Year Test Period”), would have resulted, on a pro forma or estimated pro forma basis (as described below), in an increase in:

(A) the amount of Adjusted Operating Surplus generated by the Partnership on a per-Unit basis (for all Outstanding Units) with respect to the One Year Test Period (on a pro forma or estimated pro forma basis as described below) as compared to

(B) the actual amount of Adjusted Operating Surplus generated by the Partnership on a per-Unit basis (for all Outstanding Units) with respect to the One Year Test Period as adjusted as provided below.

The General Partner’s good faith determination that such an increase would have resulted shall be conclusive. The amount in clause (A) shall be determined on a pro forma or estimated pro forma basis assuming that (1) all of the Parity Units to be issued in connection with or within 365 days of such Acquisition or Capital Improvement had been issued and outstanding as of the commencement of the One Year Test Period, (2) all indebtedness for borrowed money to be incurred or assumed in connection with such Acquisition or Capital Improvement (other than any such indebtedness that is to be repaid with the proceeds of such issuance of Parity Units) had been incurred or assumed, in each case as of the commencement of the One Year Test Period, (3) the personnel expenses that would have been incurred by the Partnership in the operation of the acquired assets are the personnel expenses for employees to be retained by the Partnership in the operation of the acquired assets, and (4) the personnel expenses that would have been incurred by the Partnership in the operation of the constructed asset and the non-personnel costs and expenses that would have been incurred by the Partnership in the operation of the acquired or constructed assets are computed on the same basis as those incurred by the Partnership in the operation of the Partnership’s business at similarly situated Partnership facilities or, if there are no such similarly situated facilities, as estimated by the General Partner in good faith using such assumptions as the General Partner, in its sole discretion, believes are reasonable. If (1) the Partnership makes a Capital Improvement or (2) the Partnership makes an Acquisition for which no financial statements are required to be furnished pursuant to Regulation S-X under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, then the amount of Adjusted Operating Surplus in clause (A) attributable to such Acquisition or Capital Improvement shall be estimated by the General Partner in good faith using such assumptions as the General Partner, in its sole discretion, believes are reasonable. In determining Adjusted Operating Surplus attributable to a Capital Improvement, there shall be excluded from the amount in clause (B) above (i) any Operating Surplus attributable to such Capital Improvement (regardless of whether such Operating Surplus is positive or negative), and (ii) for the purpose of calculating the number of outstanding Units, any Units issued to finance the Capital Improvement. The number of Units, excluding any Parity Units to be issued in connection with or within 365 days of

such Acquisition or Capital Improvement, deemed to be Outstanding for the purpose of calculating the amounts in clause (A) and clause (B) shall be the weighted average number of Units Outstanding during the One Year Test Period. For the purposes of this Section 5.7(b), the term "debt" shall be deemed to include the indebtedness used to extend, refinance, renew, replace or defease debt originally incurred in connection with an Acquisition or Capital Improvement; provided, that, the amount of such indebtedness does not exceed the principal sum of, plus accrued interest on, the indebtedness so extended, refinanced, renewed, replaced or defeased.

(b) Section 5.7 is hereby amended to add a new subsection (g) thereto as follows:

(g) During the Subordination Period, the Partnership may also issue an unlimited number of Common Units and other Parity Units without the prior approval of the Unitholders if the net proceeds of such issuance are used to redeem an equal number of Common Units at a price per unit equal to the net proceeds per unit, before expenses, that the Partnership receives from such issuance.

Section 2. Ratification of Partnership Agreement. Except as expressly modified and amended herein, all of the terms and conditions of the Partnership Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 3. Governing Law. This Amendment No. 2 will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the General Partner has executed this Amendment No. 2 as of the date first set forth above.

GENERAL PARTNER:
SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC

By: /s/ Deborah M. Fretz

Name: Deborah M. Fretz
Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

**AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
AGREEMENT OF SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC**

This AMENDMENT NO. 1, dated as of January 1, 2003 (this “Amendment”), to the Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunoco Partners LLC, dated as of April 30, 2002 (the “LLC Agreement”) is adopted, executed and agreed to by Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware, a Delaware corporation, Sun Pipe Line Company, a Texas corporation (“SPL”), Sunoco, Inc. (R&M), a Pennsylvania corporation, Atlantic Petroleum Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp., a Delaware corporation, (the foregoing referred to in the aggregate as “Members”), and Sunoco Partners LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company (the “Company”).

Recitals

WHEREAS, except as otherwise provided herein, capitalized terms used herein have the meanings assigned to them in the LLC Agreement;

WHEREAS, section 5.01 of the LLC Agreement provides that the Members’ Interests in the Company as set forth on Exhibit A of said Agreement shall be adjusted to reflect a final determination of the value of their Capital Contributions and the Company’s assets; and

WHEREAS, the Members desire to desire to amend the LLC Agreement to revise the effective date of the adjustment to the Members’ Interests as set forth on Exhibit A, and the Company and its Members desire to revise Exhibit A to reflect their agreement as to the final determination of the value of the Members’ Capital Contributions and corresponding Membership Interests.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Members and the Company agree as follows:

SECTION 1. Amendment to Section 5.01. Section 5.01 of the LLC Agreement is amended to substitute “January 1, 2003” for “the date hereof” in the second sentence of the second paragraph.

SECTION 2. Amendment to Exhibit A. The Company and its Members have agreed to the value of the Members’ Capital Contributions and the Company’s assets as reflected in the Members’ Interests in Exhibit A to the LLC Agreement, which is amended to read in its entirety as follows:

EXHIBIT A

<u>Member</u>	<u>Membership Interest</u>	<u>Capital Contribution</u>
Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware	17%	\$161 Million
Sun Pipe Line Company	50%	\$485 Million
Sunoco, Inc. (R&M)	13%	\$122 Million
Atlantic Petroleum Corporation	17%	\$165 Million
Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp.	3%	\$34 Million

SECTION 3. Governing Law. This Amendment shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

SECTION 4. Counterparts. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts and by the different Members in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed shall be deemed to be an original and all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement.

[COUNTERPART SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company and its Members have executed this Amendment as of the date first set forth above.

SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC

By: /s/ DEBORAH M. FRETZ

Name: Deborah M. Fretz
Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

SUN PIPE LINE COMPANY OF DELAWARE

By: /s/ DAVID A. JUSTIN

Name: David A. Justin
Title: President

SUN PIPE LINE COMPANY

By: /s/ DEBORAH M. FRETZ

Name: Deborah M. Fretz
Title: President

SUNOCO, INC. (R&M)

By: /s/ THOMAS W. HOFMANN

Name: Thomas W. Hofmann
Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

ATLANTIC PETROLEUM CORPORATION

By: /s/ BARRY H. ROSENBERG

Name: Barry H. Rosenberg
Title: President

ATLANTIC REFINING & MARKETING CORP.

By: /s/ ROBERT W. OWENS

Name: Robert W. Owens
Title: President

MEMBERSHIP ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT

Reference is made to the Second and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunoco Partners LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company (the "Company"), dated as of April 30, 2002 as amended by Amendment No. 1 dated as of January 1, 2003 (the "LLC Agreement"). Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the LLC Agreement.

Recitals

WHEREAS, Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware, a Delaware corporation ("SPLCDeI") and Atlantic Petroleum Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("APC") (each of SPLCDeI and APC being an "Assignor" and, collectively, the "Assignors") each hold certain Membership Interests (the "SPLCDeI Interest" and the "APC Interest" respectively) in the Company, existing under and evidenced by the LLC Agreement (each of the SPLCDeI Interest and the APC Interest being an "Assigned Interest" and, collectively, the "Assigned Interests");

WHEREAS, in each case subject to the limitations set forth in Section 1 below:

(a) SPLCDeI desires to transfer and convey all of its right, title, and interest in and to the SPLCDeI Interest as such interest is described in the LLC Agreement, to Sun Pipe Line Company, a Texas corporation ("SPLCTex") and withdraw from the Company, and

(b) APC desires to transfer and convey all of its right, title, and interest in and to the APC Interest as such interest is described in the LLC Agreement, to Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp., a Delaware corporation ("ARMC") and withdraw from the Company (each of SPLCTex and ARMC being an "Assignee" and, collectively, the "Assignees"); and

WHEREAS, the SPLCTex desires to accept the SPLCDeI Interest and to be admitted to the Company as a substituted Member in the Company in the place and stead of SPLCDeI, and ARMC desires to accept the APC Interest and to be admitted to the Company as a substituted Member in the Company in the place and stead of APC.

NOW, THEREFORE, this Assignment is made in consideration of the premises, warranties, and mutual covenants set forth herein, and each of the parties to this Assignment agrees as follows:

Terms and Conditions

SECTION 1. Assignment of Membership Interests. Effective as of January 1, 2004, (the "Effective Date"), each Assignor hereby sells, transfers, conveys, and assigns to its respective Assignee, without recourse except as provided in Section 2 hereof, all of such Assignor's right, title, and interest in the respective Assigned Interest and all of its rights under the LLC Agreement, including, without limitation:

- (a) all rights of the Assignor to receive monies and other property or assets due and to become due to the Assignor under or pursuant to the LLC Agreement,

- (b) all claims of the Assignor for damages arising out of or for breach of or default under the LLC Agreement,
- (c) all rights of the Assignor to receive proceeds or benefit of any indemnity, warranty, or other payments with respect to the LLC Agreement, and
- (d) all rights of the Assignor to perform thereunder and to compel performance and otherwise exercise all remedies thereunder;

provided, however, that the Assignor does not transfer, convey, or assign the Assignor's right to indemnification pursuant to Section 10.01 of the LLC Agreement, to which the Assignor may be or become entitled with respect to any period during which the Assignor held the Assigned Interest. The Assignee hereby accepts from the Assignor the Assigned Interest and agrees to become a substituted member of the Company, in the place and stead of the Assignor.

SECTION 2. Representations and Warranties.

- (a) Each Assignor represents and warrants that:
 - (1) it has full power, authority and legal right to execute and deliver this Agreement and consummate the transactions contemplated hereby;
 - (2) it is the sole legal and beneficial owner of the Assigned Interest being sold and assigned by it hereunder and that such interest is free and clear of any adverse claim;
 - (3) it has good and valid title to the Assigned Interest and that such title is hereby conveyed to the Assignee free and clear of any and all liens, claims, encumbrances, security interests, or options; and such Assignor will defend title against the claims of all Persons;
 - (4) this Assignment and admission of Assignee as a substituted Member is being made in accordance with Applicable Laws;
 - (5) all governmental approvals that are required to be obtained for such Assignor to execute and deliver this Agreement and consummate the transactions contemplated hereby have been obtained and are in full force and effect;
 - (6) this Assignment has been duly executed and delivered by the Assignor, and constitutes the legal, valid and binding obligation of such Assignor, enforceable against such Assignor in accordance with its terms; and
 - (7) the matters set forth in this Assignment are true and correct.
- (b) Each Assignee represents and warrants that:
 - (1) this Assignment and admission of Assignee as a substituted Member is being made in accordance with Applicable Laws; and
 - (2) the matters set forth in this Assignment are true and correct.

SECTION 3. Withdrawal of Assignor; Acceptance of Assignment by Assignee. Each Assignor hereby withdraws from the Company, and is no longer a Member in the Company. Each Assignor and its respective Assignee shall pay, or reimburse the Company for, all reasonable costs and expenses incurred by the Company in connection with the assignment and acceptance of the applicable Assigned Interest, including legal fees incurred in connection with such opinions of counsel regarding tax and securities law matters relating to the assignment, as the Board, in its sole discretion, may require. The assignment of its respective Assigned Interests shall not effect a release of the Assignor from any liabilities to the Company or the other Members arising from events occurring prior to such assignment.

By its acceptance of this Membership Assignment Agreement, each Assignee assumes all of the obligations of its respective Assignor relating to the applicable Assigned Interest, as accruing or based on events or actions occurring after the Effective Date, including, without limitation, the obligation to contribute to the Company any amounts hereafter required to be contributed by the holder of such Assigned Interest pursuant to the LLC Agreement, and agrees to continue to be bound by and comply with all of the terms of the LLC Agreement.

SECTION 4. Sharing Ratios. After the Effective Date of this Assignment, the respective Sharing Ratio of each Assignee and Assignor will be as set forth in Schedule 4 hereto.

SECTION 5. Ratification. Each Assignee hereby ratifies the LLC Agreement and agrees to continue to be bound by its terms and conditions.

SECTION 6. Notice Address of Assignee. The notice address for each Assignee will be the same as that set forth in section 14.02 of the LLC Agreement with respect to its existing Membership Interest in the Company.

SECTION 7. Effect of Withdrawal and Substitution. As of the Effective Date hereof, the Capital Account of each Assignor will be transferred to its respective Assignee. From and after the Effective Date hereof, the portion of the Profits or Losses of the Company and the portions of all other items of income, gain, loss, deduction, or credit allocable to each Assigned Interest on or after such date shall be credited or charged, as the case may be, to the applicable Assignee and not to its respective Assignor. Such Assignee shall be entitled to all distributions or payments in respect to such Assigned Interest made on or after the Effective Date hereof, regardless of the source of those distributions or payments or when the same was earned or received by the Company. Nothing in this Assignment will affect the allocation to any Assignor of Profits, Losses, and other items of income, gain, loss, deduction, or credit allocable to its Membership Interest and attributable to any period before the Effective Date hereof or any distribution or payments made to such Assignor in respect of its Membership Interest before such date.

SECTION 8. Continuation of the Company. The assignment of the Assigned Interests will not dissolve the Company and the business of the Company will continue.

SECTION 9. Future Cooperation. Each Assignor and its respective Assignee mutually agree to cooperate at all times from and after the date hereof with respect to any of the matters described herein, and to execute such further bills of sale, assignments, releases, assumptions, notifications, or other documents as may be reasonably requested for the purpose of giving effect to, evidencing or giving notice of the transaction evidenced by this Assignment.

SECTION 10. Consent. Each party hereto hereby consents to the execution of this Assignment by every other party hereto.

SECTION 11. Successors and Assigns. This Assignment shall be binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of, the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns.

SECTION 12. Modification and Waiver. No supplement, modification, waiver, or termination of this Assignment or any provisions hereof shall be binding unless executed in writing by all parties hereto. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Assignment shall constitute a waiver of any other provision (whether or not similar), nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver unless otherwise expressly provided.

SECTION 13. Counterparts. Any number of counterparts of this Assignment may be executed. Each counterpart will be deemed to be an original instrument and all counterparts taken together will constitute one agreement.

SECTION 14. Governing Law. This Assignment will be governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania without giving effect to its conflicts of laws principles.

SECTION 15. Certain Interpretative Matters. All pronouns used herein shall include the neuter, masculine, or feminine. Each term appearing in this Assignment with initial capitalization and not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the LLC Agreement.

[COUNTERPART SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Assignment to be duly executed as of this first day of January, 2004.

ATLANTIC PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Assignor of the APL Interest

By: /s/ BARRY H. ROSENBERG

Name: Barry H. Rosenberg

Title: President

ATLANTIC REFINING & MARKETING CORP.

Assignee of the APL Interest

By: /s/ ROBERT W. OWENS

Name: Robert W. Owens

Title: President

SUN PIPE LINE COMPANY OF DELAWARE

Assignor of the SPLCDel Interest

By: /s/ DAVID A. JUSTIN

Name: David A. Justin

Title: President

SUN PIPE LINE COMPANY

Assignee of the SPLCDel Interest

By: /s/ DEBORAH M. FRETZ

Name: Deborah M. Fretz

Title: President

Schedule 4
to
Membership Assignment Agreement

	Membership interests before giving effect to this Membership Assignment Agreement	Membership interests after giving effect to this Membership Assignment Agreement
Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware	17%	0%
Sun Pipe Line Company	50%	67%
Sunoco, Inc. (R&M)	13%	13%
Atlantic Petroleum Corporation	17%	0%
Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp.	3%	20%
	Membership interests assigned pursuant to this Membership Assignment Agreement	Membership interests accepted pursuant to this Membership Assignment Agreement
Sun Pipe Line Company of Delaware	17%	0%
Sun Pipe Line Company	0%	17%
Sunoco, Inc. (R&M)	None	None
Atlantic Petroleum Corporation	17%	0%
Atlantic Refining & Marketing Corp.	0%	17%
TOTAL	34%	34%

JOINDER AGREEMENT

This JOINDER AGREEMENT (this "**Agreement**") dated as of March 14, 2003, is executed by SUNTRUST BANK (the "**New Lender**") for the benefit of BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as Administrative Agent for itself and the other Lenders parties to that certain Credit Agreement dated as of February 1, 2002, among SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P., as borrower, the Guarantors named therein, the financial institutions from time to time party thereto (collectively, the "**Lenders**"), and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent (as renewed, extended, amended or restated, the "**Credit Agreement**"; capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein being used herein as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The New Lender has agreed to become a Lender and is required to execute this Agreement pursuant to **Section 2.13** of the Credit Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the New Lender hereby agrees as follows:

1. The New Lender (a) confirms that it has received a copy of the Credit Agreement, the Guaranties and such other documents and information as it has deemed appropriate to make its own credit analysis and decision to enter into this Amendment and become a Lender party to the Credit Agreement, (b) agrees that it will, independently and without reliance upon the Administrative Agent or any Lender and based on such documents and information as it shall deem appropriate at the time, continue to make its own credit decisions in taking or not taking action under the Loan Documents, (c) appoints or authorizes the Administrative Agent to take such action on its behalf and to exercise such powers under the Loan Documents as are delegated by the terms thereof, together with such powers as are reasonably incidental thereto, (d) agrees that it will perform in accordance with their terms all of the obligations which by the terms of the Loan Documents are required to be performed by it as a Lender, and (e) specifies as its lending office and address for notices the offices set forth on the Administrative Details Form provided to the Administrative Agent.

2. The New Lender shall be a Lender with all rights, powers, and privileges attendant thereto and shall have a Committed Sum of \$25,000,000.

3. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

4. This Agreement may be signed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.

5. **THIS AGREEMENT REPRESENTS THE FINAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES ABOUT THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS AMENDMENT AND MAY NOT BE CONTRADICTED BY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR, CONTEMPORANEOUS, OR SUBSEQUENT ORAL AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES. THERE ARE NO UNWRITTEN ORAL AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES.**

*Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank;
Signature Page to Follow.*

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the New Lender has executed this Joinder Agreement as of the day and year first written above.

New Lender:

SUNTRUST BANK

By: _____ /s/ DAVID J. EDGE

Name: **David J. Edge**
Title: **Director**

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

Acknowledged and Agreed:

Administrative Agent:

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

By: _____ /s/ RONALD E. MCKAIG

Name: **Ronald E. McKaig**
Title: **Managing Director**

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

Borrower:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P.

By: SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS GP LLC,
its General Partner

By: _____ /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

Consent Granted:

Each of the undersigned Guarantors ratifies and affirms its Guaranty and consents to the addition of the New Lender and the increase in the Aggregate Committed Sum to \$225,000,000.

Guarantors:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.,

a Delaware limited partnership, as a Guarantor

By: SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,
its General Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS GP

LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as a Guarantor

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING & TERMINALS

L.P., a Texas limited partnership, as a Guarantor

By: SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS GP
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, its General
Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P., a Texas limited partnership, as a
Guarantor

By: SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS GP
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, its General
Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

JOINDER AGREEMENT

This JOINDER AGREEMENT (this "**Agreement**") dated as of April 14, 2003, is executed by ROYAL BANK OF CANADA (the "**New Lender**") for the benefit of BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., as Administrative Agent for itself and the other Lenders parties to that certain Credit Agreement dated as of February 1, 2002, among SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P., as borrower, the Guarantors named therein, the financial institutions from time to time party thereto (collectively, the "**Lenders**"), and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent (as renewed, extended, amended or restated, the "**Credit Agreement**"; capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein being used herein as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The New Lender has agreed to become a Lender and is required to execute this Agreement pursuant to **Section 2.13** of the Credit Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the New Lender hereby agrees as follows:

1. The New Lender (a) confirms that it has received a copy of the Credit Agreement, the Guaranties and such other documents and information as it has deemed appropriate to make its own credit analysis and decision to enter into this Amendment and become a Lender party to the Credit Agreement, (b) agrees that it will, independently and without reliance upon the Administrative Agent or any Lender and based on such documents and information as it shall deem appropriate at the time, continue to make its own credit decisions in taking or not taking action under the Loan Documents, (c) appoints or authorizes the Administrative Agent to take such action on its behalf and to exercise such powers under the Loan Documents as are delegated by the terms thereof, together with such powers as are reasonably incidental thereto, (d) agrees that it will perform in accordance with their terms all of the obligations which by the terms of the Loan Documents are required to be performed by it as a Lender, and (e) specifies as its lending office and address for notices the offices set forth on the Administrative Details Form provided to the Administrative Agent.

2. The New Lender shall be a Lender with all rights, powers, and privileges attendant thereto and shall have a Committed Sum of \$25,000,000.

3. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

4. This Agreement may be signed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.

5. **THIS AGREEMENT REPRESENTS THE FINAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES ABOUT THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS AMENDMENT AND MAY NOT BE CONTRADICTED BY EVIDENCE OF PRIOR, CONTEMPORANEOUS, OR SUBSEQUENT ORAL AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES. THERE ARE NO UNWRITTEN ORAL AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES.**

*Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank;
Signature Page to Follow.*

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the New Lender has executed this Joinder Agreement as of the day and year first written above.

New Lender:

ROYAL BANK OF CANADA

By: _____ /s/ LINDA M. STEPHENS

Name: Linda M. Stephens
Title: Senior Manager

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

Acknowledged and Agreed:

Administrative Agent:

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

By: _____ /s/ RONALD E. MCKAIG

Ronald E. McKaig
Managing Director

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

Borrower:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P.

By: SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS GP LLC,
its General Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name:

Paul A. Mulholland

Title:

Treasurer

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

Consent Granted:

Each of the undersigned Guarantors ratifies and affirms its Guaranty and consents to the addition of the New Lender and the increase in the Aggregate Committed Sum to \$250,000,000.

Guarantors:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.,

a Delaware limited partnership, as a Guarantor

By: SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,
its General Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS

GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as a Guarantor

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING &

TERMINALS L.P., a Texas limited partnership,
as a Guarantor

By: SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS
OPERATIONS GP LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company, its General Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P., a Texas limited
partnership, as a Guarantor

By: SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS
OPERATIONS GP LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company, its General Partner

By: /s/ PAUL A. MULHOLLAND

Name: Paul A. Mulholland
Title: Treasurer

**Signature Page to
Joinder Agreement**

**AMENDED AND RESTATED
TREASURY SERVICES AGREEMENT**

This Amended and Restated Treasury Services Agreement (the "Agreement") is made as of this 26th day of November, 2003, by and among SUNOCO, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation ("Sunoco"), SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Master Partnership"), SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P., a Texas limited partnership (the "Pipeline Partnership") and SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING & TERMINALS L.P., a Texas limited partnership (the "Terminalling Partnership") (each of the Master Partnership, the Operating Partnership, the Pipeline Partnership and the Terminalling Partnership being a "Partnership" and, collectively, the "Partnerships").

W I T N E S S E T H

WHEREAS, Sunoco, the Master Partnership and the Operating Partnership (collectively the "Original Parties") entered into that certain Treasury Services Agreement dated February 8, 2002 (the "Original Agreement");

WHEREAS, the Original Parties, the Pipeline Partnership and the Terminalling Partnership desire to amend and restate the Original Agreement to add the Pipeline Partnership and the Terminalling Partnership as parties hereto;

WHEREAS, Sunoco, through one or more of its subsidiaries, directly or indirectly, owns the general partner of each Partnership, holds a majority percentage of each Partnership's limited partner interests, and controls each Partnership through such ownership; and

WHEREAS, the Partnerships each desire to engage Sunoco to perform certain financial, administrative, and management services, and Sunoco is willing to perform such services for each Partnership, in accordance with the terms and conditions in this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual covenants and upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, the parties hereby, intending to be legally bound, agree as follows:

**ARTICLE I
Services**

1.1. Specified Services. Sunoco will perform for each Partnership, the treasury services described in Schedule 1.1 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, with such deletions, additions or modifications as the parties may from time to time agree upon (the "Treasury Services"). Sunoco makes no representations or warranties of any kind, express or

implied, with respect to the Treasury Services to be provided hereunder, except that the Treasury Services shall be provided in a reasonably timely manner by personnel that Sunoco deems to be competent and qualified to perform such services.

1.2. Additional Services. Subject to Subsection 2.1(b) hereof, Sunoco also may perform such related additional services as either Partnership may request from time to time, as more particularly described in Schedule 1.2 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, with such deletions, additions or modifications as the parties may from time to time agree upon (the "Additional Services").

ARTICLE II Charges and Payment

2.1 Fees and Charges.

(a) Each Partnership promptly shall:

(i) reimburse Sunoco for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses (other than those associated with Sunoco's normal overhead), which are incurred by Sunoco in connection with the performance of the Treasury Services and the Additional Services, if any, for such Partnership (reimbursable expenses being deemed to include, without limitation, the cost of meals, lodging, travel, entertainment, equipment purchases, external consultants, long distance telephone calls, duplicating costs, and charges of suppliers of special products and services); and

(ii) pay to Sunoco an amount equal to any and all sales, use or other taxes (excluding income taxes) applicable to the Treasury Services or Additional Services, if any, performed for such Partnership hereunder.

(b) For any Additional Services performed hereunder, each Partnership shall pay to Sunoco such fee or fees as from time to time may be agreed upon between such Partnership and Sunoco. Sunoco shall not be required to perform any particular Additional Services unless the fee payable for such services shall have been agreed upon in writing, in advance, by the applicable Partnership and Sunoco.

(c) Sunoco shall invoice each Partnership for all fees, expenses, and taxes which become payable by such Partnership pursuant to this Section 2.1. Payment of the amount reflected on each such invoice shall be paid by the applicable Partnership to Sunoco, without any discount, within fifteen (15) days after such Partnership's receipt of the invoice.

ARTICLE III Miscellaneous

3.1 Term, Renewal, and Termination. The term of this Agreement shall commence as of the date hereof and shall continue until the close of business on December 31, 2004, unless renewed or sooner terminated pursuant to this Section 3.1. The original term of this Agreement automatically shall be renewed for successive terms of one (1) full calendar year each unless written notice of election not to renew is given by either party to the other at least thirty (30) days prior to the expiration of the original or then current term hereof. The obligations of any party under this

Agreement may be terminated by such party upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other party. Such termination shall not relieve a terminating party of its obligations up to and including the date of termination.

3.2 Ownership and Inspection. As between each Partnership and Sunoco, all the applicable Partnership's funds, or portfolio securities, and all books and records of account, checkbooks, bank and brokerage statements, accounting, financial and other records, financial statements, reports, and other documents maintained, received, or prepared for such Partnership pursuant to this Agreement at all times shall constitute the sole and exclusive property of that Partnership and shall not be subject to any lien, encumbrance, or security interest of Sunoco. While in the possession or custody, or under the control, of Sunoco, all of the foregoing at all times shall be available to such Partnership, and its officers, attorneys, accountants, and other representatives, for inspection, copying, or other purposes and, if so requested by such Partnership, promptly shall be delivered to the Partnership, temporarily or permanently.

3.3 No Consequential Damages. In no event shall a party hereto be liable to any other party hereto for any consequential damages arising from, in connection with, or relating to, any matter provided for in this Agreement.

3.4 Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is prohibited by or held to be invalid under applicable law, such provision will be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition or invalidity, without invalidating the remaining provisions of this Agreement. If necessary to effect the intent of the parties hereto, the parties shall negotiate in good faith to amend this Agreement to replace the unenforceable language with enforceable language that as closely as possible reflects such intent.

3.5 Notices. All notices, consents, request, demands and other communications hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed given and effective five (5) business days after being mailed first class, certified or registered mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, addressed as set forth below, or two (2) business days after being sent by overnight courier, telex or telecopy (by a machine that indicates the telex or telecopy number of the machine to which such communication is sent and the receipt by such machine of such communication) or by personal delivery to the address set forth below:

If to Sunoco:

SUNOCO, INC.
1801 Market Street -27th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699
Attention: Paul A. Mulholland
Treasurer
215-977-3559 FAX
215-246-8810 Confirm

If to the Master Partnership:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.
1801 Market Street - 27th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699
Attention: Deborah M. Fretz

President and Chief Executive Officer
Sunoco Partners LLC
215-977-3902 FAX
215-977-3830 Confirm

If to the Operating Partnership:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P.
1801 Market Street - 27th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699
Attention: Deborah M. Fretz
President and Chief Executive Officer
Sunoco Partners LLC
215-977-3902 FAX
215-977-3830 Confirm

If to the Pipeline Partnership:

SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.
1801 Market Street - 27th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699
Attention: Deborah M. Fretz
President and Chief Executive Officer
215-977-3902 FAX
215-977-3830 Confirm

If to the Terminalling Partnership:

SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING & TERMINALS L.P.
1801 Market Street - 27th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699
Attention: Deborah M. Fretz
President and Chief Executive Officer
215-977-3902 FAX
215-977-3830 Confirm

or, in each case, at such other address or telecopy number or to such other Person as may be specified in writing by a party to whom notices are to be sent.

3.6 No Agency. In connection with the parties' performance of services hereunder, the relationship of the parties shall be solely that of independent contractors. No party is the agent of the other. This Agreement does not create, and shall not be construed as creating, a partnership or joint venture between the parties hereto. Nothing in this Agreement shall authorize or be construed as authorizing Sunoco to enter into contracts or agreements on behalf of any Partnership or to incur any obligations or create any liabilities which are binding on any Partnership; *provided, however*, that Sunoco shall act as an agent for each Partnership when performing such Additional Services as to which Sunoco may be authorized in writing by such Partnership to act as agent for the Partnership.

3.7 Modification or Waiver. This Agreement may be modified at any time, but only by written instrument executed by the parties hereto and expressly stating it is an amendment to this Agreement. Any of the terms, covenants and conditions of this Agreement may be waived at any time by the party entitled to the benefit of such term, covenant or condition; *provided, however*, that such waiver must be in writing and executed by the party against whom such waiver is asserted. No course of dealing will be deemed effective to modify, amend or discharge any part of this Agreement.

3.8 Force Majeure. If either party to this Agreement is rendered unable by *force majeure* to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, other than each Partnership's obligation to make payments to Sunoco provided for herein, that party shall give the other party prompt written notice of the *force majeure* with reasonably full particulars concerning it. Thereupon, the obligations of the party giving the notice, so far as they are affected by the *force majeure*, shall be suspended during, but no longer than the continuance of, the *force majeure*. The affected party shall use all reasonable diligence to remove or remedy the *force majeure* situation as quickly as practicable. The requirement that any *force majeure* situation be removed or remedied with all reasonable diligence shall not require the settlement of strikes, lockouts or other labor difficulty by the party involved, contrary to its wishes. Rather, all such difficulties may be handled entirely within the discretion of the party concerned. The term "*force majeure*" means any one or more of:

- (a) an act of God;
- (b) a strike, lockout, labor difficulty or other industrial disturbance;
- (c) an act of a public enemy, war, blockade, insurrection or public riot;
- (d) lightning, fire, storm, flood or explosion;
- (e) governmental action, delay, restraint or inaction;
- (f) judicial order or injunction;
- (g) material shortage or unavailability of equipment; or
- (h) any other cause or event, whether of the kind specifically enumerated above or otherwise, which is not reasonably within the control of the party claiming suspension.

3.9 Headings, Etc. The division of this Agreement into Articles and Sections and the insertion of headings are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect the construction or interpretation of this Agreement. The terms "this Agreement," "hereof," "hereunder" and similar expressions refer to this Treasury Services Agreement and not to any particular Section or other portion hereof and include any agreement supplemental hereto. Unless something in the subject matter or context is inconsistent therewith, references herein to Articles and Sections are to Articles and Sections of this Agreement.

3.10 Number and Gender. Words importing the singular number shall include the plural and vice versa, words importing the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders and vice versa, and words importing persons shall include individuals, partnerships, associations, trusts, unincorporated organizations and corporations and vice versa.

3.11 Third Party Rights. This Agreement shall not provide any third parties with any remedy, claim, liability, reimbursement, cause of action or other right in excess of those existing without reference to this Agreement.

3.12 Subsidiaries. The parties hereto acknowledge that they may conduct their business operations through subsidiaries and agree that they will cause their respective direct and indirect

subsidiaries to abide by the terms of this Agreement as if they were parties hereto to the extent necessary to carry out the purposes of this Agreement. Further, each party shall be entitled to cause its obligations hereunder to be satisfied, and to cause its benefits hereunder to be received, by its subsidiaries.

3.13 Cooperation. Each party shall from time to time, and at all times, do such further acts and execute and deliver all such further deeds and documents as shall be reasonably requested by the other party in order to fully perform and carry out the terms of this Agreement.

3.14 Governing Law. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE INTERPRETED AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INTERNAL LAWS (WITHOUT REGARD TO THE PRINCIPLES OF CONFLICT OF LAW) OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA.

3.15 Binding Effect and Assignment. This Agreement and all of the provisions hereof shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and their respective permitted successors and assigns, but, except as provided in Section 3.12, neither this Agreement nor any of the rights, interests or obligations hereunder may be assigned by any Partnership without the prior written consent of Sunoco.

3.16 Essence of Time. Time is of the essence of this Agreement.

3.17 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, no one of which needs to be executed by all of the parties. Each counterpart, including a facsimile transmission of this Agreement, shall be deemed to be an original and shall have the same force and effect as an original. All counterparts together shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

3.18 Entire Agreement. This Agreement embodies the entire agreement and understanding and supersedes all prior agreements, understandings, undertakings, declarations, commitments and representations, verbal or oral, of the parties with respect to the specific matters contemplated hereby.

[COUNTERPART SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed and delivered on their behalf on the date first above written.

SUNOCO, INC.

By: /s/ Thomas W. Hoffman

Name : Thomas W. Hoffman

Title: SVP, CFO

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS L.P.

By its General Partner:

SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC

By: /s/ Deborah M. Fretz

Name: Deborah M. Fretz

Title: President, CEO

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS L.P.

By its General Partner:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS GP LLC

By: /s/ Deborah M. Fretz

Name: Deborah M. Fretz

Title: President, CEO

SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P.

By its General Partner:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS GP
LLC

By: /s/ Deborah M. Fretz

Name: Deborah M. Fretz

Title: President

By its General Partner:

SUNOCO LOGISTICS PARTNERS OPERATIONS GP
LLC

By: /s/ Deborah M. Fretz

Name: Deborah M. Fretz

Title: President

Schedule 1.1
to
Amended and Restated
Treasury Services Agreement

TREASURY SERVICES

- (a) **Use of Sunoco's centralized consolidated cash management and financial systems for accounts payable, accounts receivable and payroll processing, tax filing and payment, and pension and benefit plan monitoring and administration.** Each Partnership will participate in Sunoco's centralized cash management program, whereby all of such Partnership's cash receipts and cash disbursements will be processed (together with those of Sunoco and its other subsidiaries) through Sunoco's cash accounts with a corresponding credit or charge to an inter-company account. Interest will be applied daily to the net inter-company balance.

Each Partnership's net receivable balances will earn interest at a rate equal to the average rate paid to such Partnership for its money market funds invested. If the Partnership does not have funds invested in money market accounts, the rate will be the average rate paid by Nations Cash Reserves money market fund (or any successor entity thereto) for the same period.

Each Partnership's net payable balances will pay interest at a rate equal to the interest rate for Eurodollar loans, as provided in that certain \$150,000,000 Revolving Credit Facility for Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., with Bank of America as Administrative Agent (the "Senior Credit Facility"), or applicable replacement facility, as the same may be amended from time to time.

Each Partnership will settle any outstanding inter-company balance on a periodic basis, but not less frequently than at the end of each month. Funds from any net receivable balance from Sunoco will be applied by the Master Partnership and the Operating Partnership to repay any amount then outstanding under the Senior Credit Facility, or (i) with respect to the Master Partnership and the Operating Partnership, if no such amounts are outstanding; or (ii) with respect to funds of the Pipeline Partnership and the Terminalling Partnership, may be transferred by such Partnership to a third-party money market account. With respect to the Master Partnership and the Operating Partnership, a net payable balance to Sunoco may be repaid by such Partnership with funds drawn from the Senior Credit Facility or with such Partnership's surplus funds, including funds in any money market account for such Partnership.

- (b) **Short-term funds management, investment and borrowing by Sunoco on behalf of each Partnership.** Sunoco, on behalf of each Partnership, will make short-term investments of surplus funds in one or more third party money market accounts. With respect to the Master Partnership and the Operating Partnership, any short-term borrowings will be executed from the Senior Credit Facility on behalf of the applicable Partnership.
- (c) **Administration and servicing by Sunoco of Partnership debt obligations.** On behalf of each Partnership, Sunoco will administer the compliance certificates for the Senior Credit

Facility and for such Partnership's long-term debt issuances, according to applicable covenant requirements.

(d) **Participate with each Partnership in arranging financial transactions, and interface with external credit rating agencies.**

(e) **Advice from Sunoco on corporate finance and cash management issues.** As requested by each Partnership, Sunoco may provide advice, from time to time, on the following matters:

- Banking arrangements (compensation, operating lines of credit, letters of credit, etc.), existing public and private debt, and the structure and arrangement of new debt and equity financing as required;
- Credit risk analysis and management, including counter-party credit risk management;
- Pension and benefit plan monitoring and administration;
- Interest rate hedging; and
- Project financing.

Schedule 1.2
to
Amended and Restated
Treasury Services Agreement

ADDITIONAL SERVICES

None.

SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC
EXECUTIVE DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
(Adopted October 17, 2003)

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

ARTICLE I

Definitions

1.1 95% Withdrawal - shall have the meaning set forth herein at Section 5.1.

1.2 Affiliate - means, with respect to any Person, any other Person that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by or is under common control with, the Person in question. As used herein, the term "control" means the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise.

1.3 Cash Unit - shall mean the entry in a Deferred Bonus Account of a credit equal to One Dollar (\$1.00).

1.4 Change in Control - shall mean and be deemed to have occurred upon the occurrence of one or more of the following events:

(a) the consolidation, reorganization, merger or other transaction pursuant to which more than 50% of the combined voting power of the outstanding equity interests in the Company cease to be owned by Sunoco, Inc. and its Affiliates;

(b) a "Change in Control" of Sunoco, Inc. as defined from time to time in the Sunoco, Inc. stock plans; or

(c) the general partner (whether the Company or any other Person) of the Partnership ceases to be an Affiliate of Sunoco, Inc.

1.5 Change in Control Election - shall have the meaning set forth herein at Section 5.1.

1.6 Committee - shall mean the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Sunoco Partners LLC.

1.7 Company - shall mean Sunoco Partners LLC, a Pennsylvania corporation and the general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. The term "Company" shall include any successor to Sunoco Partners LLC or its business (whether by operation of law or merger, consolidation, liquidation or purchase of assets or stock or similar transaction) and any subsidiary or affiliate of Sunoco Partners LLC that has adopted the Plan.

1.8 Deferred Bonus Account - shall mean, with respect to any Participant, the total amount of the Company's liability for payment of deferred compensation to the Participant under this Plan, including any accumulated Interest Equivalents.

1.9 Excess Bonus - shall have the meaning set forth herein at Section 4.1.

1.10 Exchange Act - shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

1.11 Executive Resource Employee - shall mean any individual employed by the Company who has been designated by the Company as a member of the Company's executive resources

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

group. Generally such group shall include employees in salary grades 14 and above and all employees subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

1.12 Incentive Plan - shall mean the Sunoco Partners LLC Annual Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan provides that the Committee may pay bonuses annually, as additional compensation to such employees as the Committee determines have principally contributed to the profitability of the Company and the Partnership.

1.13 Interest Equivalent - shall mean the entry in a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account of an interest credit with respect to a Cash Unit, compounded on the basis of the balance in the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, applying the interest factor approved by the Committee each year for such purpose.

1.14 Participant - shall mean any Executive Resource Employee who meets the eligibility requirements of the Incentive Plan and who is participating in this Plan.

1.15 Partnership - shall mean Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., a publicly traded Delaware master limited partnership.

1.16 Permanent and Total Disability - shall mean, with respect to any Participant, that such Participant is eligible to receive benefits under the applicable long-term disability plan of such Participant's employer.

1.17 Person - shall mean an individual or a corporation, Limited Liability Company, partnership, joint venture, trust, unincorporated organization, association, government agency or political subdivision thereof or other entity.

1.18 Plan - shall mean the Sunoco Partners LLC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan set forth herein, as the same may be amended from time to time.

1.19 Retirement - shall mean the date on which a Participant is retired in accordance with the applicable retirement plan, program, or policy of such Participant's employer.

ARTICLE II

Background and Purpose of Plan

2.1 Purpose. The Company has established this Plan to provide Executive Resource Employees who are participants in the Incentive Plan with the option to irrevocably defer the receipt of all or a portion of the bonus to which such participants otherwise would be entitled, subject to the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth.

2.2 Creation of Deferred Bonus Account. Each of the following shall be credited to a Deferred Bonus Account established by the Company for each Participant:

- (a) any bonus amounts voluntarily deferred by the Participant pursuant to Article III (Deferral of Bonuses by Participant) hereof; and/or

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

(b) any Excess Bonus amounts deferred in the discretion of the Committee pursuant to Article IV (Deferral of Bonuses by Committee) hereof.

Any bonus amounts voluntarily deferred by the Participant will be credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account in the form of Cash Units as set forth in the Plan. The deferral of any Excess Bonus amounts caused by action of the Committee will be credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account in the form of Cash Units in accordance with the Plan.

ARTICLE III

Deferral of Bonuses by Participant

3.1 Participant's Election to Defer. A Participant voluntarily may elect to defer, in the form of Cash Units, all or a portion of his or her bonus to be awarded under the Incentive Plan by filing a written election with the Committee on forms prescribed by the Committee. Such election must include the following:

- (a) percentage of bonus to be deferred;
- (b) a designation of beneficiary as set forth in Article VI (Designation of Beneficiaries); and
- (d) an irrevocable election of a method of payment as set forth in Section 3.7 hereof.

Any such voluntary election by the Participant shall apply only to bonuses for the year specified in the election.

3.2 Amount of Deferral. The amount of bonus to be deferred in any year shall be designated by the Participant as a percentage of such Participant's bonus in multiples of five percent (5%) but shall not be less than ten percent (10%).

3.3 Time of Election. A separate election to defer must be filed for each year and must be received by the Company no later than forty-five (45) days before the end of the year in which the bonus is earned. Any election by a Participant with respect to a bonus in a given year will not preclude a different action with respect to bonuses in subsequent years, consistent with the provisions of this Article III with respect to the giving of notice of deferral election.

3.4 Crediting Cash Units. Cash Units shall be credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account at the time the bonus otherwise would have been paid, had no election to defer been made.

3.5 Crediting Interest Equivalents. For Cash Units credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, the Company shall credit such Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, on a quarterly basis, with an Interest Equivalent.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

3.6 Time of Payment. Except as provided in Article V (“Change in Control”) hereof, all payments of a Participant’s Deferred Bonus Account shall be made at, or shall commence on, the date selected by the Participant in accordance with the terms of this Article III.

(a) *Election of Benefit Commencement Date*. The date of payment or distribution must be irrevocably specified by the Participant in his or her written notice of election. The Participant may elect to defer the receipt of all or a specified portion of such Participant’s bonus to:

- (1) the first day of any calendar year provided such date is at least six (6) months after the end of the quarter in which the bonus is earned; or
- (2) the first day of the calendar year following the date of:
 - (i) the Participant’s retirement;
 - (ii) final determination that the Participant has a Permanent and Total Disability;
 - (iii) termination of the Participant’s employment with the Company;
 - (iv) death of the Participant. Upon the death of a Participant prior to the final payment of all amounts credited to his or her Deferred Bonus Account, the balance of the Deferred Bonus Account shall be paid in accordance with Article VI (“Designation of Beneficiaries”) hereof, commencing on the first day of the calendar year following the year of death.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 3.6, and except as provided in Article V (“Change in Control”) hereof, in no event shall any payment or distribution be made within six (6) months of the bonus being earned or awarded. The benefit commencement date may not be later than the third calendar year following the date of: (i) Participant’s retirement, or (ii) termination of Participant’s employment.

(b) *Acceleration of Benefit Commencement Date Prior to Payment*. At any time prior to the commencement of any payment or distribution of a Participant’s Deferred Bonus Account, such Participant may request in writing to accelerate the receipt of all or a specified portion of such deferred bonus amounts to the first day of any calendar year; *provided, however*, that such date is at least six (6) months after the end of the quarter in which the bonus is earned. Any such acceleration will be subject to a penalty equal to a five percent (5%) reduction in the balance of the Participant’s Deferred Bonus Account, which shall be forfeited to the Company.

3.7 Method of Payment. A Participant in this portion of the Deferred Compensation Plan shall have the option of:

- (a) selecting a lump-sum payment;
- (b) selecting a series of approximately equivalent annual installments (adjusted as necessary to reflect Interest Equivalents accrued during the installment payout period) in such

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

number of installments as the Participant shall specify (not exceeding twenty (20) installments); or

(c) not selecting a method of payment at the time the Form for Deferred Payment Election/Designation of Beneficiary is prepared. If the Participant does not select a method of payment, he or she must, at least twelve (12) months prior to the time the deferral amount is scheduled to be paid, notify the Company as to the specific method of payment which will be either in a lump sum or in approximately equivalent annual installments. Failure to provide appropriate notification to the Company will result in a lump sum payment on the deferral payment date.

The Participant shall receive in cash all deferred compensation credited to such Participant's Deferred Bonus Account.

3.8 Subsequent Change in Method of Payment Election.

(a) *Change in Method of Payment Prior to Commencement of Distribution or Payment.* With the approval of the Committee, and at any time not later than twelve (12) months prior to the commencement of any payment or distribution of the amounts credited to the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, a Participant in this portion of the Plan may file a written request with regard to the method of payment (*i.e.*, a series of installments versus lump-sum payout), on a form prescribed by the Committee, which will revoke all such earlier or prior elections with regard to the method of payment (*i.e.*, a series of installments versus lump-sum payout), and such new choice as to method of payment will be applied both to amounts previously credited to the Participant's current Deferred Bonus Account balance, as well as to amounts to be credited to such Deferred Bonus Account balance prospectively. Any such new or subsequent election that is made less than twelve (12) months prior to the commencement of any payment or distribution of the amounts credited to the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, will be null and void, and the Participant's most recent preceding timely election will be reinstated.

(b) *Change in Method of Payment Following Commencement of Distribution or Payment.* After payment or distribution of amounts credited to the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account has commenced, the Participant may not change the period of time for which such amounts are payable. However the Participant may convert installment payments to a lump sum distribution subject to a penalty equal to a five percent (5%) reduction in the balance of the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, which shall be forfeited to the Company.

3.9 Hardship Distribution. Participant may request a modification in the payment terms hereunder only in the event of severe financial hardship and only to the extent reasonably

**Executive Deferred Compensation Plan
JJD
10-14-2003 11:30 am**

necessary to eliminate the hardship. Such request shall specify in detail the grounds for the requested modification and shall be referred to the Committee. A qualifying severe financial hardship must be caused by accident, illness, or event beyond the control of the Participant. The decision of the Committee with respect to the requested modification shall be solely at the discretion of the Committee and in accordance with its evaluation of the exigencies of the situation. Such decision shall be binding on the Company and Participant.

ARTICLE IV

Deferral of Bonuses by Committee

4.1 Committee's Election to Defer. Each year in conjunction with the award of any bonus to the Participant, the Committee, in its sole discretion, may cause to be credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account in the form of Cash Units, a specified dollar amount representing all or a portion of such Participant's bonus for that year (the "Excess Bonus").

4.2 Crediting Cash Units. Cash Units shall be credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account at the time the bonus otherwise would have been paid had no Committee action to defer been taken.

4.3 Crediting Interest Equivalents. For Cash Units credited to a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, the Company shall credit such Participant's Deferred Bonus Account on a quarterly basis with an Interest Equivalent.

4.4 Time of Payment.

(a) *Benefit Commencement Date Specified by Committee*. The Committee will specify in writing its election of the earliest date of payment or distribution, and such election shall remain effective until revoked in writing by the Committee. If the Committee elects a new date with regard to payment or distribution, such election will apply only prospectively to any additional Cash Units to be credited to such Participant's Deferred Bonus Account by Committee action in accordance with this Article IV. If the Committee fails to designate a time of payment, payment shall commence on the first day of the calendar year following the termination of such Participant's employment. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 4.7, in no event, however, shall the payment date be later than the third calendar year following the date of: (i) Participant's retirement, or (ii) termination of Participant's employment.

(b) *Acceleration of Benefit Commencement Date Prior to Payment*. At any time prior to the commencement of any payment or distribution of a Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, such Participant may request in writing to accelerate the receipt of all or a specified portion of such deferred bonus amounts to the first day of any calendar year; *provided*,

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

however, that such date is at least six (6) months after the end of the quarter in which the bonus is earned. Any such acceleration will be subject to a penalty equal to a five percent (5%) reduction in the balance of the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, which shall be forfeited to the Company.

4.5 Method of Payment. The Participant must select a method of payment at least twelve (12) months prior to the time the deferral amount is scheduled to be paid, by notifying the Company as to whether the method of payment will be either:

(a) a lump sum payment; or

(b) a series of approximately equivalent annual installments (adjusted as necessary to reflect Dividend Equivalents accrued during the installment payout period), in such number of installments as the Participant shall specify (not exceeding twenty (20) installments).

If no election is made, payment or distribution of any amounts deferred by Committee action pursuant to this Article IV (together with the Interest Equivalents accrued thereon) shall be made in a single lump sum on the earliest payment date permitted by the Committee as provided under Section 4.4 hereof.

4.6 Subsequent Change in Method of Payment Election.

(a) *Change in Method of Payment Prior to Commencement of Distribution or Payment.* With the approval of the Committee, and at any time not later than twelve (12) months prior to the commencement of any payment or distribution of the amounts credited to the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, a Participant in this portion of the Plan may file a written request with regard to the method of payment (*i.e.*, a series of installments versus lump-sum payout), on a form prescribed by the Committee, which will revoke all such earlier or prior elections with regard to the method of payment (*i.e.*, a series of installments versus lump-sum payout), and such new choice as to method of payment will be applied both to amounts previously credited to the Participant's current Deferred Bonus Account balance, as well as to amounts to be credited to such Deferred Bonus Account balance prospectively. Any such new or subsequent election that is made less than twelve (12) months prior to the commencement of any payment or distribution of the amounts credited to the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, will be null and void, and the Participant's most recent preceding timely election will be reinstated.

(b) *Change in Method of Payment Following Commencement of Distribution or Payment.* After payment or distribution of amounts credited to the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account has commenced, the Participant may not change the period of time for which such amounts are payable. However the Participant may convert installment payments to a lump sum

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

distribution subject to a penalty equal to a five percent (5%) reduction in the balance of the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account, which shall be forfeited to the Company.

ARTICLE V

Change in Control

5.1 Effect of Change in Control on Payment. Anything to the contrary in this Plan notwithstanding, at any time a Participant may make an election (a "Change in Control Election") to receive, in a single lump sum payment, upon the occurrence of a Change in Control, the balance of his or her Deferred Bonus Account, as of the valuation date immediately preceding the Change in Control. Any Change in Control Election or revocation of an existing Change in Control Election shall be null and void if a Change in Control occurs within twelve (12) months after it is made, and the Participant's most recent preceding Change in Control Election, if timely made and not revoked at least twelve (12) months before the Change in Control, shall remain in force. Each such election or revocation shall be in writing and in conformity with such rules as may be prescribed by the Committee. If no Change in Control Election is in force upon the occurrence of a Change in Control, from the date of such Change in Control and for twelve (12) months thereafter, each Participant, whether or not he or she is still an employee of the Company, shall have the right to withdraw, in a single lump-sum cash payment, an amount equal to ninety-five percent (95%) of the balance of his or her Deferred Bonus Account (a "95% Withdrawal"), as of the valuation date immediately preceding the date of withdrawal; provided, however, that if this option is exercised, such Participant will forfeit to the Company the remaining five percent (5%) of the balance of each such account (as of the valuation date immediately preceding the date of withdrawal) from which the funds are withdrawn as a penalty. Payments pursuant to a 95% Withdrawal shall be made as soon as practicable, but no later than thirty (30) days after the Participant notifies the Committee in writing that he/she is exercising his/her right to undertake a 95% Withdrawal.

5.2 Amendment in Connection with Change in Control. On or after a Change in Control, or before, but in connection with, a Change in Control, no action, including by way of example and not of limitation, the amendment, suspension or termination of the Plan, shall be taken which would adversely affect the rights of any Participant or the operation of this Article V with respect to the balance in the Participant's Accounts immediately before such action.

5.3 Attorney's Fees. The Company shall pay all legal fees and related expenses incurred by a Participant in seeking to obtain or enforce any payment, benefit or right such Participant may be entitled to under the plan after a Change in Control. The Participant shall reimburse the Company

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

for such fees and expenses at such time as a court of competent jurisdiction, or another independent third party having similar authority, determines that the Participant's claim was frivolously brought without reasonable expectation of success on the merits thereof.

ARTICLE VI

Designation of Beneficiaries

The Participant shall name a beneficiary to receive any payments due such Participant at the time of death, with the right to change such beneficiary at any time. In case of a failure of designation or the death of the designated beneficiary without a designated successor, distribution shall be made to the surviving spouse of a deceased Participant, or, if there is no surviving spouse, the children of the Participant in equal shares (the share of any child who predeceases the Participant to go in equal shares to the issue of such deceased child), or if there is no surviving spouse, child, or issue of such children, the estate of the Participant. No designation of beneficiaries shall be valid unless in writing signed by the Participant, dated and filed with the Company. Upon the Participant's death, any balance in the Participant's Deferred Bonus Account is payable under the method elected by the Participant or in such other manner as the Committee may determine in its sole discretion.

ARTICLE VII

Miscellaneous

7.1 Source of Payments. All payments of deferred bonuses shall be paid in cash from the general funds of the Company and the Company shall be under no obligation to segregate any assets in connection with the maintenance of the Deferred Bonus Account, nor shall anything contained in this Plan nor any action taken pursuant to the Plan create or be construed to create a trust of any kind, or a fiduciary relationship between the Company and Participant. Title to the beneficial ownership of any assets, whether cash or investments, which the Company may designate to pay the amount credited to the Deferred Bonus Account shall at all times remain in the Company and Participant shall not have any property interest whatsoever in any specific assets of the Company. Participant's interest in the Deferred Bonus Account shall be limited to the right to receive payments pursuant to the terms of this Plan and such rights to receive shall be no greater than the right of any other unsecured general creditor of the Company.

7.2 Nonalienation of Benefits. Participant shall not have the right to sell, assign, transfer or otherwise convey or encumber in whole or in part the right to receive any payment under this Plan except in accordance with Article VI (Designation of Beneficiaries) hereof.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

7.3 Acceptance of Terms. The terms and conditions of this Plan shall be binding upon the heirs, beneficiaries, and other successors in interest of Participant to the same extent that said terms and conditions are binding upon the Participant. This Plan shall not be construed in any way as an employment contract requiring the Company or Participant to continue the employment relation.

7.4 Administration of the Plan. The Plan shall be administered by the Committee which may make such rules and regulations and establish such procedures for the administration of this Plan as it deems appropriate. In the event of any dispute or disagreements as to the interpretation of this Plan or of any rules, regulation, or procedure or as to any questioned right or obligation arising from or related to this Plan, the decision of the Committee shall be final and binding upon all persons.

7.6 Termination and Amendment. The Plan may be terminated at any time by the Board of Directors of Sunoco Partners LLC, and may be amended at any time by the Committee; *provided, however*, that no such amendment or termination shall adversely affect the rights of Participants or their beneficiaries with respect to amounts credited to Deferred Bonus Accounts prior to such amendment or termination, without the written consent of the Participant.

7.7 Notices. To be effective, all notices, requests and demands to or upon the Company or the Participant, as the case may be, shall be in writing, by facsimile, by overnight courier or by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid and return receipt requested, and shall be deemed to have been duly given or made upon:

- (a) delivery by hand;
- (b) one business day after being sent by overnight courier;
- (c) four business days after being deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid; or
- (d) in the case of transmission by facsimile, when confirmation of receipt is obtained.

Such communications shall be addressed and directed as listed below (or to such other address or recipient for a party as may be hereafter notified by such party hereunder), to the Company or the Participant, respectively:

If to the Company, to:

SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC
c/o: SUNOCO, INC.
Human Resources Department
Ten Penn Center - 20th Floor
1801 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699
Attn: Director, Compensation & Benefits
FAX : (215) 246-8498
Confirm: (215) 246-8392

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am

If to Participant, to:

The most recent address for Participant appearing in the books and records of the Company.

7.8 Construction. The captions and headings used for the various Articles and Sections of this Plan are for convenience of reference only and are not to affect the construction hereof or be taken into consideration in the interpretation hereof.

7.9 Severability. In the case of any one or more of the provisions contained in this Plan shall be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable in any respect the remaining provisions shall be construed in order to effectuate the purposes hereof and the validity, legality, and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained herein shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

7.10 Governing Law. This Plan shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

JJD

10-14-2003 11:30 am



Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.
1801 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-1699

November 13, 2003

Mr. Jeffrey W. Wagner
2221 Buttonwood Road
Berwyn, PA 19312

Dear Jeff,

This is to confirm that your employment with Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. will be terminated as of Friday, December 5, 2003.

Severance payments shall be made accordance with company policy. That will give you two week's pay for each year you have completed with the company up to twenty (20) years and three (3) weeks after that as of your termination date. In your case this will amount to forty-three (43) weeks of severance pay.

Listed below are some highlights of your severance package:

- You have the option to select between enhanced severance benefits and basic severance benefits and have twenty (21) days from the date you receive the General Release and Involuntary Termination Plan Acknowledgment and Beneficiary Designation Form. If you do not return the signed forms within this time period will result in a default election of basic severance benefits. You also have a seven-day period after signing the two forms to revoke or rescind your decision.
- Your severance pay will be paid monthly (each check will include a maximum of four (4) weeks' pay). Your will receive your first severance check the week of January 26, 2004.
- All benefits will cease with the exception of medical and life insurance. Your medical coverage will remain in effect until the end of the severance period. Also, Sunoco Logistics will continue to provide non-contributory life insurance coverage equal to one times base pay during the severance pay period. Any supplement coverage elected will be discontinued.
- COBRA continuation eligibility will begin as of your termination date and will run concurrently with the period of severance payments. After COBRA benefits expire, no further medical benefits will be available.

- As of today you currently have 312 remaining vacation hours. Therefore, any vacation hours remaining prior to your termination date will be paid as a lump sum and payable January 9, 2004. Lump sum vacation hours are subject to 25% Federal taxes, plus other applicable taxes.
- A lump sum severance bonus will also be paid to you. The amount is the average of the last three (3) consecutive years divided by 52, multiplied by weeks of severance to be paid. Recorded bonuses of \$32,515 paid in 2000, \$43,436 paid in 2001, and \$62,680 paid in 2002. The calculation is as follows:

Three (3) Year Bonus Amounts	
\$ 32,515	
\$ 43,436	
\$ 62,680	
\$138,631	Average = \$46,210.33

Severance bonus payout equals $(\$46,210.33/52)*43 = \$38,212.39$ less applicable taxes payable January 9, 2004.

- Since you participate in the Sunoco Logistics Annual Incentive Plan you will receive a pro-rated bonus based upon the months as an active employee during the performance year. Bonuses are paid in March following the performance year. The actual amount is based upon salary, grade, company performance and month of termination.
- You also will receive a special severance bonus payment in lieu of any vested long-term incentive plan awards. Any award of restricted units granted to you under the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") will be cancelled, and you will receive in lieu thereof, a special severance bonus equal to two thousand six hundred forty-four (2,644) times the average closing price for Partnership common units as published in the consolidated trading tables of the Wall Street Journal for the period from October 23, 2003 through December 4, 2003. In addition, you will receive \$7,634.55, which represents an amount equal to the aggregate value of cash distributions paid by the Partnership on two thousand six hundred forty-four (2,644) of its common units during the period from July 23, 2002 through December 5, 2003. These payments will be payable December 26, 2003.

Finally, Eva Ziafras will review with you in detail the Involuntary Termination Package on Friday, November 14th at 8:30 a.m.

Jeff, I wish you the best of luck in your future endeavors.

Sincerely,



Margaret E. Sofio
 Director, Human Resources
 Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

STATEMENT OF COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
(UNAUDITED)
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

	Year Ended December 31, 2003
	(in thousands, except ratio)
Fixed Charges:	
Interest cost and debt expense	\$ 20,996
Interest allocable to rental expense (a)	1,504
Total	\$ 22,500
Earnings:	
Income before income tax expense	\$ 59,434
Equity in income of less than 50 percent owned affiliated companies (b)	(14,469)
Dividends received from less than 50 percent owned affiliated companies (b)	12,929
Fixed charges	22,500
Interest capitalized	(493)
Amortization of previously capitalized interest	136
Total	\$ 80,037
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	3.56

(a) Represents one-third of the total operating lease rental expense which is that portion deemed to be interest.

(b) Reflects amounts attributable to interests in the following corporate joint ventures accounted for under the equity method: 9.4 percent in Explorer Pipeline Company, 31.5 percent in Wolverine Pipe Line Company, 12.3 percent in West Shore Pipe Line Company, 14.0 percent in Yellowstone Pipe Line Company, and 43.8 percent in West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Company. An additional 3.1 percent interest in West Shore was acquired on September 30, 2003 for \$3.7 million. Amounts included in the above are attributable to ownership from the date of acquisition.

Sunoco Partners LLC
Sunoco Partners Lease Acquisition & Marketing LLC
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

CODE OF ETHICS FOR SENIOR OFFICERS

1. Introduction and Scope.

This Code of Ethics for Senior Officers (the “Code”) applies to all executive officers of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., Sunoco Partners LLC, Sunoco Partners Lease Acquisition & Marketing LLC, and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the “Partnership”), specifically including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Comptroller, as well as the heads of each operating unit and the senior financial officers of each operating unit (each a “Senior Officer” and, collectively, the “Senior Officers”). Agents, representatives and consultants of the Partnership are expected to act in the Partnership’s best interests and in accordance with high ethical standards. Conduct that is improper for the Partnership or its Senior Officers to engage in directly may not be engaged in by the use of agents, representatives or consultants.

Each officer, director and employee is expected to act in good faith and with integrity in the performance of his/her responsibilities on behalf of the Partnership and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The Senior Officers are committed to:

- honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;
- full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that the Partnership files with, or submits to, the Securities and Exchange Commission and in other public communications;
- compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations; and
- maintaining the Partnership’s books and records in accordance with applicable accounting policies, laws, rules and regulations.

The Partnership intends not just to comply with legal requirements but to conduct its business in accordance with a high level of honesty and integrity. This Code is intended to supplement the Partnership’s existing business conduct compliance policies (including, specifically, those listed in Appendix A hereto). The Senior Officers must comply with these policies and with all applicable rules and standards of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the New York Stock Exchange, and other regulatory bodies. Those who violate this Code will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment. Violations and waivers of, and amendments to, this Code will be disclosed as required by law.

This Code sets forth the basic principles that guide the business conduct of the Partnership, and is intended to comply with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and its implementing regulations, as the same may be amended from time to time. This Code is a statement of the fundamental principles that govern Senior Officers in the conduct of the

Partnership's business. It is not intended to, and does not, constitute an employment contract or assurance of continued employment, and does not create any rights in any employee, client, supplier, competitor, shareholder or any other person or entity.

2. Application.

In performing his or her duties, each of the Senior Officers must:

- maintain high standards of honest and ethical conduct and avoid any actual or apparent conflict of interest as defined in this Code or in the applicable Conflicts of Interest Policy of the Partnership;
- report to the Audit/Conflicts Committee of the Board of Directors any conflict of interest that may arise and any material transaction or relationship that reasonably could be expected to give rise to a conflict;
- provide, or cause to be provided, full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that the Partnership files with or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission and in other public communications;
- comply and take all reasonable actions to cause others to comply with applicable governmental laws, rules, and regulations; and
- promptly report violations of this Code to the Audit/Conflicts Committee.

2. Books and Records

Full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosures in the Partnership's periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in the Partnership's public documents are both legally required and essential to the success of the Partnership's business. Accordingly, Senior Officers will use their best efforts to provide shareholders with information that is accurate, complete, timely and understandable. They will cause all books and records of the Partnership to be kept accurately in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), and in a manner designed to reflect fully and fairly all Partnership transactions, and to permit the Partnership's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other public disclosures to be complete and accurate in all material respects.

The Partnership will maintain internal controls to ensure that:

- transactions are properly authorized;
- assets are safeguarded;
- operations are conducted in accordance with directives of the Sunoco Partners LLC Board of Directors and management; and
- financial records are reliable.

All of the Partnership's books, records, accounts and financial must conform both to applicable legal requirements and to the Partnership's system of internal controls. The Partnership will maintain disclosure controls to ensure that required information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as required by law and regulation and within the time periods specified.

Each Senior Officer will promptly bring to the attention of the Disclosure Review Committee any material information of which he or she may become aware that could affect such disclosures. The Chief Executive Officer and each senior financial officer shall promptly bring to the attention of the Audit/Conflicts Committee of the Sunoco Partners LLC Board of Directors any information he or she may have regarding:

- significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data;
- any fraud, whether material or not, that involves management or any other employee who has a significant role in financial reporting, disclosure or internal controls; or
- any material violation of:
 - any law, rule or regulation (including the securities laws) applicable to the Partnership or the operation of its businesses; or
 - this Code.

3. Conflicts of Interest.

Senior Officers should avoid all possible conflicts of interest. A conflict of interest exists when the private interest of a Senior Officer interferes with that person's ability to advance the legitimate interests of the Partnership. A conflict may arise when a senior officer takes actions or has interests that may make it difficult to perform his or her Partnership duties objectively and effectively, or when or members of such officer's family receive improper personal benefits as a result of the Senior Officer's position in the Partnership. Confidential information acquired by a Senior Officer during the performance of his or her work must not to be used for personal advantage. Senior Officers must avoid any investment, interest, or association that interferes, might interfere, or might appear to interfere with such officer's independent exercise of judgment in the Partnership's best interests.

The Partnership will take such actions as are necessary and proper to remove the conflict, which may include procedural safeguards, removal of a Senior Officer's discretion in the area of conflict, reassignment of job responsibilities, reassignment of such officer, or prohibition against continued participation in the conflicting activity. The resolution of a potential conflict situation is not a violation or waiver of this Code or the Partnership's Conflict of Interest Policy.

4. Compliance with Laws, Rules and Regulations.

The Partnership is committed to conducting business in accordance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations and in accordance with the highest standards of business ethics. Senior Officers must use their best efforts to become well informed as to the laws and regulations applicable to the Partnership's business and apply such knowledge to their business conduct. Senior Officers must conduct themselves in a manner to avoid even the appearance of improper behavior. If a local custom or law requires less stringent standards than this Code or applicable Partnership policy, such officers still must comply with the Code and Partnership policy. If a local law or regulation actually conflicts with this Code, Senior Officers must comply with the law or regulation. However, if local custom or policy conflicts with this Code, then such officers must comply with the Code.

5. Internal Reporting and Administration

Any questions regarding application of the law or the appropriateness of a particular course of conduct should be referred to the General Counsel of Sunoco Partners LLC, the Director of Human Resources of Sunoco Partners LLC, the General Auditor of Sunoco, Inc., or other appropriate personnel. Suspected violations of law or policy should be reported to the General Counsel of Sunoco Partners LLC, the Director of Human Resources of Sunoco Partners LLC, the General Auditor of Sunoco, Inc., or the Sunoco Compliance Hotline (800-228-5687). It is the Partnership's policy to provide a working environment free from retaliation based on an employee's good faith reporting or disclosing of any violation of law, this Code or other policy. Reports may be made anonymously.

6. Waivers of the Code.

Changes in, and waivers of, this Code may be made only by the Board of Directors of Sunoco Partners LLC (or a duly authorized Committee thereof) and will be disclosed promptly as required by applicable law or stock exchange regulation.

7. Enforcement

Those who violate this Code will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment.

Code of Ethics for Senior Officers

Appendix

In addition to the matters addressed in this Code, officers, directors and employees are required to comply with all Partnership Policies, as amended from time to time, including but not limited to the following Policies some of which address, with more specificity, matters addressed in this Code:

- Anti-Discrimination Policy
- Antitrust Compliance Policy
- Computer Systems and Use and Security Policy
- Conflict of Interest Policy
- Corporate Disclosure Policy
- Corporate Risk Management Policy
- Equal Employment Opportunity Policy
- Harassment Policy
- Health, Environment and Safety Policy
- Indemnification for Employee Service to Outside Organizations
- Insider Trading Policy
- Management Control Process
- Non Retaliation Policy
- Principles of Health, Environment and Safety
- Prohibited Payments and Political Contributions Policy
- Records/Information Management Policy
- Substance Abuse Policy
- Travel and Reimbursable Expense Policy

50.1%

**Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.
Subsidiaries of the Registrant**

COMPANY NAME:	INC./ORG./REG.
Sunoco Partners LLC	Pennsylvania
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.	Delaware
Sunoco Logistics Partners GP LLC	Delaware
Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P.	Delaware
Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations GP LLC	Delaware
Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals L.P.	Texas
Sunoco Pipeline L.P.	Texas
PUT, LLC	Delaware
Sunoco West Texas Gulf Pipe Line LLC	Delaware
Sunoco Partners Lease Acquisition & Marketing LLC	Delaware

Consent of Independent Auditors

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-103710) and related Prospectus of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. pertaining to an aggregate \$500,000,000 of equity securities of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (as issuer of common units representing limited partnership interests) and debt of Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P. (as issuer of debt securities) and in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-96897) pertaining to the Sunoco Partners LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. of our reports dated February 13, 2004, with respect to the financial statements of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. and the parent-company-only balance sheet of Sunoco Partners LLC included in Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.'s Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2003.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Ernst & Young LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 4, 2004

SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC**Power of Attorney**

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that:

1. each of the undersigned individuals, in their capacity as a director or officer, or both, as hereinafter set forth below their signature, of SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability company as the general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the "Company"), does hereby constitute and appoint COLIN A. OERTON his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, for him or her and in his or her name, place and stead in his or her respective capacity as a director or officer, or both, of the Company, as hereinafter set forth opposite his or her signature, to sign and to file the Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, and any and all amendments, with all exhibits, thereto and any and all other documents or instruments necessary or incidental in connection therewith; and
2. the undersigned Company does hereby constitute and appoint COLIN A. OERTON its true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent for it and in its name and on its behalf to sign and to file said Form 10-K and any and all amendments thereto and any and all instruments necessary or incidental in connection therewith.

Said attorney-in-fact shall have full power of substitution and re-substitution, and said attorney-in-fact or any substitute appointed by him hereunder shall have full power and authority to do and perform in the name and on behalf of each of the undersigned, in any and all capacities, every act whatsoever requisite or necessary to be done in the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as each of the undersigned might or could do in person, the undersigned hereby ratifying and approving the acts of said attorneys or any of them or of any such substitute pursuant hereto.

This Power of Attorney may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which, taken together, shall constitute but one and the same document.

[COUNTERPART SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this instrument, all as of the 24th day of February 2004.

/s/ DEBORAH M. FRETZ

President, Chief Executive Officer
and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Deborah M. Fretz

/s/ CYNTHIA A. ARCHER

Director

Cynthia A. Archer

/s/ L. WILSON BERRY, JR.

Director

L. Wilson Berry, Jr.

/s/ STEPHEN L. CROPPER

Director

Stephen L. Cropper

/s/ MICHAEL H. R. DINGUS

Director

Michael H. R. Dingus

/s/ JOHN G. DROSDICK

Director

John G. Drosdick

/s/ GARY W. EDWARDS

Director

Gary W. Edwards

/s/ BRUCE G. FISCHER

Director

Bruce G. Fischer

/s/ THOMAS W. HOFMANN

Director

Thomas W. Hofmann

/s/ SEAN P. McGRATH

Comptroller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Sean P. McGrath

/s/ COLIN A. OERTON

Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Colin A. Oerton

ATTEST:

/s/ BRUCE D. DAVIS, JR.

Bruce D. Davis, Jr.
Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

Secretary's Certificate
of
SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC

I, Bruce D. Davis, Jr., Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Sunoco Partners LLC (the "Company"), a Pennsylvania limited liability company and general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the "Partnership") DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT:

(1) the following is a full, true and complete copy of a resolution adopted at a meeting of the Audit/Conflicts Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, duly called and legally held on February 24, 2004, at which a quorum was present and acting throughout and that no action has been taken to rescind or amend said resolution and that the same is now in full force and effect:

RESOLVED that, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.'s (the "Partnership's") Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 10-K, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, be, and it hereby is, approved, subject to such changes or amendments as may be approved (as so amended, the "Form 10-K") by any one of the following officers of the Partnership's general partner, Sunoco Partners LLC (the "Company"): the Chief Executive Officer and President, the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and the Comptroller (each being an "Authorized Officer");

FURTHER RESOLVED that, each of the Authorized Officers is authorized to sign and file, or cause to be filed, on behalf of the Company as the Partnership's general partner, the Form 10-K, together with any such other certificates, documents, instruments or notices as may be necessary or as any such Authorized Officer may deem necessary or desirable in order to effectuate or carry out the purposes and intent of the foregoing resolutions;

FURTHER RESOLVED that each of the Authorized Officers be, and each of them hereby is, authorized and empowered to execute a Power of Attorney for use in connection with the execution and filing of the Form 10-K, for and on behalf of the Company as the Partnership's general partner; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that, all such actions heretofore taken by any one or more of the Authorized Officers in order to effectuate or carry out the purposes and intent of the foregoing resolutions are hereby ratified, adopted and approved.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has executed this Secretary's Certificate, and caused the seal of the Company to be affixed hereto, as of this fourth day of March 2004.

[SEAL]

/s/ BRUCE D. DAVIS, JR.

Bruce D. Davis, Jr.
Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Deborah M. Fretz, President and Chief Executive Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of the registrant Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., hereby certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this annual report based on such evaluation; and
 - c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ DEBORAH M. FRETZ

Name: Deborah M. Fretz
Title: President and Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 4, 2004

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Colin A. Oerton, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of the registrant Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., hereby certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which is annual report is being prepared,
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this annual report based on such evaluation; and
 - c) presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ COLIN A. OERTON

Name: Colin A. Oerton
Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Date: March 4, 2004

CERTIFICATION
Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

We, the undersigned Deborah M. Fretz and Colin A. Oerton, being, respectively, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of the registrant Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., do each hereby certify that the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in this annual report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

Date: March 4, 2004

/s/ DEBORAH M. FRETZ

Name: Deborah M. Fretz
Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ COLIN A. OERTON

Name: Colin A. Oerton
Title: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors
Sunoco Partners LLC:

We have audited the accompanying parent-company-only balance sheet of Sunoco Partners LLC as of December 31, 2003. The parent-company-only balance sheet is the responsibility of Sunoco Partners LLC's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the parent-company-only balance sheet based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the parent-company-only balance sheet is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the parent-company-only balance sheet. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall balance sheet presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the parent-company-only balance sheet referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sunoco Partners LLC at December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
February 13, 2004

**SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC
BALANCE SHEET**

December 31,
2003

Assets	
Current Assets	
Cash	\$ 980
Advances to affiliate	58,389,635
Total Current Assets	58,390,615
Note receivable from affiliate	246,687,500
Investment in Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.	293,253,148
Total Assets	\$ 598,331,263
Liabilities and Owners' Equity	
Current Liabilities	
Accrued liabilities	\$ 593,127
Total Current Liabilities	593,127
Owners' equity	597,738,136
Total Liabilities and Owners' Equity	\$ 598,331,263

(See Accompanying Notes)

SUNOCO PARTNERS LLC
NOTES TO BALANCE SHEET

1. Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Sunoco Partners LLC (the “Company”) is a Pennsylvania limited liability company formed on October 12, 2001 to become the general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the “Partnership”). The Company is wholly-owned by subsidiaries of Sunoco, Inc.

The Partnership is a Delaware limited partnership formed by Sunoco, Inc. on October 15, 2001 to acquire, own and operate a substantial portion of Sunoco Inc.’s logistics business, consisting of refined product pipelines, terminalling and storage assets, crude oil pipelines, and crude oil acquisition and marketing assets located in the Northeast, Midwest and Southwest United States (the “Predecessor”).

On February 8, 2002, Sunoco, Inc., through the Company, contributed the Predecessor to the Partnership in exchange for: (i) a 2 percent general partner interest in the Partnership; (ii) incentive distribution rights (as defined in the partnership agreement); (iii) 5,633,639 common units; (iv) 11,383,639 subordinated units; and (v) a special interest representing the right to receive from the Partnership on the closing of the initial public offering (“IPO”) the net proceeds from the issuance of \$250 million aggregate principal amount of ten-year senior notes by Sunoco Logistics Partners Operations L.P., a subsidiary of the Partnership. The Partnership guarantees these notes. The net proceeds distributed to the Company were \$244.8 million. The Partnership concurrently issued 5.75 million common units (including 750,000 units issued pursuant to the underwriters’ over-allotment option), representing a 24.8 percent limited partnership interest in the Partnership, in an IPO at a price of \$20.25 per unit. Proceeds from the IPO, which totaled \$96.5 million net of underwriting discounts and offering expenses, were used by the Partnership to establish working capital that was not contributed to the Partnership by Sunoco, Inc.

The Company, as general partner, manages the operations and activities of the Partnership and owes a fiduciary duty to the Partnership’s unitholders. Most of the Partnership’s operations personnel are employees of the Company. The Company is liable, as general partner, for all of the Partnership’s debts (to the extent not paid from the Partnership’s assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to the general partners.

The Company does not receive any management fee or other compensation for its management of the Partnership. The Company and its affiliates are reimbursed for expenses incurred on the Partnership’s behalf. These expenses include the costs of employee, officer, and director compensation and benefits properly allocable to the Partnership, and all other expenses necessary or appropriate to conduct the business of, and allocable to, the Partnership. The partnership agreement provides

that the Company, as general partner, will determine the expenses that are allocable to the Partnership in any reasonable manner determined by the Company in its sole discretion.

The accompanying balance sheet of Sunoco Partners LLC is of the parent company only and does not include the accounts of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. or any of its subsidiaries. Sunoco Partners LLC's investment in the Partnership in the balance sheet is stated at cost plus equity in undistributed earnings of the Partnership since February 8, 2002, the date of the IPO. The parent-company-only balance sheet should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. as of and for the year ended December 31, 2003, filed in this Form 10-K.

2. Related Party Transactions

Advances to Affiliates

Advances to affiliates reflect the Company's participation in Sunoco, Inc.'s central cash management program, wherein all of the Company's cash receipts are remitted to Sunoco, Inc. and all cash disbursements are funded by Sunoco, Inc. There are no terms of settlement or interest charges attributable to this balance.

Note receivable from Affiliate

Effective February 8, 2002, the Company loaned \$246.7 million to another subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc. The loan, which is evidenced by a note due February 8, 2004, earns interest at a rate based on 115 percent of the short-term applicable federal rate established by the Internal Revenue Service. The interest rate on this note at December 31, 2003 was 1.39 percent. There are no restrictions on the Company's ability to distribute this note receivable to its owners. In February 2004, this note was renewed through February 8, 2005.

License Agreement

The Partnership entered into a license agreement at the closing of the IPO with Sunoco and certain of its affiliates, including the Company, pursuant to which the Partnership granted to the Company a license to the Partnership's intellectual property so that the Company can manage the Partnership's operations and create intellectual property using the Partnership's intellectual property. The Company will assign to the Partnership the new intellectual property it creates in operating the Partnership's business. The Company has also licensed to the Partnership certain of its own intellectual property for use in the conduct of the Partnership's business and the Partnership licensed to the Company certain of the Partnership's intellectual property for use in the conduct of its business. The license agreement also grants to the Partnership a license to use the trademarks, trade names, and service marks of Sunoco in the conduct of the Partnership's business.