UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark	

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-32740

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

30-0108820

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

8111 Westchester Drive, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas 75225

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

(214) 981-0700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

5	ther the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(nths (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report days. Yes \boxtimes No \square	` ^	
be submitted and posted purs	her the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if the parameter of Regulation S-T ($\S232.405$ of this chapter) during the preceding 1 pmit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square	-	
5	ther the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated fited filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the E		ee the
Large accelerated filer	\boxtimes	Accelerated filer	
Non-accelerated filer	\square (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	
Indicate by check mark whet	her the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).	Yes □ No ⊠	
At April 29, 2016, the registr	ant had 1,044,791,157 Common Units outstanding.		

FORM 10-Q

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEM</u>	<u>ENTS (Unaudited)</u>

Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>1</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>4</u>
Consolidated Statement of Equity	<u>5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>6</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>Z</u>
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>34</u>
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>48</u>
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>50</u>
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1, LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>51</u>
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	<u>51</u>
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	<u>55</u>
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	<u>56</u>

i

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this report, excluding historical information, as well as some statements by Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. ("Energy Transfer Equity," the "Partnership" or "ETE") in periodic press releases and some oral statements of Energy Transfer Equity officials during presentations about the Partnership, include forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Statements using words such as "anticipate," "project," "expect," "plan," "goal," "forecast," "estimate," "intend," "continue," "believe," "may," "will" or similar expressions help identify forward-looking statements. Although the Partnership and its general partner believe such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions and current expectations and projections about future events, no assurance can be given that such assumptions, expectations or projections will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Partnership's actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or expressed, forecasted, projected or expected in forward-looking statements since many of the factors that determine these results are subject to uncertainties and risks that are difficult to predict and beyond management's control. For additional discussion of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, see "Part I — Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Partnership's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2016 and "Part II — Item 1A. Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016.

Definitions

The following is a list of certain acronyms and terms generally used in the energy industry and throughout this document:

/d per day

AmeriGas AmeriGas Partners, L.P.

AOCI accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

Bbls barrels

Bcf billion cubic feet

Btu British thermal unit, an energy measurement used by gas companies to convert the volume of gas used to its

heat equivalent, and thus calculate the actual energy content

Citrus Citrus, LLC

Convertible Units Series A Convertible Preferred Units in ETE

ET Rover Pipeline LLC

ETC Energy Transfer Corp LP

ETP Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.

ETP GP Energy Transfer Partners GP, L.P., the general partner of ETP

ETP Preferred Units ETP's Series A Convertible Preferred Units

Exchange Act Securities Exchange Act of 1934

FEP Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC

FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FGT Florida Gas Transmission Company, LLC

GAAP accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

HPC RIGS Haynesville Partnership Co.

IDRs incentive distribution rights

Lake Charles LNG Company, LLC

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LNG liquefied natural gas

Lone Star NGL LLC

MEP Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC

MMBtu million British thermal units

MTBE methyl tertiary butyl ether

NGL natural gas liquid, such as propane, butane and natural gasoline

NYMEX New York Mercantile Exchange

OSHA Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act

OTC over-the-counter

Panhandle Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP

PCBs polychlorinated biphenyl

PHMSA Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Plan the plan of the Partnership pursuant to which eligible offerees elected to forgo certain distributions on some or

all of their ETE common units and reinvest those distributions in convertible units

Regency Energy Partners LP

Retail Holdings ETP Retail Holdings LLC, a joint venture between subsidiaries of ETC OLP and Sunoco, Inc.

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

Southern Union Southern Union Company

Sunoco GP LLC, the general partner of Sunoco LP

Sunoco Logistics Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

Sunoco LP Sunoco LP (previously named Susser Petroleum Partners, LP)

Susser Holdings Corporation

Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC

Trunkline Trunkline Gas Company, LLC

WMB The Williams Companies, Inc.

WMB Contribution Contribution by ETC to ETE of substantially all of the assets and liabilities it assumes from WMB as a result

of the proposed merger between ETC and WMB

WTI West Texas Intermediate Crude

Adjusted EBITDA is a term used throughout this document, which we define as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion, amortization and other non-cash items, such as non-cash compensation expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities, non-cash impairment charges, losses on extinguishments of debt, gain on deconsolidation and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities include unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments). Adjusted EBITDA reflects amounts for less than wholly-owned subsidiaries based on 100% of the subsidiaries' results of operations and for unconsolidated affiliates based on the Partnership's proportionate ownership.

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

ASSETS	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 807	\$ 606
Accounts receivable, net	2,412	2,400
Accounts receivable from related companies	96	119
Inventories	1,499	1,636
Derivative assets	27	46
Other current assets	743	603
Total current assets	5,584	5,410
Property, plant and equipment	56,873	54,979
Accumulated depreciation and depletion	(6,748)	(6,296)
	50,125	48,683
Advances to and investments in unconsolidated affiliates	3,442	3,462
Non-current derivative assets	16	_
Other non-current assets, net	731	730
Intangible assets, net	5,396	5,431
Goodwill	7,471	7,473
Total assets	\$ 72,765	\$ 71,189

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in million) (unaudited)

	March 31, 2016		December 31, 2015	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	2,399	\$	2,274
Accounts payable to related companies		15		28
Derivative liabilities		74		69
Accrued and other current liabilities		2,273		2,408
Current maturities of long-term debt		930		131
Total current liabilities		5,691		4,910
Long-term debt, less current maturities		37,401		36,837
Non-current derivative liabilities		213		137
Deferred income taxes		5,256		4,590
Other non-current liabilities		1,117		1,069
Commitments and contingencies				
Preferred units of subsidiary		33		33
Redeemable noncontrolling interests		15		15
Equity:				
General Partner		(2)		(2)
Limited Partners:		` `		` _
Common Unitholders		(1,684)		(952)
Class D Units		_		22
Series A Convertible Preferred Units		_		_
Total partners' capital		(1,686)	•	(932)
Noncontrolling interest		24,725		24,530
Total equity		23,039		23,598
Total liabilities and equity	\$	72,765	\$	71,189

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per unit data) (unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31.

		March 31,		
	2016		2015	
REVENUES				
Natural gas sales	\$ 8	38 \$	1,035	
NGL sales	9.	40	981	
Crude sales	1,2	09	2,208	
Gathering, transportation and other fees	1,0	03	1,046	
Refined product sales	2,5	39	3,656	
Other	1,1	53	1,454	
Total revenues	7,6	82	10,380	
COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Cost of products sold	5,6	22	8,487	
Operating expenses	6	41	628	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	5	62	493	
Selling, general and administrative	1	56	155	
Total costs and expenses	6,9	81	9,763	
OPERATING INCOME	7	01	617	
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Interest expense, net	(4	27)	(371)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		61	57	
Losses on interest rate derivatives	(70)	(77)	
Other, net		16	7	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)	2	81	233	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(55)	12	
NET INCOME	3	36	221	
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	<u></u>	24	(63)	
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTNERS	3	12	284	
General Partner's interest in net income		1	1	
Class D Unitholder's interest in net income			1	
Limited Partners' interest in net income	\$ 3	11 \$	282	
NET INCOME PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT:				
Basic	\$ 0.	30 \$	0.26	
Diluted	\$ 0.	30 \$	0.26	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in millions) (unaudited)

Three Months Ended

		March 31,		
	2016		2015	
Net income	\$	336	\$	221
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Change in value of derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges		_		1
Change in value of available-for-sale securities		2		1
Actuarial gain (loss) relating to pension and other postretirement benefit plans		(9)		45
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(1)		(2)
Change in other comprehensive income from unconsolidated affiliates		(6)		(2)
		(14)		43
Comprehensive income		322		264
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest		10		(20)
Comprehensive income attributable to partners	\$	312	\$	284

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2016

(Dollars in millions) (unaudited)

	General Partner	Common Unitholders	Class D Units	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non-controlling Interest	Total
Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ (2)	\$ (952)	\$ 22	\$ —	\$ 24,530	\$ 23,598
Distributions to partners	(1)	(298)	_	_	_	(299)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	_	_	_	_	(658)	(658)
Subsidiary units issued	_	(12)	_	_	676	664
Non-cash compensation expense, net of units tendered by employees for tax withholdings	_	_	(22)	_	23	1
Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest	_	_	_	_	132	132
Sunoco, Inc. retail business to Sunoco LP transaction	_	(739)	_	_	_	(739)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	_	_	_	_	(14)	(14)
Other, net	_	6	_	_	12	18
Net income	1	311	_	_	24	336
Balance, March 31, 2016	\$ (2)	\$ (1,684)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 24,725	\$ 23,039

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions) (unaudited)

Three Months Ended

OPERATITY ACTIVITIES 2016 2017 Net income \$ 3.36 \$ 221 Reconciliation of net cash provided by operating activities: \$ 221 Dependention, depletion and amorization \$62 493 Deferred income taxes (49 20 Amorization included in interest expense (49 20 Unit-based compensation expense 13 3 Losses on dispusal of assets 3 - Requiry in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (31) (57) Eguity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (31) (57) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates 6 (9) Other non-cash 6 (9) Net cash provided by operating activities 90 50 Net cash provided by operating activities 90 50 INVESTING ACTIVITIES 2 (2) (30) Cash paid for acquisitions, set of cash received (2) (30) Cash paid for acquisitions of an uncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midoc Rover, LLC — 4 Cash paid for acquisitions of an unc		March 31,			
Net income \$ 336 \$ 221 Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities: 8 493 Depercation, depletion and amortization 562 483 Deferred income taxes (46) 20 Amortization included in interest expense (18) 20 Unit-based compensation adjustments 1 23 Losses on disposal of assets 3 Inventory valuation adjustments (51) (57) Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (61) (57) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates 84 64 Other non-cash 65 (9) Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 (20) Net cash provided by operating activities 985 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES 2 63 Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received 2 0 30 Cash paid for acquisitions for acquisition gallowance for equity funds used during construction 1 4 Cash paid for acquisition of a non-controlling interest 1 4 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>2016</th> <th>2015</th> <th></th>			2016	2015	
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation, depletion and amortization 562 493 206	OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Depectation, depletion and amortization 562 493 Deferred income taxes (46) 20 Amortization included in interest expense (3) (10) Unit-based compensation expense 1 23 Losses on disposal of assets 3 — Inventory valuation adjustments 13 34 Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (61) (57) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (61) (67) Other non-cash 6 (49) Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 204 Net cash provided by operating activities 365 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (12) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (12) Cash paid for acquisitions on sale of noncontrolling interest <t< td=""><td>Net income</td><td>\$</td><td>336</td><td>\$</td><td>221</td></t<>	Net income	\$	336	\$	221
Deferred income taxes (46) 20 Amoritzation included in interest expense (3) (10) Unit-based compensation expense 1 23 Losses on disposal of assets 3 — Inventory valuation adjustments 13 34 Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (61) (57) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates 6 (9) Other non-cash 6 (9) (204) Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 2040 Net cash provided by operating activities 905 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES 6 (9) Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest (2) (370 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest (12) (4 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest (2) (370 Contributions from unconsolidated affiliate	Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Amortization included in interest expense (3) (10) Unit-based compensation expense 1 23 Losses on disposal of assets 3 — Inventory valuation adjustments 13 34 Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (61) (57) Obstributions from unconsolidated affiliates 6 (9) Other non-cash 6 (9) Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 (204) Net cash provided by operating activities 985 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance requity funds used during construction 1,948 (2,188) Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (3) (34) Obter to construction costs 10 4 Change in restricted cash	Depreciation, depletion and amortization		562		493
Unit-based compensation expense 1 23	Deferred income taxes		(46)		20
Losses on disposal of assets 3 3 3 Inventory valuation adjustments 13 34 Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates 661 (57) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates 84 64 Other non-cash 6 99 Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 (204) Net cash provided by operating activities 755 INVESTING ACTIVITIES Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisitions of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC - 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC - 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest - 7 (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) - 9 Change in restricted cash (1) - 9 Other (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (3) Other, net (10) (1) (1) Net cash provided by financing activities (3) (3) Other, net (1) (1) (1) Net cash provided by financing activities (3) (3) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest (3) (3) Other, net (3) (3) (3) Other	Amortization included in interest expense		(3)		(10)
Inventory valuation adjustments	Unit-based compensation expense		1		23
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates (61) (57) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates 84 64 Other non-cash 6 (9) Net chappe in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 (204) Net cash provided by operating activities 985 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES 2 (270) Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) 4 Net cash used in investing activities 5,750 8,731	Losses on disposal of assets		3		_
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates 84 64 Other non-cash 66 (9) Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 2040 Net cash provided by operating activities 985 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 64 Cash paid for acquisitions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative amings 0.1 4 6.1 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 10 9 9 6.1 4 6.2 6.5 6.5 6.5	Inventory valuation adjustments		13		34
Other non-cash 6 (9) Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 (204) Net cash provided by operating activities 35 575 INPESTING ACTIVITIES Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — (2) (42) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — (4 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — (4 62 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — (4 62 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 65 66 68 66 65 66 66 66 66 66 <td< td=""><td>Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates</td><td></td><td>(61)</td><td></td><td>(57)</td></td<>	Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		(61)		(57)
Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition 90 (204) Net cash provided by operating activities 385 355 INVESTING ACTIVITIES Secondary of the provided sprogram of the provided of on acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets (1) 4 Other (1) 4 Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITES 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Su	Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates		84		64
Net cash provided by operating activities 985 575 INVESTING ACTIVITIES Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions from unconsolidated affiliates (3) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) 4 Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-tern debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash (64 857 <	Other non-cash		6		(9)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash proceeds from sale of noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions fo unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1) (4) Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash (64 857 Distributions to partners (29) (244) Debt issuance costs </td <td>Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition</td> <td></td> <td>90</td> <td></td> <td>(204)</td>	Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition		90		(204)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received (2) (370) Cash proceeds from sale of noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4 Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest	Net cash provided by operating activities		985		575
Cash proceeds from sale of noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE-Midco Rover, LLC — 64 Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions from unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4 Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Troceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Capital contributions to noncontrolling interest (31) (31) Capital contributions recei	INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest — (129) Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) Other (1) (4 Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) (1) Net cash provided	Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received		(2)		(370)
Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction (1,948) (2,158) Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES *** *** Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (29) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities			_		64
Contributions in aid of construction costs 10 4 Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (31) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES *** *** Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash (64 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016	Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest		_		(129)
Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates (34) (34) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Transparent of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Capital expenditures, excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction		(1,948)	(2,158)
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings 21 33 Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES *** *** Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Contributions in aid of construction costs		10		4
Proceeds from the sale of assets 10 9 Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates		(31)		(34)
Change in restricted cash (1) — Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issuade for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 666 847	Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings		21		33
Other (1) (4) Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Proceeds from the sale of assets		10		9
Net cash used in investing activities (1,942) (2,585) FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Change in restricted cash		(1)		_
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Other		(1)		(4)
Proceeds from borrowings 5,750 8,731 Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	Net cash used in investing activities		(1,942)	(2,585)
Repayments of long-term debt (4,422) (5,938) Subsidiary units issued for cash 664 857 Distributions to partners (299) (244) Debt issuance costs (19) (33) Distributions to noncontrolling interest (658) (565) Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest 132 219 Other, net 10 (1) Net cash provided by financing activities 1,158 3,026 Increase in cash and cash equivalents 201 1,016 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 606 847	FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Subsidiary units issued for cash664857Distributions to partners(299)(244)Debt issuance costs(19)(33)Distributions to noncontrolling interest(658)(565)Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest132219Other, net10(1)Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Proceeds from borrowings		5,750		8,731
Distributions to partners(299)(244)Debt issuance costs(19)(33)Distributions to noncontrolling interest(658)(565)Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest132219Other, net10(1)Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Repayments of long-term debt		(4,422)	(5,938)
Debt issuance costs(19)(33)Distributions to noncontrolling interest(658)(565)Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest132219Other, net10(1)Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Subsidiary units issued for cash		664		857
Distributions to noncontrolling interest(658)(565)Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest132219Other, net10(1)Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Distributions to partners		(299)		(244)
Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest132219Other, net10(1)Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Debt issuance costs		(19)		(33)
Other, net10(1)Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Distributions to noncontrolling interest		(658)		(565)
Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest		132		219
Net cash provided by financing activities1,1583,026Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Other, net		10		(1)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents2011,016Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period606847	Net cash provided by financing activities		1,158		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period					
	·				
		\$		\$	

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Tabular dollar and unit amounts, except per unit data, are in millions) (unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "our," the "Partnership" and "ETE" mean Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. References to the "Parent Company" mean Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. on a stand-alone basis.

The consolidated financial statements of ETE presented herein include the results of operations of:

- · the Parent Company;
- our controlled subsidiaries, ETP and Sunoco LP (see description of their respective operations below under "Business Operations");
- consolidated subsidiaries of our controlled subsidiaries and our wholly-owned subsidiaries that own general partner interests and IDR interests in ETP and Sunoco LP; and
- our wholly-owned subsidiary, Lake Charles LNG.

Our subsidiaries also own varying undivided interests in certain pipelines. Ownership of these pipelines has been structured as an ownership of an undivided interest in assets, not as an ownership interest in a partnership, limited liability company, joint venture or other forms of entities. Each owner controls marketing and invoices separately, and each owner is responsible for any loss, damage or injury that may occur to their own customers. As a result, we apply proportionate consolidation for our interests in these entities.

Business Operations

The Parent Company's principal sources of cash flow are derived from its direct and indirect investments in the limited partner and general partner interests in ETP and Sunoco LP and cash flows from the operations of Lake Charles LNG. The Parent Company's primary cash requirements are for general and administrative expenses, debt service requirements and distributions to its partners. Parent Company-only assets are not available to satisfy the debts and other obligations of ETE's subsidiaries. In order to understand the financial condition of the Parent Company on a stand-alone basis, see Note 14 for stand-alone financial information apart from that of the consolidated partnership information included herein.

Our financial statements reflect the following reportable business segments:

- Investment in ETP, including the consolidated operations of ETP;
- Investment in Sunoco LP, including the consolidated operations of Sunoco LP;
- Investment in Lake Charles LNG, including the operations of Lake Charles LNG; and
- Corporate and Other, including the following:
 - · activities of the Parent Company; and
 - the goodwill and property, plant and equipment fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the 2004 reverse acquisition of Heritage Propane Partners, L.P.

Basis of Presentation

The unaudited financial information included in this Form 10-Q has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. In the opinion of the Partnership's management, such financial information reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations for such interim periods in accordance with GAAP. All intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2016 presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on net income or total equity.

Use of Estimates

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which includes the use of estimates and assumptions made by management that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities that exist at the date of the consolidated financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management's available knowledge of current and expected future events, actual results could be different from those estimates.

Subsidiary Common Unit Transactions

The Parent Company accounts for the difference between the carrying amount of its investments in ETP and Sunoco LP and the underlying book value arising from the issuance or redemption of units by ETP or Sunoco LP (excluding transactions with the Parent Company) as capital transactions.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which clarifies the principles for recognizing revenue based on the core principle that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date of ASU 2014-09, which is now effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within those annual periods. ASU 2014-09 can be adopted either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. In March 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-08 to clarify the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. In April 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-10 to clarify guidance related to identifying performance obligations and licensing implementation guidance contained in the new revenue recognition standard. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that adopting this new accounting standard will have on our revenue recognition policies.

In February 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis* ("ASU 2015-02"), which changed the requirements for consolidations analysis. Under ASU 2015-02, reporting entities are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. The Partnership adopted this standard on January 1, 2016, and the adoption did not impact the Partnership's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)* ("ASU 2016-02"), which establishes the principles that lessees and lessors shall apply to report useful information to users of financial statements about the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from a lease. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact that it will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-09, *Stock compensation (Topic 718)* ("ASU 2016-09"). The objective of the update is to reduce complexity in accounting standards. The areas for simplification in this update involve several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. In addition, the amendments in this update eliminate the guidance in Topic 718 that was indefinitely deferred shortly after the issuance of FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment. ASU 2016-09 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted. The Partnership is currently evaluating the impact that it will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

2. ACQUISITION AND CONTRIBUTION TRANSACTIONS

WMB Merger

In September 2015, ETE, ETC and WMB entered into a merger agreement. The merger agreement provides that WMB will be merged with and into ETC, with ETC surviving the merger. ETC is a recently formed limited partnership that will elect to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes and, upon closing of the merger, would own the managing member interest in our general partner and limited partner interests in ETE. At the time of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of WMB common stock will be exchanged for (i) \$8.00 in cash and 1.5274 ETC common shares representing limited partner interests in ETC, (ii) 1.8716 ETC common shares, or (iii) \$43.50 in cash.

The closing of the transaction is subject to customary conditions, including the receipt of approval of the merger from WMB's stockholders and all required regulatory approvals, including approval pursuant to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976. Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of these conditions, ETE and WMB anticipate that the transaction will be completed in the first half of 2016.

If the closing of the merger were to have occurred as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Latham & Watkins LLP ("Latham") would have been unable to deliver to ETC and WMB its tax opinion to the effect that the contribution of WMB's assets and liabilities to ETE and ETE's issuance of Class E units to ETC should qualify as an exchange to which Section 721(a) of the Internal Revenue Code applies (the "721 Opinion"). The receipt by ETC and WMB of the 721 Opinion is one of the conditions to the closing of the merger and ETE believes that there is a substantial risk that the condition will not be satisfied or waived by ETE and that the merger will not be consummated. If the closing condition relating to the 721 Opinion is not met or waived, and as a result the merger is not consummated, ETE expects to announce that in a press release and file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC regarding the same. Please see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Recent Developments," for additional discussion related to the 721 Opinion, the reasons why Latham has indicated it would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion and the risks associated with the failure of the related closing condition.

Sunoco Retail to Sunoco LP

In March 2016, ETP contributed to Sunoco LP its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business for \$2.23 billion. Sunoco LP paid \$2.20 billion in cash, including a working capital adjustment, and issued 5.7 million Sunoco LP common units to Retail Holdings, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ETP. The transaction was effective January 1, 2016.

3. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash on hand, demand deposits, and investments with original maturities of three months or less. We consider cash equivalents to include short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and that are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

We place our cash deposits and temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions. At times, our cash and cash equivalents may by uninsured or in deposit accounts that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limit.

Non-cash investing activities were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2016		2015
NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Accrued capital expenditures	\$	829	\$	658
Net losses from subsidiary common unit issuances		(12)		_

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	Marc	March 31, 2016		er 31, 2015
Natural gas and NGLs	\$	331	\$	415
Crude oil		453		424
Refined products		342		420
Other		373		377
Total inventories	\$	1,499	\$	1,636

We utilize commodity derivatives to manage price volatility associated with our natural gas inventory. Changes in fair value of designated hedged inventory are recorded in inventory on our consolidated balance sheets and cost of products sold in our consolidated statements of operations.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASURES

Based on the estimated borrowing rates currently available to us and our subsidiaries for loans with similar terms and average maturities, the aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt obligations as of March 31, 2016 was \$35.61 billion and \$38.33 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, the aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt obligations was \$33.22 billion and \$36.97 billion, respectively. The fair value of our consolidated debt obligations is a Level 2 valuation based on the observable inputs used for similar liabilities.

We have commodity derivatives, interest rate derivatives and embedded derivatives in the ETP Preferred Units that are accounted for as assets and liabilities at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. We determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurement by using the highest possible "level" of inputs. Level 1 inputs are observable quotes in an active market for identical assets and liabilities. We consider the valuation of marketable securities and commodity derivatives transacted through a clearing broker with a published price from the appropriate exchange as a Level 1 valuation. Level 2 inputs are inputs observable for similar assets and liabilities. We consider OTC commodity derivatives entered into directly with third parties as a Level 2 valuation since the values of these derivatives are quoted on an exchange for similar transactions. Additionally, we consider our options transacted through our clearing broker as having Level 2 inputs due to the level of activity of these contracts on the exchange in which they trade. We consider the valuation of our interest rate derivatives as Level 2 as the primary input, the LIBOR curve, is based on quotes from an active exchange of Eurodollar futures for the same period as the future interest swap settlements. Level 3 inputs are unobservable. Derivatives related to the embedded derivatives in the preferred units are valued using a binomial lattice model. The market inputs utilized in the model include credit spread, probabilities of the occurrence of certain events, common unit price, dividend yield, and expected value, and are considered Level 3. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, no transfers were made between any levels within the fair value hierarchy.

The following tables summarize the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 based on inputs used to derive their fair values:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2016

				March 3	31, 2016		
	Fair V	alue Total		Level 1	Level 2		Level 3
Assets:							
Interest rate derivatives	\$	25	\$	_	\$ 25	\$	_
Commodity derivatives:							
Natural Gas:							
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX		6		6	_		_
Swing Swaps IFERC		1			1		_
Fixed Swaps/Futures		67		67	_		_
Forward Physical Swaps		3			3		_
Power:							
Forwards		22			22		_
Futures		1		1	_		_
Options — Calls		2		2	_		_
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps		34		34	_		_
Refined Products — Futures		6		6	_		_
Crude – Futures		12		12	_		_
Total commodity derivatives		154		128	26		_
Total assets	\$	179	\$	128	\$ 51	\$	_
Liabilities:							
Interest rate derivatives	\$	(267)	\$	_	\$ (267)	\$	_
Embedded derivatives in the ETP Preferred Units		(5)		_	_		(5)
Commodity derivatives:							
Natural Gas:							
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX		(9)		(9)	_		_
Swing Swaps IFERC		(2)		(1)	(1)		_
Fixed Swaps/Futures		(50)		(50)	_		_
Power:							
Forwards		(26)		_	(26)		_
Futures		(1)		(1)	_		_
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps		(33)		(33)	_		_
Refined Products — Futures		(4)		(4)	_		_
Crude — Futures		(5)		(5)	_		_
Total commodity derivatives		(130)		(103)	(27)		
Total liabilities	\$	(402)	\$	(103)	\$ (294)	\$	(5)
			_			_	

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2015

	Fair V	alue Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:					
Natural Gas:					
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX		16	16	_	_
Swing Swaps IFERC		10	2	8	_
Fixed Swaps/Futures		274	274	_	_
Forward Physical Contracts		4	_	4	_
Power:					
Forwards		22	_	22	_
Futures		3	3	_	_
Options — Calls		1	1	_	_
Options — Puts		1	1	_	_
Natural Gas Liquids — Forwards/Swaps		99	99	_	_
Refined Products — Futures		15	15	_	_
Crude - Futures		9	9		
Total commodity derivatives		454	420	34	_
Total assets	\$	454	\$ 420	\$ 34	\$ —
Liabilities:	-				
Interest rate derivatives	\$	(171)	\$ —	\$ (171)	\$ —
Embedded derivatives in the ETP Preferred Units		(5)	_	_	(5)
Commodity derivatives:					
Natural Gas:					
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX		(16)	(16)	_	_
Swing Swaps IFERC		(12)	(2)	(10)	_
Fixed Swaps/Futures		(203)	(203)	_	_
Power:					
Forwards		(22)	_	(22)	_
Futures		(2)	(2)	_	_
Options — Calls		(1)	(1)	_	_
Natural Gas Liquids — Forwards/Swaps		(89)	(89)	_	_
Refined Products — Futures		(6)	(6)	_	_
Crude - Futures		(5)	(5)		
Total commodity derivatives		(356)	(324)	(32)	_
Total liabilities	\$	(532)	\$ (324)	\$ (203)	\$ (5)

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for our Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ (5)
Net unrealized gains included in other income (expense)	_
Balance, March 31, 2016	\$ (5)

6. NET INCOME PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT

A reconciliation of income and weighted average units used in computing basic and diluted income per unit is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			ded
		2016		2015
Net Income	\$	336	\$	221
Less: Income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest		24		(63)
Net Income, net of noncontrolling interest		312		284
Less: General Partner's interest in income		1		1
Less: Class D Unitholder's interest in income		_		1
Income available to Limited Partners	\$	311	\$	282
Basic Income per Limited Partner Unit:				
Weighted average limited partner units		1,044.8		1,077.6
Basic income per Limited Partner unit	\$	0.30	\$	0.26
Diluted Income per Limited Partner Unit:				
Income available to Limited Partners	\$	311	\$	282
Dilutive effect of equity-based compensation of subsidiaries and distributions to Class D Unitholder		_		(1)
Diluted income available to Limited Partners	\$	311	\$	281
Weighted average limited partner units		1,044.8		1,077.6
Dilutive effect of unconverted unit awards		_		1.4
Diluted weighted average limited partner units		1,044.8		1,079.0
Diluted income per Limited Partner unit	\$	0.30	\$	0.26

7. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Parent Company Indebtedness

The Parent Company's indebtedness, including its senior notes, senior secured term loan and senior secured revolving credit facility, is secured by all of its and certain of its subsidiaries' tangible and intangible assets.

Revolving Credit Facility

The Parent Company's revolving credit facility has a capacity of \$1.5 billion. As of March 31, 2016, there were \$965 million outstanding borrowings under the Parent Company Credit Facility and the amount available for future borrowings was \$535 million.

Subsidiary Indebtedness

Sunoco LP Term Loan and Senior Notes

In March 2016, Sunoco LP entered into a term loan agreement which provides secured financing in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$2.035 billion due 2019. The full amount was borrowed by Sunoco LP as of March 31, 2016. Amounts borrowed under the term loan bear interest at either LIBOR or base rate plus an applicable margin based on Sunoco LP's election for each interest period. The proceeds were used to fund a portion of the ETP dropdown and to pay fees and expenses incurred in connection with the ETP dropdown and the term loan.

In April 2016, Sunoco LP issued \$800 million aggregate principal amount of 6.25% Senior Notes due 2021. The net proceeds of \$789 million were used to repay a portion of the borrowings under its term loan facility.

ETP Credit Facility

The ETP Credit Facility allows for borrowings of up to \$3.75 billion and expires in November 2019. The indebtedness under the ETP Credit Facility is unsecured, is not guaranteed by any of ETP's subsidiaries and has equal rights to holders of ETP's current and future unsecured debt. As of March 31, 2016, the ETP Credit Facility had \$4 million of outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco Logistics Credit Facilities

Sunoco Logistics maintains a \$2.5 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility"), which matures in March 2020. The Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility contains an accordion feature, under which the total aggregate commitment may be increased to \$3.25 billion under certain conditions. As of March 31, 2016, the Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility had \$942 million of outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco LP Credit Facility

Sunoco LP maintains a \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility (the "Sunoco LP Credit Facility"), which expires in September 2019. The Sunoco LP Credit Facility can be increased from time to time upon Sunoco LP's written request, subject to certain conditions, up to an additional \$250 million. As of March 31, 2016, the Sunoco LP Credit Facility had \$675 million of outstanding borrowings.

Compliance with Our Covenants

We and our subsidiaries were in compliance with all requirements, tests, limitations, and covenants related to our respective credit agreements as of March 31, 2016.

8. EQUITY

ETE

The changes in ETE common units and Convertible Units during the three months ended March 31, 2016 were as follows:

	Number of Convertible Units	Number of Common Units
Outstanding at December 31, 2015		1,044.8
Issue Series A Convertible Preferred Units	329.3	_
Outstanding at March 31, 2016	329.3	1,044.8

Series A Convertible Preferred Units

On March 8, 2016, the Partnership completed a private offering of 329.3 million Series A Convertible Preferred Units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership (the "Convertible Units") to certain common unitholders ("Electing Unitholders") who elected to participate in a plan to forgo a portion of their future potential cash distributions on common units participating in the plan for a period of up to nine fiscal quarters, commencing with distributions for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2016, and reinvest those distributions in the Convertible Units. With respect to each quarter for which the declaration date and record date occurs prior to the closing of the merger, or earlier termination of the merger agreement (the "WMB End Date"), each participating common unit will receive the same cash distribution as all other ETE common units up to \$0.11 per unit, which represents approximately 40% of the per unit distribution paid with respect to ETE common units for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (the "Preferred Distribution Amount"), and the holder of such participating common unit will forgo all cash distributions in excess of that amount (other than (i) any non-cash distribution or (ii) any cash distribution that is materially and substantially greater, on a per unit basis, than ETE's most recent regular quarterly distribution, as determined by the ETE general partner (such distributions in clauses (i) and (ii), "Extraordinary Distributions")). With respect to each quarter for which the declaration date and record date occurs after the WMB End Date, each participating common unit will forgo all distributions for each such quarter (other than Extraordinary Distributions), and each Convertible Unit will receive the Preferred Distribution Amount payable in cash prior to any distribution on ETE common units (other than Extraordinary Distributions). At the end of the plan period, which is expected to be May 18, 2018, the Convertible Units and a conversion rate of \$6.56.

The conversion value of each Convertible Unit (the "Conversion Value") on the closing date of the offering is zero. The Conversion Value will increase each quarter in an amount equal to \$0.285, which is the per unit amount of the cash distribution paid with respect to ETE common units for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (the "Conversion Value Cap"), less the cash distribution actually paid with respect to each Convertible Unit for such quarter (or, if prior to the WMB End Date, each participating common unit). Any cash distributions in excess of \$0.285 per ETE common unit, and any Extraordinary Distributions, made with respect to any quarter during the plan period will be disregarded for purposes of calculating the Conversion Value. The Conversion Value will be reflected in the carrying amount of the Convertible Units until the conversion into common units at the end of the plan period.

ETE issued 329,299,267 Convertible Units to the Electing Unitholders at the closing of the offering, which represents the participation by common unitholders with respect to approximately 31.5% of ETE's total outstanding common units. ETE's Chairman, Kelcy L. Warren, participated in the Plan with respect to substantially all of his common units, which represent approximately 18% of ETE's total outstanding common units, and was issued 187,313,942 Convertible Units. In addition, John McReynolds, a director of our general partner and President of our general partner; and Matthew S. Ramsey, a director of our general partner and the general partner of ETP and Sunoco LP and President of the general partner of ETP, participated in the Plan with respect to substantially all of their common units, and Marshall S. McCrea, III, a director of our general partner and the general partner of ETP and Sunoco Logistics and the Group Chief Operating Officer and Chief Commercial Officer of our general partner, participated in the Plan with respect to a substantial portion of his common units. The common units for which Messrs. McReynolds, Ramsey and McCrea elected to participate in the Plan collectively represent approximately 2.2% of ETE's total outstanding common units. ETE issued 21,382,155 Convertible Units to Mr. McReynolds, 51,317 Convertible Units to Mr. Ramsey and 1,112,728 Convertible Units to Mr. McCrea. Mr. Ray Davis, who owns an 18.8% membership interest in our general partner, participated in the Plan with respect to substantially all of his ETE common units, which represents approximately 6.9% of ETE's total outstanding common units, and was issued 72,042,486 Convertible Units. Other than Mr. Davis, no other Electing Unitholder owns a material amount of equity securities of ETE or its affiliates.

Repurchase Program

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, ETE did not repurchase any ETE common units under its current buyback program. As of March 31, 2016, \$936 million remained available to repurchase under the current program.

Subsidiary Common Unit Transactions

The Parent Company accounts for the difference between the carrying amount of its investment in ETP and Sunoco LP and the underlying book value arising from the issuance or redemption of units by ETP and Sunoco LP (excluding transactions with the Parent Company) as capital transactions. As a result of these transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2016, we recognized decreases in partners' capital of \$12 million.

ETP Common Unit Transactions

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, ETP received proceeds of \$324 million, net of \$3 million commissions, from the issuance of common units pursuant to equity distribution agreements, which were used for general partnership purposes. As of March 31, 2016, approximately none of ETP's common units were available to be issued under an equity distribution agreement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, distributions of \$39 million were reinvested under ETP's distribution reinvestment plan resulting in the issuance of 1.8 million common units. As of March 31, 2016, a total of 9.7 million common units remain available to be issued under the existing registration statement in connection with the distribution reinvestment plan.

Sunoco Logistics Common Unit Transactions

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, Sunoco Logistics received proceeds of \$301 million, net of commissions of \$3 million, from the issuance of Sunoco Logistics common units pursuant to equity distribution agreements, which were used for general partnership purposes.

Sunoco LP Common Unit Transactions

In January 2016, Sunoco LP issued 16.4 million Class C units representing limited partner interest consisting of (i) 5.2 million Class C Units issued by Sunoco LP to Aloha as consideration for the contribution by Aloha to an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, and (ii) 11.2 million Class C Units that were issued by Sunoco LP to its indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries in exchange for all of the outstanding Class A Units held by such subsidiaries.

In March 2016, ETP contributed to Sunoco LP its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business for \$2.23 billion. Sunoco LP paid \$2.20 billion in cash, including a working capital adjustment, and issued 5.7 million Sunoco LP common units to Retail Holdings, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ETP.

On March 31, 2016, Sunoco LP sold 2.3 million of Sunoco LP's common units in a private placement to the Partnership.

Parent Company Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by us subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 4, 2016	February 19, 2016	\$ 0.2850
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 19, 2016	0.2850

ETP Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by ETP subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 8, 2016	February 16, 2016	\$ 1.0550
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 16, 2016	1.0550

ETE has agreed to relinquish its right to the following amounts of incentive distributions in future periods, including distributions on ETP Class I Units.

		Total Year	
2016 (remainder)	\$	103	
2017		128	
2018		105	
2019		95	

Sunoco Logistics Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco Logistics subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 8, 2016	February 12, 2016	\$ 0.4790
March 31, 2016	May 9, 2016	May 13, 2016	0.4890

Sunoco LP Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco LP subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 5, 2016	February 16, 2016	\$ 0.8013
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 16, 2016	0.8173

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the components of AOCI, net of tax:

	Marc	h 31, 2016	December	r 31, 2015
Available-for-sale securities	\$	2	\$	_
Foreign currency translation adjustment		(5)		(4)
Net loss on interest rate derivatives		(6)		_
Actuarial loss related to pensions and other postretirement benefits		(1)		8
Subtotal		(10)		4
Amounts attributable to noncontrolling interest		10		(4)
Total AOCI, net of tax	\$	_	\$	_

9. INCOME TAXES

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Partnership's effective income tax rate decreased from the prior year primarily due to lower earnings among the Partnership's consolidated corporate subsidiaries. The three months ended March 31, 2016 also reflected a benefit of \$9 million of net state tax benefit attributable to statutory state rate changes resulting from the contribution by ETP to Sunoco LP of its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business.

10. REGULATORY MATTERS, COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES

Contingent Residual Support Agreement - AmeriGas

In connection with the closing of the contribution of its propane operations in January 2012, ETP agreed to provide contingent, residual support of \$1.55 billion of intercompany borrowings made by AmeriGas and certain of its affiliates with maturities through 2022 from a finance subsidiary of AmeriGas that have maturity dates and repayment terms that mirror those of an equal principal amount of senior notes issued by this finance company subsidiary to third party purchasers.

ETP Retail Holdings Guarantee of Sunoco LP Notes

Retail Holdings has provided a guarantee of collection, but not of payment, to Sunoco LP with respect to (i) \$800 million principal amount of 6.375% senior notes due 2023 issued by Sunoco LP, (ii) \$800 million principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due 2021 issued by Sunoco LP and (iii) \$2.035 billion of borrowings outstanding under Sunoco LP's Term Loan.

NGL Pipeline Regulation

ETP has interests in NGL pipelines located in Texas and New Mexico. ETP commenced the interstate transportation of NGLs in 2013, which is subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act ("ICA") and the Energy Policy Act of 1992. Under the ICA, tariff rates must be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory and pipelines may not confer any undue preference. The tariff rates established for interstate services were based on a negotiated agreement; however, the FERC's rate-making methodologies may limit ETP's ability to set rates based on our actual costs, may delay or limit the use of rates that reflect increased costs and may subject us to potentially burdensome and expensive operational, reporting and other requirements. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect ETP's business, revenues and cash flow.

FERC Audit

In March 2016, the FERC commenced an audit of Trunkline for the period from January 1, 2013 to present to evaluate Trunkline's compliance with the requirements of its FERC gas tariff, the accounting regulations of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by the FERC, and the FERC's annual reporting requirements.

Commitments

In the normal course of our business, we purchase, process and sell natural gas pursuant to long-term contracts and we enter into long-term transportation and storage agreements. Such contracts contain terms that are customary in the industry. We believe that the terms of these agreements are commercially reasonable and will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We have certain non-cancelable leases for property and equipment, which require fixed monthly rental payments and expire at various dates through 2058. The table below reflects rental expense under these operating leases included in operating expenses in the accompanying statements of operations, which include contingent rentals, and rental expense recovered through related sublease rental income:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2016		2015		
Rental expense ⁽¹⁾	\$	51	\$	52	
Less: Sublease rental income		(7)		(8)	
Rental expense, net	\$	44	\$	44	

⁽¹⁾ Includes contingent rentals totaling \$16 million and \$4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 respectively.

Certain of our subsidiaries' joint venture agreements require that they fund their proportionate shares of capital contributions to their unconsolidated affiliates. Such contributions will depend upon their unconsolidated affiliates' capital requirements, such as for funding capital projects or repayment of long-term obligations.

Litigation and Contingencies

We may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. Natural gas and crude oil are flammable and combustible. Serious personal injury and significant property damage can arise in connection with their transportation, storage or use. In the ordinary course of business, we are sometimes threatened with or named as a defendant in various lawsuits seeking actual and punitive damages for product liability, personal injury and property damage. We maintain liability insurance with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles management believes are reasonable and prudent, and which are generally accepted in the industry. However, there can be no assurance that the levels of insurance protection currently in effect will continue to be available at reasonable prices or that such levels will remain adequate to protect us from material expenses related to product liability, personal injury or property damage in the future.

MTBE Litigation

Sunoco, Inc., along with other refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline, is a defendant in lawsuits alleging MTBE contamination of groundwater. The plaintiffs typically include water purveyors and municipalities responsible for supplying drinking water and governmental authorities. The plaintiffs primarily assert product liability claims and additional claims including nuisance, trespass, negligence, violation of environmental laws and deceptive business practices. The plaintiffs in all of the cases seek to recover compensatory damages, and in some cases also seek natural resource damages, injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

As of March 31, 2016, Sunoco, Inc. is a defendant in five cases, including cases initiated by the States of New Jersey, Vermont, Pennsylvania, and two others by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico with the more recent Puerto Rico action being a companion case alleging damages for additional sites beyond those at issue in the initial Puerto Rico action. Four of these cases are venued in a multidistrict litigation proceeding in a New York federal court. The New Jersey, Puerto Rico, Vermont, and Pennsylvania cases assert natural resource damage claims.

Fact discovery has concluded with respect to an initial set of 19 sites each that will be the subject of the first trial phase in the New Jersey case and the initial Puerto Rico case. Insufficient information has been developed about the plaintiffs' legal theories or the facts with respect to statewide natural resource damage claims to provide an analysis of the ultimate potential liability of Sunoco, Inc. in these matters. It is reasonably possible that a loss may be realized; however, we are unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued. Management believes that an adverse determination with respect to one or more of the MTBE cases could have a significant impact on results of operations during the period in which any said adverse determination occurs, but does not believe that any such adverse determination would have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's consolidated financial position.

Regency Merger Litigation

Following the January 26, 2015 announcement of the definitive merger agreement with Regency, purported Regency unitholders filed lawsuits in state and federal courts in Dallas, Texas and Delaware state court asserting claims relating to the

proposed transaction. All Regency merger related lawsuits have been dismissed, though one lawsuit remains pending on appeal. On June 10, 2015, Adrian Dieckman ("Dieckman"), a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware. The lawsuit alleges that the transaction did not comply with the Regency partnership agreement because the conflicts committee was not properly formed. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss, and on March 29, 2016, the Delaware court granted Defendants' motion and dismissed the lawsuit. On April 26, 2016, Plaintiff filed its Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court of Delaware. This appeal is styled *Adrian Dieckman v. Regency GP LP, et al.*, No. 208, 2016, in the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware.

Jamie Welch Litigation

On March 10, 2016, Jamie Welch ("Welch") filed an original petition against ETE and LE GP in Texas state court in Dallas. Welch alleges that Defendants 1) breached their contractual obligation to deliver and convert Welch's Class D units upon termination; 2) failed to deliver long term incentive shares awarded to Welch; 3) failed to pay Welch's 2015 bonus; 4) breached their obligation to grant Welch an interest in the Lake Charles LNG project; and 5) breached their obligation to pay Welch his severance. Welch brings claims for breach of contract and quantum meruit. On April 12, 2016, Defendants removed Welch's lawsuit from state court to federal court in Dallas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446. On April 29, 2016, Welch filed an amended complaint and removed his claim for payment of severance benefits.

Enterprise Products Partners, L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC Litigation

On January 27, 2014, a trial commenced between ETP against Enterprise Products Partners, L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC (collectively, "Enterprise") and Enbridge (US) Inc. Trial resulted in a verdict in favor of ETP against Enterprise that consisted of \$319 million in compensatory damages and \$595 million in disgorgement to ETP. The jury also found that ETP owed Enterprise approximately \$1 million under a reimbursement agreement. On July 29, 2014, the trial court entered a final judgment in favor of ETP and awarded ETP \$536 million, consisting of compensatory damages, disgorgement, and pre-judgment interest. The trial court also ordered that ETP shall be entitled to recover post-judgment interest and costs of court and that Enterprise is not entitled to any net recovery on its counterclaims. Enterprise has filed a notice of appeal with the Texas Court of Appeals, and briefing by Enterprise and ETP is complete. Oral argument was held on April 20, 2016. The Court of Appeals is taking the briefs under advisement. In accordance with GAAP, no amounts related to the original verdict or the July 29, 2014 final judgment will be recorded in our financial statements until the appeal process is completed.

Litigation Relating to the Williams Companies, Inc.

On April 6, 2016, The Williams Companies, Inc. ("Williams") filed a complaint against ETE and LE GP in the Delaware Court of Chancery (the "Delaware Williams Litigation"). This lawsuit is styled *The Williams Companies, Inc. v. Energy Transfer Equity, L.P., et al.*, C.A. No. 12168-VCG. Williams alleges that Defendants breached the merger agreement between Williams, ETE, and several of ETE's affiliates (the "Merger Agreement") by issuing ETE's Series A Convertible Preferred Units (the "Convertible Units"). According to Williams, the issuance of Convertible Units (the "Issuance") violates various contractual restrictions on ETE's actions between the execution and closing of the merger. Williams seeks, among other things, to (a) rescind the Issuance and (b) invalidate an amendment to ETE's partnership agreement that was adopted on March 8, 2016 as part of the Issuance.

The Delaware Court of Chancery held a hearing on Williams' Motion to Expedite on April 14, 2016. The Court granted Williams' Motion to Expedite but significantly limited discovery. Williams amended its complaint in the Delaware suit on April 19, 2016, but did not add any additional claims. The Court has set a permanent injunction hearing for June 15, 2016. Defendants intend to vigorously defend this lawsuit.

Williams also filed a petition against Mr. Warren in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, on April 6, 2016 (the "Texas Williams Litigation"). This lawsuit is styled *The Williams Companies*, *Inc. v. Kelcy Warren*, C.A. No. DC-16-03941. Williams alleges that Mr. Warren tortiously interfered with the Merger Agreement through his involvement in the Issuance. Williams seeks, among other things, damages from Mr. Warren, who intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit.

On May 3, 2016, ETE and LE GP filed an answer and counterclaim in the Delaware Williams Litigation. The counterclaim asserts that Williams materially breached its obligations under the Merger Agreement by (a) blocking ETE's attempts to complete a public offering of the Convertible Units, including, among other things, by declining to allow Williams' independent registered public accounting firm to provide the auditor consent required to be included in the registration statement for a public offering and (b) bringing the Texas Williams Litigation against Mr. Warren in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas.

Litigation Relating to the WMB Merger

Between October 5, 2015, and December 24, 2015, purported Williams stockholders filed six putative class action lawsuits in the Delaware Court of Chancery challenging the merger. The suits are captioned *Greenwald et al. v. The Williams Companies, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 11573-VCG; *Ozaki v. Armstrong et al.*, C.A. No. 11574-VCG; *Blystone v. The Williams Companies, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 11601-VCG; *Glener et al. v. The Williams Companies, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 11606-VCG; *Amaitis et al. v. Armstrong et al.*, C.A. No. 11809-VCG; and *State-Boston Retirement System et al. v. Armstrong et al.*, C.A. No. 11844-VCG. The complaints assert various claims against the individual members of Williams' board of directors; ETE, ETC, ETC GP, LE GP and ETE GP (the "ETE Defendants"); Williams; and others. On January 13, 2016, the Court consolidated these six actions into a new consolidated action captioned *In re The Williams Companies, Inc. Merger Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 11844-VCG (the "Merger Litigation"). In its stipulated order, the Court dismissed without prejudice the ETE Defendants (among others) from the consolidated action.

On January 14, 2016, a purported Williams stockholder ("Bumgarner") filed a putative class action lawsuit against Williams and ETE, captioned *Bumgarner v. The Williams Companies, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 16-cv-26-GKF-FHM, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Bumgarner alleges that ETE and Williams have violated Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") by making allegedly false statements concerning the merger. As relief, the complaint seeks an injunction against the proposed merger. On February 1, 2016, Bumgarner filed an amended complaint, making substantially the same allegations. On February 19, 2016, ETE and Williams moved to dismiss the amended complaint. Bumgarner moved for expedited discovery on April 21, 2016. On April 28, 2016, the Court granted the motion to dismiss and dismissed Bumgarner's claims in their entirety with leave to amend. The Court also granted expedited proceedings with respect to any further proceedings.

On January 19, 2016, The City of Birmingham Retirement and Relief System ("CBRRS"), a purported shareholder of Williams, filed a putative class action lawsuit against the members of Williams' board of directors, Williams, ETE, ETC, ETC GP, LE GP, and ETE GP challenging the merger and the disclosures made in connection with the merger. The lawsuit was styled *City of Birmingham Retirement and Relief System v. Alan S. Armstrong, et al.*, C.A. No. 16-17-RGA, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. CBRRS alleged violations of Section 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act among other claims. CBRRS moved to expedite, and Defendants moved to dismiss the suit. The Court denied expedition. CBRRS voluntarily dismissed the suit on March 7, 2016.

Unitholder Litigation Relating to the Issuance

In April 2016, two purported ETE unitholders (the "Issuance Plaintiffs") filed putative class action lawsuits against, Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and LE GP, LLC, Kelcy Warren, John McReynolds, Marshall McCrea, Matthew Ramsey, Ted Collins, K. Rick Turner, William Williams, Ray Davis, and Richard Brannon in the Delaware Court of Chancery. These lawsuits have been consolidated as *In re Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. Unitholder Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 12197-VCG, in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware. One of the Issuance Plaintiffs had initially filed an action to inspect the books and records of ETE on April 11, 2016 but voluntarily dismissed the books and records action on April 22, 2016.

The Issuance Plaintiffs allege that the Issuance breached various provisions of ETE's limited partnership agreement. The Issuance Plaintiff seek, among other things, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief that (a) prevents ETE from making distributions to the Convertible Units and (b) invalidates an amendment to ETE's partnership agreement that was adopted on March 8, 2016 as part of the issuance of Convertible Units.

One of the Issuance Plaintiffs moved for expedited proceedings. The Delaware Court of Chancery granted a Motion to Expedite filed by one of the Issuance Plaintiffs and stated that the injunction hearing should be held before any August 2016 distribution. Defendants intend to vigorously defend this consolidated lawsuit.

Other Litigation and Contingencies

We or our subsidiaries are a party to various legal proceedings and/or regulatory proceedings incidental to our businesses. For each of these matters, we evaluate the merits of the case, our exposure to the matter, possible legal or settlement strategies, the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and the availability of insurance coverage. If we determine that an unfavorable outcome of a particular matter is probable and can be estimated, we accrue the contingent obligation, as well as any expected insurance recoverable amounts related to the contingency. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, accruals of approximately \$59 million and \$40 million, respectively, were reflected on our balance sheets related to these contingent obligations. As new information becomes available, our estimates may change. The impact of these changes may have a significant effect on our results of operations in a single period.

The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty and there can be no assurance that the outcome of a particular matter will not result in the payment of amounts that have not been accrued for the matter. Furthermore, we may revise accrual amounts prior to resolution of a particular contingency based on changes in facts and circumstances or changes in the expected outcome. Currently, we are not able to estimate possible losses or a range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued.

No amounts have been recorded in our March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015 consolidated balance sheets for contingencies and current litigation, other than amounts disclosed herein.

Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. New England Gas Company.

On July 7, 2011, the Massachusetts Attorney General ("AG") filed a regulatory complaint with the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities ("MDPU") against New England Gas Company with respect to certain environmental cost recoveries. The AG is seeking a refund to New England Gas Company customers for alleged "excessive and imprudently incurred costs" related to legal fees associated with Southern Union's environmental response activities. In the complaint, the AG requests that the MDPU initiate an investigation into the New England Gas Company's collection and reconciliation of recoverable environmental costs including: (i) the prudence of any and all legal fees, totaling approximately \$19 million, that were charged by the Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman firm and passed through the recovery mechanism since 2005, the year when a partner in the firm, the Southern Union former Vice Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer, joined Southern Union's management team; (ii) the prudence of any and all legal fees that were charged by the Bishop, London & Dodds firm and passed through the recovery mechanism since 2005, the period during which a member of the firm served as Southern Union's Chief Ethics Officer; and (iii) the propriety and allocation of certain legal fees charged that were passed through the recovery mechanism that the AG contends only qualify for a lesser, 50%, level of recovery. Southern Union has filed its answer denying the allegations and moved to dismiss the complaint, in part on a theory of collateral estoppel. The hearing officer has deferred consideration of Southern Union's motion to dismiss. The AG's motion to be reimbursed expert and consultant costs by Southern Union of up to \$150,000 was granted. By tariff, these costs are recoverable through rates charged to New England Gas Company customers. The hearing officer previously stayed discovery pending resolution of a dispute concerning the applicability of attorney-client privilege to legal billing invoices. The MDPU issued an interlocutory order on June 24, 2013 that lifted the stay, and discovery has resumed. Panhandle (as successor to Southern Union) believes it has complied with all applicable requirements regarding its filings for cost recovery and has not recorded any accrued liability; however, Panhandle will continue to assess its potential exposure for such cost recoveries as the matter progresses.

Compliance Orders from the New Mexico Environmental Department

Regency received a Notice of Violation from the New Mexico Environmental Department on September 23, 2015 for allegations of violations of New Mexico air regulations related to Jal #3. The Partnership has accrued \$250,000 related to the claims and will continue to assess its potential exposure to the allegations as the matter progresses.

Lone Star NGL Fractionators Notice of Enforcement

Lone Star NGL Fractionators received a Notice of Enforcement from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality on August 28, 2015 for allegations of violations of Texas air regulations related to its Mont Belvieu Gas Plant. The Partnership has accrued \$300,000 related to the claim. As of April 2016, the Agreed Order is in the approval process with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and includes a \$21,000 penalty and a \$21,000 Supplemental Environmental Project.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental and safety laws and regulations that require expenditures to ensure compliance, including related to air emissions and wastewater discharges, at operating facilities and for remediation at current and former facilities as well as waste disposal sites. Although we believe our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, risks of additional costs and liabilities are inherent in the business of transporting, storing, gathering, treating, compressing, blending and processing natural gas, natural gas liquids and other products. As a result, there can be no assurance that significant costs and liabilities will not be incurred. Costs of planning, designing, constructing and operating pipelines, plants and other facilities must incorporate compliance with environmental laws and regulations and safety standards. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations, the issuance of injunctions and the filing of federally authorized citizen suits. Contingent losses related to all significant known environmental matters have been accrued and/or separately disclosed. However, we may revise accrual amounts prior to resolution of a particular contingency based on changes in facts and circumstances or changes in the expected outcome.

Environmental exposures and liabilities are difficult to assess and estimate due to unknown factors such as the magnitude of possible contamination, the timing and extent of remediation, the determination of our liability in proportion to other parties,

improvements in cleanup technologies and the extent to which environmental laws and regulations may change in the future. Although environmental costs may have a significant impact on the results of operations for any single period, we believe that such costs will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

Based on information available at this time and reviews undertaken to identify potential exposure, we believe the amount reserved for environmental matters is adequate to cover the potential exposure for cleanup costs.

Environmental Remediation

Our subsidiaries are responsible for environmental remediation at certain sites, including the following:

- Certain of our interstate pipelines conduct soil and groundwater remediation related to contamination from past uses of PCBs. PCB assessments are ongoing and, in some cases, our subsidiaries could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties.
- Certain gathering and processing systems are responsible for soil and groundwater remediation related to releases of hydrocarbons.
- Currently operating Sunoco, Inc. retail sites.
- Legacy sites related to Sunoco, Inc., that are subject to environmental assessments include formerly owned terminals and other logistics assets, retail sites that Sunoco, Inc. no longer operates, closed and/or sold refineries and other formerly owned sites.
- Sunoco, Inc. is potentially subject to joint and several liability for the costs of remediation at sites at which it has been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"). As of March 31, 2016, Sunoco, Inc. had been named as a PRP at approximately 48 identified or potentially identifiable "Superfund" sites under federal and/or comparable state law. Sunoco, Inc. is usually one of a number of companies identified as a PRP at a site. Sunoco, Inc. has reviewed the nature and extent of its involvement at each site and other relevant circumstances and, based upon Sunoco, Inc.'s purported nexus to the sites, believes that its potential liability associated with such sites will not be significant.

To the extent estimable, expected remediation costs are included in the amounts recorded for environmental matters in our consolidated balance sheets. In some circumstances, future costs cannot be reasonably estimated because remediation activities are undertaken as claims are made by customers and former customers. To the extent that an environmental remediation obligation is recorded by a subsidiary that applies regulatory accounting policies, amounts that are expected to be recoverable through tariffs or rates are recorded as regulatory assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

The table below reflects the amounts of accrued liabilities recorded in our consolidated balance sheets related to environmental matters that are considered to be probable and reasonably estimable. Currently, we are not able to estimate possible losses or a range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued. Except for matters discussed above, we do not have any material environmental matters assessed as reasonably possible that would require disclosure in our consolidated financial statements.

	March 31, 2016		December 31, 201	
Current	\$	40	\$	42
Non-current		293		326
Total environmental liabilities	\$	333	\$	368

In 2013, we established a wholly-owned captive insurance company to bear certain risks associated with environmental obligations related to certain sites that are no longer operating. The premiums paid to the captive insurance company include estimates for environmental claims that have been incurred but not reported, based on an actuarially determined fully developed claims expense estimate. In such cases, we accrue losses attributable to unasserted claims based on the discounted estimates that are used to develop the premiums paid to the captive insurance company.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, Sunoco, Inc. and Sunoco LP collectively recorded \$8 million and \$7 million, respectively, of expenditures related to environmental cleanup programs.

On December 2, 2010, Sunoco, Inc. entered an Asset Sale and Purchase Agreement to sell the Toledo Refinery to Toledo Refining Company LLC (TRC) wherein Sunoco, Inc. retained certain liabilities associated with the pre-Closing time period. On January 2, 2013, USEPA issued a Finding of Violation (FOV) to TRC and, on September 30, 2013, EPA issued an NOV/FOV to TRC alleging Clean Air Act violations. To date, EPA has not issued an FOV or NOV/FOV to Sunoco, Inc. directly but some of EPA's claims relate to the time period that Sunoco, Inc. operated the refinery. Specifically, EPA has claimed that

the refinery flares were not operated in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions and/or in conformance with their design, and that Sunoco, Inc. submitted semi-annual compliance reports in 2010 and 2011 that failed to include all of the information required by the regulations. EPA has proposed penalties in excess of \$200,000 to resolve the allegations and discussions continue between the parties. The timing or outcome of this matter cannot be reasonably determined at this time, however, we do not expect there to be a material impact to our results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Our pipeline operations are subject to regulation by the U.S. Department of Transportation under the PHMSA, pursuant to which the PHMSA has established requirements relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of pipeline facilities. Moreover, the PHMSA, through the Office of Pipeline Safety, has promulgated a rule requiring pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs to comprehensively evaluate their pipelines, and take measures to protect pipeline segments located in what the rule refers to as "high consequence areas." Activities under these integrity management programs involve the performance of internal pipeline inspections, pressure testing or other effective means to assess the integrity of these regulated pipeline segments, and the regulations require prompt action to address integrity issues raised by the assessment and analysis. Integrity testing and assessment of all of these assets will continue, and the potential exists that results of such testing and assessment could cause us to incur future capital and operating expenditures for repairs or upgrades deemed necessary to ensure the continued safe and reliable operation of our pipelines; however, no estimate can be made at this time of the likely range of such expenditures.

In April 2016, the PHMSA issued a Notice of Probable Violation ("NOPV"), Proposed Civil Penalty and Proposed Compliance Order related to certain procedures carried out during construction of Sunoco Logistics' Permian Express 2 pipeline system in Texas. The correspondence proposes penalties in excess of \$0.1 million, and Sunoco Logistics is currently in discussions with PHMSA to resolve these matters. The timing or outcome of these matters cannot be reasonably determined at this time, however, Sunoco Logistics does not expect there to be a material impact to its results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

Our operations are also subject to the requirements of the OSHA, and comparable state laws that regulate the protection of the health and safety of employees. In addition, OSHA's hazardous communication standard requires that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with the OSHA requirements, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements, and monitoring of occupational exposure to regulated substances.

11. DERIVATIVE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility of commodity prices. To manage the impact of volatility from these prices, our subsidiaries utilize various exchange-traded and OTC commodity financial instrument contracts. These contracts consist primarily of futures, swaps and options and are recorded at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets.

We use futures and basis swaps, designated as fair value hedges, to hedge our natural gas inventory stored in our Bammel storage facility. At hedge inception, we lock in a margin by purchasing gas in the spot market or off peak season and entering into a financial contract. Changes in the spreads between the forward natural gas prices and the physical inventory spot price result in unrealized gains or losses until the underlying physical gas is withdrawn and the related designated derivatives are settled. Once the gas is withdrawn and the designated derivatives are settled, the previously unrealized gains or losses associated with these positions are realized.

We use futures, swaps and options to hedge the sales price of natural gas we retain for fees in our intrastate transportation and storage segment and operational gas sales on our interstate transportation and storage segment. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use NGL and crude derivative swap contracts to hedge forecasted sales of NGL and condensate equity volumes we retain for fees in our midstream segment whereby our subsidiaries generally gather and process natural gas on behalf of producers, sell the resulting residue gas and NGL volumes at market prices and remit to producers an agreed upon percentage of the proceeds based on an index price for the residue gas and NGL. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use derivatives in our liquids transportation and services segment to manage our storage facilities and the purchase and sale of purity NGL. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

Sunoco Logistics utilizes swaps, futures and other derivative instruments to mitigate the risk associated with market movements in the price of refined products and NGLs. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use futures and swaps to achieve ratable pricing of crude oil purchases, to convert certain expected refined product sales to fixed or floating prices, to lock in margins for certain refined products and to lock in the price of a portion of natural gas purchases or sales and transportation costs in our retail marketing segment. These contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

We use financial commodity derivatives to take advantage of market opportunities in our trading activities which complement our transportation and storage segment's operations and are netted in cost of products sold in our consolidated statements of operations. We also have trading and marketing activities related to power and natural gas in our all other segment which are also netted in cost of products sold. As a result of our trading activities and the use of derivative financial instruments in our transportation and storage segment, the degree of earnings volatility that can occur may be significant, favorably or unfavorably, from period to period. We attempt to manage this volatility through the use of daily position and profit and loss reports provided to our risk oversight committee, which includes members of senior management, and the limits and authorizations set forth in our commodity risk management policy.

The following table details our outstanding commodity-related derivatives:

	March 31	, 2016	December 31, 2015		
-	Notional		Notional		
	Volume	Maturity	Volume	Maturity	
Mark-to-Market Derivatives					
(Trading)					
Natural Gas (MMBtu):					
Fixed Swaps/Futures	1,712,500	2016-2017	(602,500)	2016-2017	
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX (1)	63,825,000	2016-2017	(31,240,000)	2016-2017	
Power (Megawatt):					
Forwards	(344,954)	2016-2017	357,092	2016-2017	
Futures	2,675,597	2016-2017	(109,791)	2016	
Options — Puts	(227,600)	2016	260,534	2016	
Options — Calls	1,011,600	2016	1,300,647	2016	
Crude (Bbls):					
Futures	(616,000)	2016-2017	(591,000)	2016-2017	
Options — Puts	(300,000)	2016	_	_	
Options — Calls	300,000	2016	_	_	
(Non-Trading)					
Natural Gas (MMBtu):					
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(9,175,000)	2016-2017	(6,522,500)	2016-2017	
Swing Swaps IFERC	105,170,000	2016-2017	71,340,000	2016-2017	
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(6,862,500)	2016-2018	(14,380,000)	2016-2018	
Forward Physical Contracts	26,156,570	2016-2017	21,922,484	2016-2017	
Natural Gas Liquid and Crude (Bbls) — Forwards/Swaps	(6,273,000)	2016	(8,146,800)	2016-2018	
Refined Products (Bbls) — Futures	(2,846,000)	2016-2017	(1,289,000)	2016-2017	
Corn (Bushels) — Futures	122,000	2016	1,185,000	2016	
Fair Value Hedging Derivatives					
(Non-Trading)					
Natural Gas (MMBtu):					
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(23,215,000)	2016	(37,555,000)	2016	
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(23,215,000)	2016	(37,555,000)	2016	
Hedged Item — Inventory	23,215,000	2016	37,555,000	2016	

(1) Includes aggregate amounts for open positions related to Houston Ship Channel, Waha Hub, NGPL TexOk, West Louisiana Zone and Henry Hub locations

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk for changes in interest rates. To maintain a cost effective capital structure, we borrow funds using a mix of fixed rate debt and floating rate debt. We also manage our interest rate exposures by utilizing interest rate swaps to achieve a desired mix of fixed and floating rate debt. We also utilize forward starting interest rate swaps to lock in the rate on a portion of anticipated debt issuances.

The following table summarizes our interest rate swaps outstanding none of which were designated as hedges for accounting purposes:

Term	Type ⁽¹⁾	Marcl	h 31, 2016	Dece	mber 31, 2015
July 2016 ⁽²⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.80% and receive a floating rate	\$	200	\$	200
July 2017 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.84% and receive a floating rate		300		300
July 2018 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 4.00% and receive a floating rate		200		200
December 2018	Pay a floating rate based on a 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.53%		1,200		1,200
March 2019	Pay a floating rate based on a 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.42%		300		300
July 2019 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.25% and receive a floating rate		200		200

- (1) Floating rates are based on 3-month LIBOR.
- (2) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have a term of 10 and 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.
- (3) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have terms of 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.

Credit Risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that a counterparty may default on its contractual obligations resulting in a loss to the Partnership. Credit policies have been approved and implemented to govern ETP's portfolio of counterparties with the objective of mitigating credit losses. These policies establish guidelines, controls and limits to manage credit risk within approved tolerances by mandating an appropriate evaluation of the financial condition of existing and potential counterparties, monitoring agency credit ratings, and by implementing credit practices that limit exposure according to the risk profiles of the counterparties. Furthermore, ETP may at times require collateral under certain circumstances to mitigate credit risk as necessary. ETP also implements the use of industry standard commercial agreements which allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated with transactions executed under a single commercial agreement. Additionally, ETP utilizes master netting agreements to offset credit exposure across multiple commercial agreements with a single counterparty or affiliated group of counterparties.

ETP's counterparties consist of a diverse portfolio of customers across the energy industry, including petrochemical companies, commercial and industrials, oil and gas producers, motor fuel distributors, municipalities, utilities and midstream companies. ETP's overall exposure may be affected positively or negatively by macroeconomic factors or regulatory changes that could impact its counterparties to one extent or another. Currently, management does not anticipate a material adverse effect in our financial position or results of operations as a consequence of counterparty non-performance.

ETP has maintenance margin deposits with certain counterparties in the OTC market, primarily independent system operators, and with clearing brokers. Payments on margin deposits are required when the value of a derivative exceeds our pre-established credit limit with the counterparty. Margin deposits are returned to ETP on or about the settlement date for non-exchange traded derivatives, and ETP exchanges margin calls on a daily basis for exchange traded transactions. Since the margin calls

are made daily with the exchange brokers, the fair value of the financial derivative instruments are deemed current and netted in deposits paid to vendors within other current assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

For financial instruments, failure of a counterparty to perform on a contract could result in our inability to realize amounts that have been recorded on our consolidated balance sheets and recognized in net income or other comprehensive income.

Derivative Summary

The following table provides a summary of our derivative assets and liabilities:

	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments							
		Asset De	rivat	ives	Liability Der			vatives
			D	ecember 31,			Ι	December 31,
	Mar	ch 31, 2016	2015		March 31, 2016			2015
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:								
Commodity derivatives (margin deposits)	\$	4	\$	38	\$		\$	(3)
		4		38		_		(3)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:								
Commodity derivatives (margin deposits)	\$	115	\$	353	\$	(98)	\$	(306)
Commodity derivatives		35		63		(32)		(47)
Interest rate derivatives		25		_		(267)		(171)
Embedded derivatives in the ETP Preferred Units						(5)		(5)
		175		416		(402)		(529)
Total derivatives	\$	179	\$	454	\$	(402)	\$	(532)

The following table presents the fair value of our recognized derivative assets and liabilities on a gross basis and amounts offset on the consolidated balance sheets that are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements or similar arrangements:

		Asset Derivatives			Liability I	Deriv	vatives	
	Balance Sheet Location	March	31, 2016	D	ecember 31, 2015	March 31, 2016	J	December 31, 2015
Derivatives without offsetting								
agreements	Derivative assets (liabilities)	\$	25	\$	_	\$ (272)	\$	(176)
Derivatives in offsetting agreement	ents:							
OTC contracts	Derivative assets (liabilities)		35		63	(32)		(47)
Broker cleared derivative	Other current assets							
contracts			119		391	(98)		(309)
Total gross derivatives			179		454	(402)		(532)
Less offsetting agreements:								
Counterparty netting	Derivative assets (liabilities)		(17)		(17)	17		17
Payments on margin deposit	Other current assets		(98)		(309)	98		309
Total net derivatives		\$	64	\$	128	\$ (287)	\$	(206)

We disclose the non-exchange traded financial derivative instruments as price risk management assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value with amounts classified as either current or long-term depending on the anticipated settlement date.

The following tables summarize the amounts recognized with respect to our derivative financial instruments:

		Change	Change in Value Recognized in Oo Derivatives (Effective Portion) Three Months Ended March 31,		
		2016 2019			:015
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationshi	ips:				
Commodity derivatives		\$	_	\$	1
Total		\$	_	\$	1
	Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Ro Income Representing Ineffectiveness and Amou from the Assessment of E Three Months En		senting I d Amount ent of Eff nths Ende	Hedge Excluded ectiveness
	on Derivatives	March 31,			
		2	2016	2	.015
Derivatives in fair value hedging relationshi					
Commodity derivatives	Cost of products sold	\$	(4)	\$	(3)
Total		\$	(4)	\$	(3)
	Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income	Amour	nt of Gain/(I Income on Three Mo	Derivativ	zes
	on Derivatives			ch 31,	eu
		2	2016	2	.015
Derivatives not designated as hedging instru	iments:				
Commodity derivatives – Trading	Cost of products sold	\$	(9)	\$	(2)
Commodity derivatives – Non-trading	Cost of products sold		8		(8)
Interest rate derivatives	Gains (losses) on interest rate derivatives		(70)		(77)
Embedded derivatives	Other, net		_		2
Total		\$	(71)	\$	(85)

12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Parent Company has agreements with subsidiaries to provide or receive various management and general and administrative services. The Parent Company pays ETP to provide services on its behalf and on behalf of other subsidiaries of the Parent Company. The Parent Company receives management fees from certain of its subsidiaries, which include the reimbursement of various general and administrative services for expenses incurred by ETP on behalf of those subsidiaries. All such amounts have been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements.

In the ordinary course of business, our subsidiaries have related party transactions between each other which are generally based on transactions made at market-related rates. Our consolidated revenues and expenses reflect the elimination of all material intercompany transactions.

In addition, ETE recorded sales with affiliates of \$81 million and \$76 million during the three ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

13. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

Our financial statements reflect the following reportable business segments:

- Investment in ETP, including the consolidated operations of ETP;
- Investment in Sunoco LP, including the consolidated operations of Sunoco LP;
- · Investment in Lake Charles LNG, including the operations of Lake Charles LNG; and
- Corporate and Other, including the following:
 - activities of the Parent Company; and
 - the goodwill and property, plant and equipment fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the 2004 reverse acquisition of Heritage Propane Partners, L.P.

ETP completed its acquisition of Regency in April 2015; therefore, the Investment in ETP segment amounts have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect Regency for the periods presented.

The Investment in Sunoco LP segment reflects the results of Sunoco LP and the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business for the periods presented. ETE's consolidated results reflect the elimination of Sunoco, LLC, Susser and the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business for the periods during which those entities were included in the consolidated results of both ETP and Sunoco LP. In addition, subsequent to July 2015, ETP holds an equity method investment in Sunoco, LLC, and a continuing investment in Sunoco LP the equity in earnings from which is also eliminated in ETE's consolidated financial statements.

Related party transactions among our segments are generally based on transactions made at market-related rates. Consolidated revenues and expenses reflect the elimination of all material intercompany transactions.

We define Segment Adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion, amortization and other non-cash items, such as non-cash compensation expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities, non-cash impairment charges, losses on extinguishments of debt, gain on deconsolidation and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities include unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments). Segment Adjusted EBITDA reflects amounts for unconsolidated affiliates based on the Partnership's proportionate ownership and amounts for less than wholly owned subsidiaries based on 100% of the subsidiaries' results of operations. Based on the change in our reportable segments we have recast the presentation of our segment results for the prior years to be consistent with the current year presentation.

The following tables present financial information by segment:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	 2016	2015		
Segment Adjusted EBITDA:				
Investment in ETP	\$ 1,412	\$ 1,366		
Investment in Sunoco LP	159	128		
Investment in Lake Charles LNG	44	49		
Corporate and Other	(37)	(23)		
Adjustments and Eliminations	_	(125)		
Total	1,578	1,395		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(562)	(493)		
Interest expense, net	(427)	(371)		
Losses on interest rate derivatives	(70)	(77)		
Non-cash unit-based compensation expense	(1)	(23)		
Unrealized losses on commodity risk management activities	(60)	(75)		
Inventory valuation adjustments	(13)	(34)		
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	61	57		
Adjusted EBITDA related to unconsolidated affiliates	(219)	(146)		
Other, net	(6)	_		
Income before income tax expense	\$ 281	\$ 233		
	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015		
Assets:				
Investment in ETP	\$ 64,315	\$ 65,173		
Investment in Sunoco LP	8,803	8,842		
Investment in Lake Charles LNG	1,405	1,369		
Corporate and Other	656	638		
Adjustments and Eliminations	(2,414)	(4,833)		
Total assets	\$ 72,765	\$ 71,189		

	 Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2016		2015	
Revenues:				
Investment in ETP:				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 4,434	\$	10,326	
Intersegment revenues	47		_	
	4,481		10,326	
Investment in Sunoco LP:				
Revenues from external customers	3,199		4,342	
Intersegment revenues	3		_	
	3,202		4,342	
Investment in Lake Charles LNG:				
Revenues from external customers	49		54	
Adjustments and Eliminations	(50)		(4,342)	
Total revenues	\$ 7,682	\$	10,380	

The following tables provide revenues, grouped by similar products and services, for our reportable segments. These amounts include intersegment revenues for transactions between ETP, Sunoco LP and Lake Charles LNG.

Investment in ETP

		Three Months Ended March 31,				
	201	2016				
Intrastate Transportation and Storage	\$	446	\$	541		
Interstate Transportation and Storage		254		271		
Midstream		527		749		
Liquids Transportation and Services		829		812		
Investment in Sunoco Logistics		1,729		2,526		
Retail Marketing		_		4,782		
All Other		696		645		
Total revenues		4,481		10,326		
Less: Intersegment revenues		47		_		
Revenues from external customers	\$	4,434	\$	10,326		

Investment in Sunoco LP

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2016			2015	
Retail operations	\$	1,675	\$	1,888	
Wholesale operations		1,527		2,454	
Total revenues		3,202		4,342	
Less: Intersegment revenues		3		_	
Revenues from external customers	\$	3,199	\$	4,342	

Investment in Lake Charles LNG

Lake Charles LNG's revenues for all periods presented were related to LNG terminalling.

14. SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION

Following are the financial statements of the Parent Company, which are included to provide additional information with respect to the Parent Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows on a stand-alone basis:

BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited)

ASSETS	Marc	March 31, 2016		ember 31, 2015
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	8	\$	1
Accounts receivable from related companies		37		34
Other current assets		1		_
Total current assets		46		35
Property, plant and equipment, net		27		20
Advances to and investments in unconsolidated affiliates		5,106		5,764
Intangible assets, net		5		6
Goodwill		9		9
Other non-current assets, net		10		10
Total assets	\$	5,203	\$	5,844
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable to related companies	\$	44	\$	111
Interest payable		85		66
Accrued and other current liabilities		6		1
Total current liabilities		135		178
Long-term debt, less current maturities		6,439		6,332
Note payable to affiliate		315		265
Other non-current liabilities		_		1
Commitments and contingencies				
Partners' capital:				
General Partner		(2)		(2)
Limited Partners:				
Common Unitholders		(1,684)		(952)
Class D Units		_		22
Series A Convertible Preferred Units				
Total partners' capital		(1,686)		(932)
Total liabilities and partners' capital	\$	5,203	\$	5,844

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited)

Three Months Ended

		March 31,			
	20)16		2015	
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES ⁽¹⁾	\$	(37)	\$	(28)	
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):					
Interest expense, net		(81)		(61)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		430		373	
Other, net		_		1	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		312		285	
Income tax benefit		_		1	
NET INCOME	-	312		284	
General Partner's interest in net income		1		1	
Class D Unitholder's interest in net income		_		1	
Limited Partners' interest in net income	\$	311	\$	282	

⁽¹⁾ Includes management fees paid by ETE to ETP.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 2015 NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES 223 198 \$ \$ CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Cash paid for Bakken Pipeline Transaction (817) Contributions to unconsolidated affiliate (65)Capital expenditures (7) (5) Net cash used in investing activities (822)(72)CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from borrowings 105 1,692 Principal payments on debt (865) Proceeds from affiliate 50 54 (244)Distributions to partners (299)Debt issuance costs (11)Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (144)626 INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS 7 2 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period 2 1 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period \$ 8 \$ 4

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Tabular dollar and unit amounts, except per unit data, are in millions)

The following is a discussion of our historical consolidated financial condition and results of operations, and should be read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on February 29, 2016. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that are subject to risk and uncertainties. Actual results may differ substantially from the statements we make in this section due to a number of factors that are discussed in "Part I - Item 1A. Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our," the "Partnership" and "ETE" mean Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries, which include ETP, Sunoco LP and Lake Charles LNG. References to the "Parent Company" mean Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. on a stand-alone basis.

OVERVIEW

At March 31, 2016, our interests in ETP and Sunoco LP consisted of 100% of the respective general partner interests and IDRs, as well as 2.6 million ETP common units, 81.0 million ETP Class H units and 2.2 million Sunoco LP common units held by us or our wholly-owned subsidiaries. We also own 0.1% of Sunoco Partners LLC, the entity that owns the general partner interest and IDRs of Sunoco Logistics, while ETP owns the remaining 99.9% of Sunoco Partners LLC. Additionally, ETE owns 100 ETP Class I Units, the distributions from which offset a portion of IDR subsidies ETE has previously provided to ETP.

Our reportable segments are as follows:

- Investment in ETP, including the consolidated operations of ETP;
- Investment in Sunoco LP; including the consolidated operations of Sunoco LP;
- Investment in Lake Charles LNG, including the operations of Lake Charles LNG, and;
- · Corporate and Other, including the following:
 - activities of the Parent Company; and
 - the goodwill and property, plant and equipment fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the 2004 reverse acquisition of Heritage Propane Partners, L.P.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

WMB Merger

In September 2015, ETE, ETC and WMB entered into a merger agreement. The merger agreement provides that WMB will be merged with and into ETC, with ETC surviving the merger. ETC is a recently formed limited partnership that will elect to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, upon the closing of the merger, and would own the managing member interest in our general partner and limited partner interests in ETE. At the time of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of WMB common stock will be exchanged for (i) \$8.00 in cash and 1.5274 ETC common shares representing limited partner interests in ETC, (ii) 1.8716 ETC common shares, or (iii) \$43.50 in cash.

The closing of the transaction is subject to customary conditions, including the receipt of approval of the merger from WMB's stockholders and all required regulatory approvals, including approval pursuant to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976. Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of these conditions, ETE and WMB anticipate that the transaction will be completed in the first half of 2016.

Completion of the merger is subject to satisfaction or waiver of a condition that each of ETC and WMB have received a tax opinion from Latham to the effect that the WMB Contribution and ETE's issuance of ETE Class E units to ETC should qualify as an exchange to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies (the "721 Opinion"). Latham has recently advised ETE that it has concluded that there is a substantial risk that the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") could successfully assert that the WMB Contribution would not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies based, in part, on the belief that the decline in value of ETE's common units since the merger agreement was executed has increased such risk. In arriving at this conclusion, Latham considered and discussed with ETE claims that the IRS could possibly assert regarding whether the WMB Contribution would not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies, including the possibility that the IRS would successfully disregard the form of the transaction and, therefore, assert that a portion of the cash consideration being paid by ETE for ETC common shares, which cash will be used by ETC to fund the cash consideration in the merger, may be deemed paid by ETE to ETC for the Williams assets in the WMB Contribution. Latham has advised ETE that considering the potential claims that the IRS may successfully assert and the level of uncertainty regarding the ultimate outcome of these claims, Latham would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion were the opinion requested as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The ability of Latham to deliver the 721 Opinion is also dependent on ETE not being treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes if ETE were incorporated. Although ETE has previously received a private letter ruling from the IRS that addressed material legal issues with respect to ETE not being treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes, that private letter ruling was based, in part, on a representation by ETE that is no longer accurate because of the significant decline in the valuation of ETE's direct ownership interests in ETP. Consequently, ETE has submitted an additional private letter ruling request to the IRS for purposes of determining whether ETE is an investment company for federal income tax purposes without regard to this representation. ETE expects to receive a favorable private letter ruling on this from the IRS in May 2016 prior to the closing of the merger; however, if such favorable private letter ruling is not received prior to the closing of the merger, Latham would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion.

After Latham advised ETE that it would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion as described above, ETE consulted not only with Latham, but also with other legal advisors, regarding the risks that the WMB Contribution would not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies. Following those consultations and based on the information available to it, ETE believes that there is a substantial risk that the WMB Contribution will not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies given the risk that the IRS will successfully disregard the form of the transaction and, therefore, assert that a portion of the cash consideration being paid by ETE for ETC common shares, which cash will be used by ETC to fund the cash consideration in the merger, will be deemed paid by ETE to ETC for the WMB assets in the WMB Contribution.

ETE has also considered WMB's views. On advice of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP ("Cravath"), special counsel to WMB in connection with the transaction, WMB has advised ETE that it disagrees with Latham's position and that, assuming ETE is not treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes, it believes the WMB Contribution should be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies. Further, in an effort to address ETE's concerns, WMB has proposed two alternative transaction structures, each of which WMB and Cravath believe fully address ETE's concerns (assuming that ETE is not treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes). On advice of Cravath, WMB believes that the covenants in the merger agreement that require the parties to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the WMB Contribution to qualify as an exchange to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies and to obtain the 721 Opinion require ETE and WMB to restructure the existing transaction in a manner such as WMB has suggested. ETE has advised WMB that it does not believe, after consultation with its legal advisors, that the merger agreement requires ETE to restructure the existing transaction as WMB has proposed. ETE has further advised WMB that, reserving its rights to assert that restructuring of the existing transaction is not required under the merger agreement, it has discussed the alternative transaction structures proposed by WMB and that, after consulting with Latham and the other legal advisors and based on their advice, ETE has concluded that there would remain the substantial risk of material taxation described above if the existing transaction were to be restructured based on either of these alternative transaction structures.

ETE is continuing to evaluate the tax risks referred to above and has expressed its willingness to continue to discuss the matter with WMB. Further, although ETE is fully committed to meeting its obligations under the merger agreement and using its reasonable best efforts to have the ETC registration statement on Form S-4 declared effective so that WMB may proceed to a stockholder meeting to vote on the merger, ETE believes that there is a substantial risk that the closing condition relating to the 721 Opinion will not be met or waived and that the merger will not be consummated. If the closing condition relating to the 721 Opinion is not met or waived, and as a result the merger is not consummated, ETE expects to announce this outcome in a press release and file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC regarding the same. See the section entitled "Risk Factors-There is no assurance when or if the merger will be completed, and ETE believes there is substantial risk that the merger will not be consummated."

Sunoco Retail to Sunoco LP

In March 2016, ETP contributed to Sunoco LP its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business for \$2.23 billion. Sunoco LP paid \$2.20 billion in cash, including a working capital adjustment, and issued 5.7 million Sunoco LP common units. The transaction was effective January 1, 2016.

Series A Convertible Preferred Units

On March 8, 2016, the Partnership completed a private offering of 329.3 million Series A Convertible Preferred Units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership (the "Convertible Units") to certain common unitholders who are "accredited investors" (as defined in Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act) ("Electing Unitholders") who elected to participate in a plan to forgo a portion of their future potential cash distributions on common units participating in the plan for a period of up to nine fiscal quarters, commencing with distributions for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2016 and reinvest those distributions in the Convertible Units. With respect to each quarter for which the declaration date and record date occurs prior to the closing of the merger, or earlier termination of the merger agreement (the "WMB End Date"), each participating common unit will receive the same cash distribution as all other ETE common units up to \$0.11 per unit, which represents approximately 40% of the per unit distribution paid with respect to ETE common units for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (the "Preferred Distribution Amount"), and the holder of such participating common unit will forgo all cash distributions in excess of that amount (other than (i) any non-cash distribution or (ii) any cash distribution that is materially and substantially greater, on a per unit basis, than ETE's most recent regular quarterly distribution, as determined by the ETE general partner (such distributions in clauses (i) and (ii), "Extraordinary Distributions")). With respect to each quarter for which the declaration date and record date occurs after the WMB End Date, each participating common unit will forgo all distributions for each such quarter (other than Extraordinary Distributions), and each Convertible Unit will receive the Preferred Distribution Amount payable in cash prior to any distribution on ETE common units (other than Extraordinary Distributions). At the end of the plan period, which is expected to

The conversion value of each Convertible Unit (the "Conversion Value") on the closing date of the offering is zero. The Conversion Value will increase each quarter in an amount equal to \$0.285, which is the per unit amount of the cash distribution paid with respect to ETE common units for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (the "Conversion Value Cap"), less the cash distribution actually paid with respect to each Convertible Unit for such quarter (or, if prior to the WMB End Date, each participating common unit). Any cash distributions in excess of \$0.285 per ETE common unit, and any Extraordinary Distributions, made with respect to any quarter during the plan period will be disregarded for purposes of calculating the Conversion Value. The Conversion Value will be reflected in the carrying amount of the Convertible Units until the conversion into common units at the end of the plan period.

ETE issued 329,299,267 Convertible Units to the Electing Unitholders at the closing of the offering, which represents the participation by common unitholders with respect to approximately 31.5% of ETE's total outstanding common units. ETE's Chairman, Kelcy L. Warren, participated in the Plan with respect to substantially all of his common units, which represent approximately 18% of ETE's total outstanding common units, and was issued 187,313,942 Convertible Units. In addition, John McReynolds, a director of our general partner and President of our general partner; and Matthew S. Ramsey, a director of our general partner and the general partner of ETP and Sunoco LP and President of the general partner of ETP, participated in the Plan with respect to substantially all of their common units, and Marshall S. McCrea, III, a director of our general partner and the general partner of ETP and Sunoco Logistics and the Group Chief Operating Officer and Chief Commercial Officer of our general partner, participated in the Plan with respect to a substantial portion of his common units. The common units for which Messrs. McReynolds, Ramsey and McCrea elected to participate in the Plan collectively represent approximately 2.2% of ETE's total outstanding common units. ETE issued 21,382,155 Convertible Units to Mr. McReynolds, 51,317 Convertible Units to Mr. Ramsey and 1,112,728 Convertible Units to Mr. McCrea. Mr. Ray Davis, who owns an 18.8% membership interest in our general partner, participated in the Plan with respect to substantially all of his ETE common units, which represents approximately 6.9% of ETE's total outstanding common units, and was issued 72,042,486 Convertible Units. Other than Mr. Davis, no other Electing Unitholder owns a material amount of equity securities of ETE or its affiliates.

Quarterly Cash Distribution

In April 2016, ETE announced its quarterly distribution of \$0.285 per unit (\$1.14 annualized) on ETE common units for the quarter ended March 31, 2016.

Results of Operations

We define Segment Adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion, amortization and other non-cash items, such as non-cash compensation expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities, non-cash impairment charges, losses on extinguishments of debt, gain on deconsolidation and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities include unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments). Segment Adjusted EBITDA reflects amounts for less than wholly owned subsidiaries based on 100% of the subsidiaries' results of operations.

Based on the change in our reportable segments, we have adjusted the presentation of our segment results for the prior years to be consistent with the current year presentation. ETP completed its acquisition of Regency in April 2015; therefore, the Investment in ETP segment amounts have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect Regency for the periods presented. In July 2015, ETE obtained control of Sunoco LP from ETP; therefore, the Investment in Sunoco LP amounts have been retrospectively adjusted.

Consolidated Results

Three Months Ended

	Ividio			
	 2016	2015	•	Change
Segment Adjusted EBITDA:				
Investment in ETP	\$ 1,412	\$ 1,366	\$	46
Investment in Sunoco LP	159	128		31
Investment in Lake Charles LNG	44	49		(5)
Corporate and Other	(37)	(23)		(14)
Adjustments and Eliminations	_	(125)		125
Total	1,578	1,395		183
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(562)	(493)		(69)
Interest expense, net	(427)	(371)		(56)
Losses on interest rate derivatives	(70)	(77)		7
Non-cash unit-based compensation expense	(1)	(23)		22
Unrealized gains (losses) on commodity risk management activities	(60)	(75)		15
Inventory valuation adjustments	(13)	(34)		21
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	61	57		4
Adjusted EBITDA related to unconsolidated affiliates	(219)	(146)		(73)
Other, net	(6)	_		(6)
Income before income tax expense (benefit)	 281	233		48
Income tax (expense) benefit	55	(12)		67
Net income	\$ 336	\$ 221	\$	115

See the detailed discussion of Segment Adjusted EBITDA in "Segment Operating Results" below.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization. Depreciation, depletion and amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same periods last year increased primarily due to additional depreciation and amortization from assets recently placed in service.

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased primarily due to the following:

- an increase of \$20 million of expense recognized by Sunoco LP primarily due to the issuance of \$1.40 billion aggregate principal amount of senior notes in the second quarter of 2015, as well as an increase in the Sunoco LP revolving credit facility;
- an increase of \$27 million of expense recognized by the Parent Company primarily related to the May 2015 issuance of \$1 billion aggregate
 principal amount of its 5.5 % senior notes, higher average outstanding borrowings on the ETE Senior Secured Term Loan during the current period
 and higher average interest rates during the current period; and
- an increase of \$9 million of expense recognized by ETP primarily related to ETP's issuance of senior notes.

Losses on Interest Rate Derivatives. Losses on interest rate derivatives during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 is primarily attributable to the impact on our forward starting swaps locks from the downward shift in the forward LIBOR curve.

Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Commodity Risk Management Activities. See additional discussion of the unrealized gains (losses) on commodity risk management activities included in the discussion of segment results below.

Inventory Valuation Adjustments. Inventory valuation reserve adjustments were recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, for the inventory associated with Sunoco LP, Sunoco Logistics and ETP's retail marketing operations as a result of commodity price changes between periods.

Adjusted EBITDA Related to Unconsolidated Affiliates and Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates. Amounts reflected primarily include our proportionate share of such amounts related to AmeriGas, FEP, HPC, MEP and Citrus.

Other, net. Includes amortization of regulatory assets, certain acquisition related costs and other income and expense amounts.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit). For the three months ended March 31, 2016, the Partnership's effective income tax rate decreased from the prior year primarily due to lower earnings among the Partnership's consolidated corporate subsidiaries. The three months ended March 31, 2016 also reflected a benefit of \$9 million of net state tax benefit attributable to statutory state rate changes resulting from the contribution by ETP to Sunoco LP of its remaining 68.42% interest in Sunoco, LLC and 100% interest in the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business.

Segment Operating Results

Investment in ETP

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2016		2015		Change
Revenues	\$	4,481	\$	10,326	\$	(5,845)
Cost of products sold		2,968		8,496		(5,528)
Gross margin		1,513		1,830		(317)
Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity risk management activities		63		77		(14)
Operating expenses, excluding non-cash compensation expense		(348)		(611)		263
Selling, general and administrative, excluding non-cash compensation expense		(85)		(134)		49
Inventory valuation adjustments		26		34		(8)
Adjusted EBITDA related to unconsolidated affiliates		219		146		73
Other		24		24		_
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$	1,412	\$	1,366	\$	46

Segment Adjusted EBITDA. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period last year, Segment Adjusted EBITDA related to the Investment in ETP increased due to the net impact of the following:

- an increase of \$128 million from Sunoco Logistics due to:
 - an increase of \$64 million from Sunoco Logistics' crude oil operations, primarily due to utilization of storage capabilities to capture the contango market structure. Of the increase, \$60 million is attributable to LIFO inventory accounting during falling prices, which is expected to reverse in future periods, and \$4 million is attributable to increases in crude oil operations compared to same period last year:
 - an increase of \$46 million from Sunoco Logistics' NGLs operations, primarily due to increased volumes and fees from Sunoco Logistics' Mariner NGLs projects of \$38 million, which includes Sunoco Logistics' Nederland and Marcus Hook facilities. Higher volumes related to Sunoco Logistics' NGLs acquisition and marketing activities and the absence of unfavorable LIFO inventory accounting contributed\$7 million to the increase; and
 - an increase of \$18 million from Sunoco Logistics' refined products operations, primarily due to increased operating results from Sunoco Logistics' refined products pipelines of \$9 million, which was largely attributable to the commencement of operations on Sunoco Logistics' Allegheny Access project in 2015. Improved earnings from Sunoco Logistics' refined products acquisition and marketing activities of \$8 million and increased contributions from Sunoco Logistics' refined products joint ventures of \$1 million also contributed to the improvement.
- an increase of \$58 million in ETP's liquids transportation and services operations, primarily attributable to higher volumes transported out of all major producing regions, including the Permian, North Texas, Southeast Texas, Eagle Ford, and Louisiana; and
- an increase of \$2 million in ETP's intrastate transportation and storage operations due to lower operating expenses and lower general and administrative expenses; partially offset by
- a decrease of \$47 million in ETP's midstream operations primarily attributable to a \$29 million decrease in non-fee based margins due to lower natural gas, crude oil and NGL prices and a \$22 million decrease in from realized gains and losses

on derivatives. In addition, midstream operating expenses increased by \$7 million primarily due to assets were recently placed in service, and midstream general and administrative expenses increased by \$9 million primarily due to a higher allocation of costs to the midstream operations. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by a \$4 million increase in fee-based revenues due to increased production and increased capacity from assets recently placed in service and a \$5 million increase in adjusted EBITDA related to unconsolidated affiliates due to increased volumes through ETP's unconsolidated joint ventures;

- a decrease of \$72 million in ETP's retail marketing operations as a result of ETP's transfer of the general partner interest of Sunoco LP to ETE and the completion of the dropdown of remaining Retail Marketing interests from ETP to Sunoco LP in March 2016; and
- a decrease of approximately \$14 million in ETP's all other operations, primarily due to unfavorable results from the natural resources operations.

Unrealized Losses on Commodity Risk Management Activities. Unrealized losses on commodity risk management activities primarily reflected the net impact from unrealized gains and losses on natural gas storage and non-storage derivatives, as well as fair value adjustments to inventory. For the three and three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same periods last year, the changes included \$11 million and \$3 million of increases from unrealized losses related to derivatives from ETP's midstream operations and intrastate transportation and storage services operations, respectively, partially offset by a decrease of \$2 million related to ETP's Investment in Sunoco Logistics operations.

Operating Expenses, Excluding Non-Cash Compensation Expense. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same periods last year, ETP's operating expenses decreased \$271 million as a result of ETP's deconsolidation of Sunoco LP and the remainder of its retail marketing operations.

Selling, General and Administrative, Excluding Non-Cash Compensation Expense. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period last year, ETP's selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$49 million as a result of ETP's deconsolidation of Sunoco LP and the remainder of its retail marketing operations in addition to a \$22 million decrease in other operations as a result of a reduction in allocations and lower employee related expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Adjusted EBITDA Related to Unconsolidated Affiliates. Adjusted EBITDA related to unconsolidated affiliates for the three months ending March 31, 2016 increased compared to the same period last year primarily due to \$57 million of adjusted EBITDA related to Sunoco LP, which is an equity method investment subsequent to July 1, 2015 as a result of ETP's deconsolidation.

Investment in Sunoco LP

	Three Moi Marc			
	 2016	2015		Change
Revenues	\$ 3,202	\$ 4	1,342	\$ (1,140)
Cost of products sold	2,703	3	3,901	(1,198)
Gross margin	 499		441	58
Operating expenses, excluding non-cash compensation expense	(282)		(264)	(18)
Selling, general and administrative, excluding non-cash compensation				
expense	(42)		(44)	2
Inventory fair value adjustments	(13)		(7)	(6)
Unrealized losses on commodity risk management activities	(3)		2	(5)
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 159	\$	128	\$ 31

The Investment in Sunoco LP segment reflects the results of Sunoco LP for all periods presented. Sunoco LP obtained control of Sunoco, LLC in April 2015, Susser in July 2015 and the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business in March 2016. Because these entities were under common control, Sunoco LP recast its financial statements to retrospectively consolidate each of the entities beginning August 29, 2014. The segment results above are presented on the same basis as Sunoco LP's standalone financial statements; therefore, the segment results above also include Sunoco, LLC, Susser and the legacy Sunoco, Inc. retail business beginning August 29, 2014. Sunoco, LLC and Susser were also consolidated by ETP until April 2015 and July 2015, respectively; therefore, the results from those entities are reflected in both the Investment in ETP and the Investment in Sunoco LP segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015. ETE's consolidated results reflect the elimination of Sunoco, LLC, Susser and the legacy Sunoco,

Inc. retail business for the periods during which those entities were included in the consolidated results of both ETP and Sunoco LP. In addition, subsequent to July 2015, ETP holds an equity method investment in Sunoco, LLC, the equity in earnings from which is also eliminated in ETE's consolidated financial statements.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA. The increase in Segment Adjusted EBITDA the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to the same period in the prior year is primarily due to an increase in gross margin on wholesale motor fuels related to the Sunoco LLC business and an increases in the gross margin on retail motor fuel sales and merchandise sales, both primarily related to the Stripes business.

Investment in Lake Charles LNG

	Three Months Ended March 31,						
		2016		2015		Change	
Revenues	\$	49	\$	54	\$		(5)
Operating expenses, excluding non-cash compensation expense		(4)		(4)			_
Selling, general and administrative, excluding non-cash compensation							
expense		(1)		(1)			—
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$	44	\$	49	\$		(5)

Lake Charles LNG derives all of its revenue from a long-term contract with BG Group plc.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

Parent Company Only

The Parent Company's principal sources of cash flow are derived from its direct and indirect investments in the limited partner and general partner interests in ETP and Sunoco LP and cash flows from the operations of Lake Charles LNG. The amount of cash that our subsidiaries distribute to their respective partners, including the Parent Company, each quarter is based on earnings from their respective business activities and the amount of available cash, as discussed below. In connection with previous transactions, we have relinquished a portion of incentive distributions to be received, and we may agree to do so in the future, in connection with transactions or otherwise.

The Parent Company's primary cash requirements are for general and administrative expenses, debt service requirements and distributions to its partners. The Parent Company currently expects to fund its short-term needs for such items with its distributions from ETP, Sunoco LP and Lake Charles LNG. The Parent Company distributes its available cash remaining after satisfaction of the aforementioned cash requirements to its unitholders on a quarterly basis.

We expect our subsidiaries to utilize their resources, along with cash from their operations, to fund their announced growth capital expenditures and working capital needs; however, the Parent Company may issue debt or equity securities from time to time, as we deem prudent to provide liquidity for new capital projects of our subsidiaries or for other partnership purposes.

ETP

ETP's ability to satisfy its obligations and pay distributions to its unitholders will depend on its future performance, which will be subject to prevailing economic, financial, business and weather conditions, and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of ETP's management.

ETP currently expects capital expenditures in 2016 to be within the following ranges:

	Growth			Maintenance			ce
	 Low		High		Low		High
Direct ⁽¹⁾ :							
Intrastate transportation and storage ⁽²⁾	\$ 25	\$	35	\$	30	\$	35
Interstate transportation and storage ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	200		240		110		115
Midstream	1,050		1,100		130		140
Liquids transportation and services:							
NGL	975		1,025		20		25
Crude ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	350		400		_		_
All other (including eliminations)	65		75		25		30
Total direct capital expenditures	2,665		2,875		315		345

⁽¹⁾ Direct capital expenditures exclude those funded by our publicly traded subsidiary.

The assets used in ETP's natural gas and liquids operations, including pipelines, gathering systems and related facilities, are generally long-lived assets and do not require significant maintenance capital expenditures. Accordingly, ETP does not have any significant financial commitments for maintenance capital expenditures in its businesses. From time to time ETP experiences increases in pipe costs due to a number of reasons, including but not limited to, delays from mills, limited selection of mills capable of producing large diameter pipe in a timely manner, higher steel prices and other factors beyond ETP's control. However, ETP included these factors in its anticipated growth capital expenditures for each year.

ETP generally funds its maintenance capital expenditures and distributions with cash flows from operating activities. ETP generally funds growth capital expenditures with proceeds of borrowings under the ETP Credit Facility, long-term debt, the issuance of additional ETP common units, dropdown proceeds or the monetization of non-core assets or a combination thereof.

Sunoco LP

Sunoco LP's ability to satisfy its obligations and pay distributions to its unitholders will depend on its future performance, which will be subject to prevailing economic, financial, business and weather conditions, and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of Sunoco LP's management.

Sunoco LP currently expects to make capital expenditures in the following amounts:

	Growth (1)			Main	enanc	ce	
	Low			High	Low		High
Year ended December 31, 2016	\$	390	\$	420	\$ 100	\$	110

⁽¹⁾ The above growth capital spending estimate includes 35 to 40 new-to-industry stores that are planned to be built in 2016.

Cash Flows

Our internally generated cash flows may change in the future due to a number of factors, some of which we cannot control. These include regulatory changes, the price for our operating entities products and services, the demand for such products and services, margin requirements resulting from significant changes in commodity prices, operational risks, the successful integration of acquisitions and other factors.

⁽²⁾ Net of amounts forecasted to be financed at the asset level with non-recourse debt of approximately \$1.21 billion.

⁽³⁾ Includes capital expenditures related to our proportionate ownership of the Bakken, Bayou Bridge and Rover pipeline projects. .

Operating Activities

Changes in cash flows from operating activities between periods primarily result from changes in earnings (as discussed in "Results of Operations" above), excluding the impacts of non-cash items and changes in operating assets and liabilities. Non-cash items include recurring non-cash expenses, such as depreciation, depletion and amortization expense and non-cash unit-based compensation expense. The increase in depreciation, depletion and amortization expense during the periods presented primarily resulted from the construction and acquisition of assets, while changes in non-cash compensation expense resulted from changes in the number of units granted and changes in the grant date fair value estimated for such grants. Cash flows from operating activities also differ from earnings as a result of non-cash charges that may not be recurring such as impairment charges and allowance for equity funds used during construction. The allowance for equity funds used during construction increases in periods when we have significant amount of interstate pipeline construction in progress. Changes in operating assets and liabilities between periods result from factors such as the changes in the value of price risk management assets and liabilities, timing of accounts receivable collection, payments on accounts payable, the timing of purchases and sales of inventories, and the timing of advances and deposits received from customers.

Three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to three months ended March 31, 2015. Cash provided by operating activities during 2016 was \$985 million as compared to \$575 million for 2015. Net income was \$336 million and \$221 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively. The difference between net income and the net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2016 primarily consisted of net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$90 million and non-cash items totaling \$475 million.

The non-cash activity in 2016 and 2015 consisted primarily of depreciation, depletion and amortization of \$562 million and \$493 million, respectively, unit-based compensation expense of \$1 million and \$23 million, respectively, and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates of \$61 million and \$57 million, respectively. Non-cash activity in 2016 and 2015 also included deferred income taxes of \$46 million and \$20 million, respectively.

Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized, was \$427 million and \$387 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Capitalized interest was \$57 million and \$32 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Investing Activities

Cash flows from investing activities primarily consist of cash amounts paid in acquisitions, capital expenditures, cash distributions from our joint ventures, and cash proceeds from sales or contributions of assets or businesses. Changes in capital expenditures between periods primarily result from increases or decreases in growth capital expenditures to fund construction and expansion projects.

Three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to three months ended March 31, 2015. Cash used in investing activities during 2016 was \$1.94 billion as compared to \$2.59 billion for 2015. Total capital expenditures (excluding the allowance for equity funds used during construction and net of contributions in aid of construction costs) for 2016 were \$1.94 billion. This compares to total capital expenditures (excluding the allowance for equity funds used during construction and net of contributions in aid of construction costs) for 2015 of \$2.15 billion. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we paid cash for acquisitions of \$370 million, we paid \$129 million for the purchase of noncontrolling interest and we received \$64 million in proceeds from the sale of noncontrolling interest.

Financing Activities

Changes in cash flows from financing activities between periods primarily result from changes in the levels of borrowings and equity issuances, which are primarily used to fund acquisitions and growth capital expenditures. Distribution increases between the periods were based on increases in distribution rates, increases in the number of common units outstanding at our subsidiaries and increases in the number of our common units outstanding.

Three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to three months ended March 31, 2015. Cash provided by financing activities during 2016 was \$1.16 billion as compared to \$3.03 billion for 2015. In 2016, ETP received \$363 million in net proceeds from offerings of their common units as compared to \$135 million in 2015. In 2016, Sunoco Logistics received \$301 million in net proceeds from offerings of their common units as compared to \$689 million in 2015. During 2016, we had a consolidated net increase in our debt level of \$1.33 billion as compared to a net increase of \$2.79 billion for 2015. We have paid distributions of \$299 million and \$244 million to our partners in 2016 and in 2015, respectively. Our subsidiaries have paid distributions to noncontrolling interest of \$658 million and \$565 million in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Description of Indebtedness

Our outstanding consolidated indebtedness was as follows:

	March 31, 2016		Decem	ber 31, 2015
Parent Company Indebtedness:				
ETE Senior Secured Notes	\$	3,337	\$	3,337
ETE Senior Secured Term Loan, due December 2, 2019		2,190		2,190
ETE Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility		965		860
Subsidiary Indebtedness:				
ETP Senior Notes		19,439		19,439
Panhandle Senior Notes		1,085		1,085
Sunoco, Inc. Senior Notes		465		465
Sunoco Logistics Senior Notes (1)		4,975		4,975
Transwestern Senior Notes		782		782
Sunoco LP Senior Notes		1,400		1,400
Sunoco LP Term Loan		2,035		_
Revolving Credit Facilities:				
ETP \$3.75 billion Revolving Credit Facility due November 2019		4		1,362
Sunoco Logistics \$2.50 billion Revolving Credit Facility due March 2020		942		562
Sunoco LP \$1.5 billion Revolving Credit Facility due March 2020		675		450
Other Long-Term Debt		156		157
Unamortized premiums and fair value adjustments, net		130		141
Deferred debt issuance costs		(249)		(237)
Total		38,331		36,968
Less: Current maturities of long-term debt		930		131
Long-term debt and notes payable, less current maturities	\$	37,401	\$	36,837

⁽¹⁾ Sunoco Logistics' 6.125% senior notes due May 15, 2016 were classified as long-term debt as of March 31, 2016 as Sunoco Logistics has the ability and intent to refinance such borrowings on a long-term basis.

Sunoco LP Term Loan and Senior Notes

In March, 2016, Sunoco LP entered into a term loan agreement which provides secured financing in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$2.035 billion due 2019. The full amount was borrowed by Sunoco LP as of March 31, 2016. Amounts borrowed under the term loan bear interest at either LIBOR or base rate plus an applicable margin based on Sunoco LP's election for each interest period. The proceeds were used to fund a portion of the ETP dropdown and to pay fees and expenses incurred in connection with the ETP dropdown and the term loan.

In April 2016, Sunoco LP issued \$800 million aggregate principal amount of 6.25% Senior Notes due 2021. The net proceeds of \$789 million were used to repay a portion of the borrowings under its term loan facility.

Revolving Credit Facilities

Parent Company Credit Facility

Indebtedness under the Parent Company Credit Facility is secured by all of the Parent Company's and certain of its subsidiaries' tangible and intangible assets, but is not guaranteed by any of the Parent Company's subsidiaries.

As of March 31, 2016, we had \$965 million outstanding borrowings under the Parent Company Credit Facility and the amount available for future borrowings was \$535 million.

ETP Credit Facility

The ETP Credit Facility allows for borrowings of up to \$3.75 billion and expires in November 2019. The indebtedness under the ETP Credit Facility is unsecured and not guaranteed by any of ETP's subsidiaries and has equal rights to holders of our current and future unsecured debt. As of March 31, 2016, the ETP Credit Facility had \$4 million of outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco Logistics Credit Facilities

Sunoco Logistics maintains a \$2.5 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility"), which matures in March 2020. The Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility contains an accordion feature, under which the total aggregate commitment may be increased to \$3.25 billion under certain conditions. As of March 31, 2016, the Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility had \$942 million of outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco LP Credit Facility

Sunoco LP maintains a \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility (the "Sunoco LP Credit Facility"), which expires in September 2019. The Sunoco LP Credit Facility can be increased from time to time upon Sunoco LP's written request, subject to certain conditions, up to an additional \$250 million. As of March 31, 2016, the Sunoco LP Credit Facility had \$675 million of outstanding borrowings.

Covenants Related to Our Credit Agreements

We and our subsidiaries were in compliance with all requirements, tests, limitations, and covenants related to our respective credit agreements as of March 31, 2016.

CASH DISTRIBUTIONS

Cash Distributions Paid by the Parent Company

Under the Parent Company Partnership Agreement, the Parent Company will distribute all of its Available Cash, as defined, within 50 days following the end of each fiscal quarter. Available Cash generally means, with respect to any quarter, all cash on hand at the end of such quarter less the amount of cash reserves that are necessary or appropriate in the reasonable discretion of the General Partner that is necessary or appropriate to provide for future cash requirements.

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by us subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	 Rate
December 31, 2015	February 4, 2016	February 19, 2016	\$ 0.2850
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 19, 2016	0.2850

The total amounts of distributions declared and/or paid during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (all from Available Cash from operating surplus and are shown in the period with respect to which they relate):

		Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2016		2015			
Limited Partners	\$ 2	40 \$	264			
General Partner interest		1	1			
Class D units		_	1			
Total Parent Company distributions	\$ 2	41 \$	266			

Cash Distributions Received by the Parent Company

The Parent Company's cash available for distributions historically has been primarily generated from its direct and indirect interests in ETP and Sunoco LP. Lake Charles LNG also contributes to the Parent Company's cash available for distributions.

As the holder of ETP's IDRs, the Parent Company is entitled to an increasing share of ETP's total distributions above certain target levels. The following table summarizes the target levels (as a percentage of total distributions on common units, IDRs and the general partner interest). The percentage reflected in the table includes only the percentage related to the IDRs and excludes

distributions to which the Parent Company would also be entitled through its direct or indirect ownership of ETP's general partner interest, Class H units, Class I units and a portion of the outstanding ETP common units.

	Percentage of Total Distributions to IDRs	Quarterly Distribution Rate Target Amounts
Minimum quarterly distribution	<u>—</u> %	\$0.25
First target distribution	—%	\$0.25 to \$0.275
Second target distribution	13%	\$0.275 to \$0.3175
Third target distribution	23%	\$0.3175 to \$0.4125
Fourth target distribution	48%	Above \$0.4125

The total amount of distributions to the Parent Company from its limited partner interests, general partner interest and incentive distributions (shown in the period to which they relate) for the periods ended as noted below is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2016		2015	
Distributions from ETP:				
Limited Partner interests	\$ 3	\$	24	
Class H Units	83		56	
General Partner interest	8		8	
IDRs	331		300	
IDR relinquishments net of Class I Unit distributions	 (34)		(27)	
Total distributions from ETP	391		361	
Distributions from Sunoco LP (1)	21		_	
Total distributions received from subsidiaries	\$ 412	\$	361	

¹⁾ Effective July 1, 2015, ETE acquired 100% of the membership interests of Sunoco GP, the general partner of Sunoco LP, and all of the IDRs of Sunoco LP from ETP.

ETE agreed to relinquish its right to the following amounts of incentive distributions in future periods, including distributions on Class I Units.

	Total Year
2016 (remainder)	\$ 103
2017	128
2018	105
2019	95

ETE may agree to relinquish its rights to additional amounts of incentive distributions in future periods. Please see "Risk Factors — ETE may agree to relinquish its rights to a portion of its incentive distributions in future periods without the consent of ETE unitholders."

Cash Distributions Paid by Subsidiaries

Certain of our subsidiaries are required by their respective partnership agreements to distribute all cash on hand at the end of each quarter, less appropriate reserves determined by the board of directors of their respective general partners.

Cash Distributions Paid by ETP

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by ETP subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 8, 2016	February 16, 2016	\$ 1.0550
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 16, 2016	1.0550

The total amounts of ETP distributions declared during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (all from Available Cash from ETP's operating surplus and are shown in the period with respect to which they relate):

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 2015		
Limited Partners:				
Common Units	\$	529	\$	489
Class H Units		83		56
General Partner interest		8		8
IDRs		331		300
IDR relinquishments net of Class I Unit distributions		(34)		(27)
Total ETP distributions	\$	917	\$	826

Cash Distributions Paid by Sunoco Logistics

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco Logistics subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 8, 2016	February 12, 2016	\$ 0.4790
March 31, 2016	May 9, 2016	May 13, 2016	0.4890

Sunoco Logistics is required by its partnership agreement to distribute all cash on hand at the end of each quarter, less appropriate reserves determined by its general partner.

The total amounts of Sunoco Logistics distributions declared during the periods presented were as follows (all from Available Cash from Sunoco Logistics' operating surplus and are shown in the period with respect to which they relate):

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2016 2015			2015
Limited Partners:				
Common units held by public	\$	107	\$	75
Common units held by ETP		33		28
General Partner interest held by ETP		3		3
Incentive distributions held by ETP		89		59
Total distributions declared	\$	232	\$	165

Cash Distributions Paid by Sunoco LP

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco LP subsequent to December 31, 2015:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2015	February 5, 2016	February 16, 2016	\$ 0.8013
March 31, 2016	May 6, 2016	May 16, 2016	0.8173

The total amounts of Sunoco LP distributions declared during the periods presented were as follows (all from Available Cash from Sunoco LP's operating surplus and are shown in the period with respect to which they relate):

		Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2	016		2015
Limited Partners:				
Common units held by public	\$	41	\$	13
Common and subordinated units held by ETP		35		10
Common and subordinated units held by ETE		2		_
General Partner interest and Incentive distributions		20		2
Total distributions declared	\$	98	\$	25

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in Item 3 updates, and should be read in conjunction with, information set forth in Part II, Item 7A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, in addition to the accompanying notes and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations presented in Items 1 and 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are consistent with those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. Since December 31, 2015, there have been no material changes to our primary market risk exposures or how those exposures are managed.

Commodity Price Risk

The table below summarizes our commodity-related financial derivative instruments and fair values, including derivatives related to our consolidated subsidiaries, as well as the effect of an assumed hypothetical 10% change in the underlying price of the commodity. Notional volumes are presented in MMBtu for natural gas, thousand megawatt for power and barrels for natural gas liquids, crude and refined products. Dollar amounts are presented in millions.

		March 31, 2016		December 31, 2015		
	Notional Volume	Fair Value Asset (Liability)	Effect of Hypothetical 10% Change	Notional Volume	Fair Value Asset (Liability)	Effect of Hypothetical 10% Change
Mark-to-Market Derivatives						
(Trading)						
Natural Gas (MMBtu):						
Fixed Swaps/Futures	1,712,500	\$ —	\$ —	(602,500)	\$ (1)	\$ —
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX (1)	63,825,000	(5)	1	(31,240,000)	(1)	_
Power (Megawatt):						
Forwards	(344,954)	(4)	2	357,092	_	2
Futures	2,675,597	_	_	(109,791)	2	_
Options — Puts	(227,600)	_	_	260,534	_	_
Options — Calls	1,011,600	2	_	1,300,647	_	3
Crude (Bbls):						
Futures	(616,000)	7	3	(591,000)	4	3
Options — Puts	(300,000)	_	_	_	_	_
Options — Calls	300,000	_	_	_	_	_
(Non-Trading)						
Natural Gas (MMBtu):						
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(9,175,000)	2	_	(6,522,500)	_	_
Swing Swaps IFERC	105,170,000	(1)	_	71,340,000	(1)	_
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(6,862,500)	13	5	(14,380,000)	(1)	5
Forward Physical Contracts	26,156,570	3	_	21,922,484	4	5
Natural Gas Liquid and Crude (Bbls) —						
Forwards/Swaps	(6,273,000)	1	13	(8,146,800)	10	13
Refined Products (Bbls) — Futures	(2,846,000)	2	18	(1,289,000)	8	11
Corn (Bushels) - Futures	122,000	_	2	1,185,000	_	1
Fair Value Hedging Derivatives						
(Non-Trading)						
Natural Gas (MMBtu):						
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(23,215,000)	_	_	(37,555,000)	_	_

⁽¹⁾ Includes aggregate amounts for open positions related to Houston Ship Channel, Waha Hub, NGPL TexOk, West Louisiana Zone and Henry Hub locations.

(37,555,000)

73

9

(23,215,000)

The fair values of the commodity-related financial positions have been determined using independent third party prices, readily available market information and appropriate valuation techniques. Non-trading positions offset physical exposures to the cash market; none of these offsetting physical exposures are included in the above tables. Price-risk sensitivities were calculated by assuming a theoretical 10% change (increase or decrease) in price regardless of term or historical relationships between the contractual price of the instruments and the underlying commodity price. Results are presented in absolute terms and represent a potential gain or loss in net income or in other comprehensive income. In the event of an actual 10% change in prompt month natural gas prices, the fair value of our total derivative portfolio may not change by 10% due to factors such as when the financial instrument settles and the location to which the financial instrument is tied (i.e., basis swaps) and the relationship between prompt month and forward months.

Interest Rate Risk

Fixed Swaps/Futures

As of March 31, 2016, we and our subsidiaries had \$9.08 billion of floating rate debt outstanding. A hypothetical change of 100 basis points would result in a maximum potential change to interest expense of \$91 million annually; however, our actual change in interest expense may be less in a given period due to interest rate floors included in our variable rate debt instruments. We

manage a portion of our interest rate exposure by utilizing interest rate swaps, including forward-starting interest rate swaps to lock-in the rate on a portion of anticipated debt issuances.

The following table summarizes our interest rate swaps outstanding (dollars in millions), none of which are designated as hedges for accounting purposes:

			Notional Amo	unt Outstan	ıding
Term	Type (1)	Ma	rch 31, 2016	Decem	ber 31, 2015
July 2016 ⁽²⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.80% and receive a floating rate	\$	200	\$	200
July 2017 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.84% and receive a floating rate		300		300
July 2018 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 4.00% and receive a floating rate		200		200
December 2018	Pay a floating rate based on a 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.53%		1,200		1,200
	Pay a floating rate based on a 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of				
March 2019	1.42%		300		300
July 2019 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.25% and receive a floating rate		200		200

- (1) Floating rates are based on 3-month LIBOR.
- (2) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have a term of 10 and 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.
- 3) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have terms of 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.

A hypothetical change of 100 basis points in interest rates for these interest rate swaps would result in a net change in the fair value of interest rate derivatives and earnings (recognized in gains and losses on interest rate derivatives) of \$185 million as of March 31, 2016. For ETP's \$1.50 billion of interest rate swaps whereby it pays a floating rate and receives a fixed rate, a hypothetical change of 100 basis points in interest rates would result in a net change in annual cash flows of \$46 million. For the forward-starting interest rate swaps, a hypothetical change of 100 basis points in interest rates would not affect cash flows until the swaps are settled.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us, including our consolidated entities, in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Under the supervision and with the participation of senior management, including the President ("Principal Executive Officer") and the Chief Financial Officer ("Principal Financial Officer") of our General Partner, we evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a–15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, the Principal Executive Officer and the Principal Financial Officer of our General Partner concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2016 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer of our General Partner, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls, other than those discussed above, over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13(a)-15(f) or Rule 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the three months ended March 31, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For information regarding legal proceedings, see our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and Note 10 – Regulatory Matters, Commitments, Contingencies and Environmental Liabilities of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and Subsidiaries included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

ETE's entry into a merger agreement whereby ETE will acquire WMB presents several risks. Some risks are similar to the risks associated with our existing business that have recently been disclosed. However, certain of those risks represent new risks related to our business or existing risks that have become more significant. The following risk factors should be read in conjunction with our risk factors described in "Part I — Item 1A. Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

There is no assurance when or if the merger will be completed, and ETE believes there is substantial risk that the merger will not be consummated.

Completion of the merger is subject to satisfaction or waiver of a number of conditions that must be satisfied or waived, including the receipt by ETC and WMB of a tax opinion from Latham to the effect that the WMB Contribution and ETE's issuance of ETE Class E units to ETC should qualify as an exchange to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies. Latham has recently advised ETE that it has concluded that there is a substantial risk that the IRS could successfully assert that the WMB Contribution would not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies based, in part, on the belief that the decline in value of ETE's common units since the merger agreement was executed has increased such risk. In arriving at this conclusion, Latham considered and discussed with ETE claims that the IRS could possibly assert regarding whether the WMB Contribution would not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies, including the possibility that the IRS would successfully disregard the form of the transaction and, therefore, assert that a portion of the cash consideration being paid by ETE for ETC common shares, which cash will be used by ETC to fund the cash consideration in the merger, may be deemed paid by ETE to ETC for the WMB assets in the WMB Contribution. Latham has advised ETE that considering the potential claims that the IRS may successfully assert and the level of uncertainty regarding the ultimate outcome of these claims, Latham would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion were the opinion requested as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The ability of Latham to deliver the 721 Opinion is also dependent on ETE not being treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes if ETE were incorporated. Although ETE has previously received a private letter ruling from the IRS that addressed material legal issues with respect to ETE not being treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes, that private letter ruling was based, in part, on a representation by ETE that is no longer accurate because of the significant decline in the valuation of ETE's direct ownership interests in ETP. Consequently, ETE has submitted an additional private letter ruling request to the IRS for purposes of determining whether ETE is an investment company for federal income tax purposes without regard to this representation. ETE expects to receive a favorable private letter ruling on this from the IRS in May 2016 prior to the closing of the merger; however, if such favorable private letter ruling is not received prior to the closing of the merger, Latham would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion.

After Latham advised ETE that it would not be able to deliver the 721 Opinion as described above, ETE consulted not only with Latham, but also with other legal advisors, regarding the risks that the WMB Contribution would not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies. Following those consultations and based on the information available to it, ETE believes that there is a substantial risk that the WMB Contribution will not be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies given the risk that the IRS will successfully disregard the form of the transaction and, therefore, assert that a portion of the cash consideration being paid by ETE for ETC common shares, which cash will be used by ETC to fund the cash consideration in the merger, will be deemed paid by ETE to ETC for the WMB assets in the WMB Contribution.

ETE has also considered WMB's views. On advice of Cravath, special counsel to WMB in connection with the transaction, WMB has advised ETE that it disagrees with Latham's position and that, assuming ETE is not treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes, it believes the WMB Contribution should be a transaction to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies. Further, in an effort to address ETE's concerns, WMB has proposed two alternative transaction structures, each of which WMB and Cravath believe fully address ETE's concerns (assuming that ETE is not treated as an investment company for federal income tax purposes). On advice of Cravath, WMB believes that the covenants in the merger agreement that require the parties to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the WMB Contribution to qualify as an exchange to which Section 721(a) of the Code applies and to obtain the 721 Opinion require ETE and WMB to restructure the existing transaction in a manner such as WMB has suggested. ETE has advised WMB that it does not believe, after consultation with its legal advisors, that the merger agreement requires ETE to restructure the existing transaction as WMB has proposed. ETE has further advised WMB that, reserving its rights to assert that restructuring of the existing transaction is not required under the merger agreement, it has discussed the alternative transaction structures proposed by WMB and that, after consulting with Latham and the other legal advisors and based

on their advice, ETE has concluded that there would remain the substantial risk of material taxation described above if the existing transaction were to be restructured based on either of these alternative transaction structures.

ETE is continuing to evaluate the tax risks referred to above and has expressed its willingness to continue to discuss the matter with WMB. Further, although ETE is fully committed to meeting its obligations under the merger agreement and using its reasonable best efforts to have the ETC registration statement on Form S-4 declared effective so that WMB may proceed to a stockholder meeting to vote on the merger, ETE believes that there is a substantial risk that the closing condition relating to the 721 Opinion will not be met or waived and that the merger will not be consummated. If the closing condition relating to the 721 Opinion is not met or waived, and as a result the merger is not consummated, ETE expects to announce this outcome in a press release and file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC regarding the same.

Additional conditions that must be satisfied or waived include the WMB stockholder approval of the Merger Proposal, the termination of the waiting period applicable to the merger under antitrust laws, the absence of any law or order prohibiting the closing of the merger, the declaration by the SEC of the effectiveness of the registration statement on Form S-4 and the authorization of the listing on the NYSE of the ETC common shares. There can be no assurance that ETC, ETE and WMB will be able to satisfy the closing conditions or that closing conditions beyond their control will be satisfied or waived.

Completion of the merger is also conditioned on the accuracy of representations and warranties made by the parties to the merger agreement (subject to customary materiality qualifiers and other customary exceptions) and the performance in all material respects by the parties of obligations imposed under the merger agreement.

ETE and WMB can mutually agree at any time to terminate the merger agreement, even if WMB stockholders have already voted to approve the merger agreement. ETE and WMB can also terminate the merger agreement under other specified circumstances.

ETE may fail to realize many of the anticipated benefits of the merger.

ETE entered into the merger agreement because it believed that the transaction would be beneficial to WMB and its stockholders, ETC and its shareholders and ETE and its unitholders for a number of reasons, including its identification of commercial synergies it expected would generate EBITDA in excess of \$2 billion per year by 2020 and require over \$5 billion of incremental capital investment to achieve. Since September 28, 2015, as part of ETE and WMB's joint integration planning efforts, ETE and WMB have further analyzed commercial synergy opportunities, given industry conditions and other facts and circumstances, and currently believe that the base case for EBITDA from commercial synergies that is reasonably probable to be achieved is \$126 million per year by 2020. ETE and WMB also anticipate that the net capital savings to be incurred in connection with these commercial synergies would be approximately \$220 million. The ongoing integration efforts to date suggest that a significant portion of the originally anticipated commercial synergies of the proposed combination are unlikely to be achieved, and the achievement of the anticipated benefits of the proposed combination with WMB will depend in part upon whether ETE can integrate the businesses of WMB in an effective and efficient manner. ETE may not be able to accomplish this integration process successfully. The integration of any business may be complex and time-consuming. The difficulties that could be encountered include the following:

- integrating personnel, operations and systems;
- coordinating the geographically dispersed organizations;
- distraction of management and employees from operations and changes in corporate culture;
- retaining existing customers and attracting new customers;
- maintaining business relationships; and
- inefficiencies associated with the integration of the operations of ETC.

In addition, there will be integration costs and non-recurring transaction costs associated with the proposed combination with WMB (such as fees paid to legal, financial, accounting and other advisors and other fees paid in connection with the merger), and such costs may be significant.

The likelihood that ETE will not realize the full extent of the benefits of the proposed combination with WMB originally anticipated by ETE, as well as any delays encountered in the integration process and the realization of such benefits, could have an adverse effect upon the revenues, level of expenses and operating results of ETE, which may adversely affect the value of ETE common units and, in turn, the value of ETC common shares after the completion of the merger.

The completion of the merger may result in ratings organizations and/or securities analysts taking actions that may adversely affect the business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of ETC, ETE and the ETE Entities, as well as the market price of ETC's, ETE's and the ETE Entities' securities.

In connection with the completion of the merger, the sustained low commodity price environment and other facts and circumstances, ratings agencies may reevaluate ETE's ratings. A downgrade may increase ETE's and the ETE Entities' cost of borrowing, may negatively impact ETE's and the ETE Entities' ability to raise additional debt capital, may negatively impact ETE's and the ETE Entities' ability to successfully compete, and may negatively impact the willingness of counterparties to deal with ETE and the ETE Entities, each of which could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of ETC, ETE and the ETE Entities, as well as the market price of ETC's, ETE's and the ETE Entities' securities.

In addition, the trading market for ETC securities will depend, as the trading market for ETE's and the ETE Entities' securities depends, in part on the research and reports that third-party securities analysts publish about ETC, ETE and the ETE Entities and the industry in which ETC participates. In connection with the completion of the merger, one or more of these analysts could downgrade ETC's, ETE's and the ETE Entities' securities or issue other negative commentary about ETC, ETE and the ETE Entities and the industry in which ETC participates, which could cause the trading price of such securities to decline.

As of March 31, 2016, ETE had approximately \$6.44 billion of debt on a stand-alone basis and approximately \$38.33 billion of consolidated debt, excluding the debt of its joint ventures. In addition, in connection with the merger, ETE expects to incur an additional \$6.05 billion of debt to fund the cash consideration for the transaction and to assume approximately \$4.2 billion of debt outstanding under WMB's senior notes. In light of the decline in energy commodity prices and ETE's current leverage and credit profile, there is a risk that the incurrence of such additional debt could adversely affect ETE's credit ratings. Any downgrade in ETE's credit ratings following the transaction could adversely affect the investment grade credit ratings of ETP, SXL and WPZ, and the credit rating of Sunoco. ETP and WPZ currently maintain investment grade ratings by Moody's, S&P and Fitch. However, those current ratings may not remain in effect for any given period of time and a rating may be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in its judgment, circumstances in the future so warrant. If Moody's, S&P or Fitch were to downgrade the long-term rating of any of the ETE Entities, particularly below investment grade, ETE's consolidated borrowing costs could significantly increase, which would adversely affect ETE's consolidated financial results, and the terms of ETE's credit agreements could become significantly more restrictive. The potential pool of investors and funding sources could also decrease. Further, due to the relationship between ETE and the ETE Entities, any downgrade of ETE's credit ratings could also result in a downgrade in one or more of the ETE Entities' credit ratings. ETE's ability to refinance the \$6.05 billion of debt expected to be incurred in connection with the merger or its \$1.5 billion senior secured revolving credit facility, which matures in December 2018, on commercially reasonable terms or at all may also be materially impacted if ETE's access to capital markets becomes limited due to

In addition, WMB has commenced litigation seeking, among other things, to unwind the private offering of Convertible Units. While ETE believes WMB's claims are without merit, ETE believes that if WMB is successful in unwinding the Convertible Units offering, it could have a negative impact on ETE's efforts to maintain its ratings with Moody's, S&P, or Fitch.

Additionally, the merger, if followed by a decrease in the rating of WPZ's outstanding 6.125% Senior Notes due 2022, 4.875% Senior Notes due 2023 and 4.875% Senior Notes due 2024 (collectively, the "Applicable WPZ Notes") by either Moody's or S&P within ninety days of the closing date, will result in a change of control as defined in the indentures governing the Applicable WPZ Notes (the "Applicable WPZ Notes Indentures"). ETE can provide no assurance that a downgrade will not occur. The occurrence of a change of control under the Applicable WPZ Notes Indentures will trigger an obligation for WPZ to offer to purchase all or any part of each series of Applicable WPZ Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of each series of Applicable WPZ Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of repurchase. The aggregate principal amount of the Applicable WPZ Notes is \$2.9 billion. If WPZ is required to repurchase some or all of the Applicable WPZ Notes, its ability to do so would be subject to the same risk factors associated with financing its business.

ETE may agree to relinquish its rights to a portion of its incentive distributions in future periods without the consent of ETE unitholders.

As further described under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Recent Developments," ETE believes that there is a substantial risk that the condition to its obligations to complete the merger with WMB related to the delivery of a tax opinion by Latham & Watkins LLP to the effect that the contribution of WMB's assets and liabilities to ETE and ETE's issuance of Class E units to ETC should qualify as an exchange to which Section 721(a) of the Internal Revenue Code applies will not be satisfied or waived by ETE and that the merger will not be consummated. However, to the extent that such condition is satisfied or waived, and the merger is completed, ETE has stated in the last amendment to ETC's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on May 4, 2016 that, following consummation of the merger, it would be likely to (i) agree to relinquish incentive distributions to which it would otherwise be entitled from each of ETP and WPZ in order to make it

more likely that each of ETP and WPZ would be able to maintain their respective investment grade credit ratings without reducing distributions payable with respect to ETP's or WPZ's common units, and (ii) that such relinquishment would likely result in ETE not making any distributions with respect to its common units for a period of two years. Any such actions could have a material adverse impact on ETE and the trading prices of its common units. There can be no assurances that the board of directors of LE GP will ultimately approve incentive distribution waivers in such amounts or at all or that any incentive distribution waivers would permit ETP or WPZ to maintain their respective investment grade credit ratings (with or without reducing distributions payable with respect to their respective common units).

ETE and WMB do not agree on whether ETE's offering of the Convertible Units without WMB's consent was a violation of the merger agreement, and WMB has filed lawsuits against ETE, LE GP and Kelcy L. Warren in relation thereto.

The merger agreement requires ETE and WMB to obtain the other party's consent to take certain actions prior to the closing of the merger. ETE believes that the terms of the merger agreement permitted ETE to provide the opportunity to participate in the Plan to all of its common unitholders. In order to offer participation in the Plan to all of its common unitholders, ETE would have been required to file a registration statement with the SEC relating to the public offering of the Convertible Units. Such a filing would require the consent of WMB's independent registered accounting firm to the incorporation by reference in the registration statement of its report on WMB's audited financial statements. However, after ETE advised WMB of ETE's intention to pursue a public offering of the Convertible Units pursuant to the Plan, WMB declined to allow its independent registered accounting firm to provide the auditor consent required to be included in a registration statement for a public offering. WMB also advised ETE that WMB believed its consent was required under the merger agreement for the public offering and declined to consent. As a result, ETE determined to conduct a private offering to certain accredited investors that was not subject to the SEC rules requiring the consent of WMB's independent registered accounting firm. ETE believes that both the proposed public offering and the completed private offering are permitted by the terms of the merger agreement and as a result did not request WMB's consent to pursue the private offering.

WMB claims that the Convertible Units offering required WMB's consent under the merger agreement and that by proceeding without WMB's consent, ETE violated the merger agreement. WMB filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware for breach of the merger agreement seeking, among other things, to unwind the private offering of Convertible Units. WMB has also filed a lawsuit against Kelcy Warren, in his capacity as the largest ETE unitholder, in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, for tortious interference with the merger agreement in connection with the Convertible Units offering, seeking damages, among other things. WMB claims that Mr. Warren induced ETE to undertake the Convertible Units offering.

ETE believes that the lawsuits are without merit and intends to vigorously defend its actions. At this preliminary stage, there is no way to predict the outcome of these lawsuits. In addition, the lawsuits could, among other things, adversely affect the business and results of operation, as well as the liquidity, of ETE and the ETE Entities and impact the trading price of ETE common units.

Litigation commenced by WMB against ETE, LE GP and Kelcy Warren could cause ETE to incur substantial costs, may present material distractions and, if decided adverse to ETE, could negatively impact ETE's financial position and credit ratings.

On April 6, 2016, WMB filed a complaint against ETE and LE GP in the Delaware Court of Chancery and a petition against Mr. Warren in the district court of Dallas, Texas, relating to the Convertible Units offering. Among other remedies, WMB seeks to unwind the Convertible Units offering. On May 3, 2016, ETE and LE GP filed an answer and counterclaim to WMB's complaint. These lawsuits could result in substantial costs to ETE, including litigation costs and settlement costs. ETE believes that the time required by the management of ETE and its counsel to defend against the allegations made by WMB in the litigation against ETE and Mr. Warren is likely to be substantial and the time required by Mr. Warren and other officers and employees of LE GP, assuming WMB actively pursues such litigation, is also likely to be substantial. ETE believes that the time spent dealing with this litigation will reduce the time that could be spent dealing with the operational challenges facing ETE as well as the issues presented by the merger. The defense or settlement of any lawsuit or claim that remains unresolved may result in negative media attention, and may adversely affect ETE's business, reputation, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and market price. In addition, since ETE states that it undertook the Convertible Units offering in an effort to maintain its current credit ratings with Moody's, S&P and Fitch, if the Convertible Units offering is unwound, ETE's financial position and credit ratings could be negatively impacted. Immediately after the closing of the merger, the actions against ETE, LE GP and Mr. Warren would be contributed from ETC to ETE as part of the WMB Contribution. Accordingly, after closing, ETE will have the right to determine whether to proceed with such actions based on the best interests of the ETE unitholders and the ETC shareholders at such time.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed below are filed or furnished, as indicated, as part of this report:

Exhibit Number	Description
Other Exhibits	
	Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.
3.1	Amendment No. 5 to the Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. dated as of March 8, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed March 9, 2016)
10.1*	Form of Election Form Relating to the Plan
	Other Exhibits
31.1*	Certification of President pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certification of President pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
*	Filed herewith.
**	Furnished herewith.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P.

By: LE GP, LLC, its General Partner

Date: May 6, 2016 By: /s/ Thomas E. Long

Thomas E. Long Group Chief Financial Officer (duly authorized to sign on behalf of the registrant)

ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P. ELECTION FORM AND LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

The undersigned represents that I (we) have full authority to elect to participate in a plan (the "Plan") offered by Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. (the "Partnership") to make a one-time election to forgo a portion of my (our) future potential cash distributions on the common units listed below for a period of up to nine fiscal quarters, commencing with distributions for the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2016, and reinvest those distributions in the Partnership's Series A Convertible Preferred Units, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the confidential private placement memorandum, dated February 29, 2016 (as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, the "Memorandum"). The Memorandum and this Election Form and Letter of Transmittal, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time (the "Election Form") are referred to as the "Plan Documents."

THE OFFER TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PLAN WILL EXPIRE AT 5:00 P.M., NEW YORK CITY TIME, ON MARCH 3, 2016, UNLESS EXTENDED (SUCH DATE AND TIME, AS IT MAY BE EXTENDED, THE "EXPIRATION DATE").

Mail or deliver this Election Form to Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. as provided below:

Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.
8111 Westchester Drive
Dallas, Texas 75225
Attn: Chief Financial Officer
Email: tom.long@energytransfer.com

PROVIDE BELOW THE DESCRIPTION AND THE NUMBER OF COMMON UNITS FOR WHICH AN ELECTION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PLAN IS BEING MADE.

DESCRIPTION OF COMMON UNITS PARTICIPATING IN THE PLAN					
Name(s) and Address(es) of Registered Holder(s) (If blank, please fill in exactly as name(s) appear(s) on unit certificate(s))	Common Units Participating in the Plan (attached additional list if necessary)				
		Certificated Units**			
	Certificate Number(s)*	Total Number of Common Units Represented by Certificate(s)*	Number of Common Units Participating in the Plan**	Book-Entry Common Units Participating in the Plan	
	Total Common Units Participating in the Plan				

^{*} Need not be completed by book-entry unitholders.

^{**} Unless otherwise indicated, it will be assumed that all common units represented by certificates described above will participate in the Plan.

PLEASE READ THE INSTRUCTIONS ACCOMPANYING THIS ELECTION FORM CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THIS ELECTION FORM.

You have received this Election Form and Letter of Transmittal (as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, this "Election Form") in connection with the offer of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. (the "Partnership") to participate in a Plan (the "Plan") that will allow certain holders of our common units who are "accredited investors" (as defined in Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act")) to make a one-time election to forgo a portion of their future potential cash distributions on common units participating in the Plan ("Participating Common Units") for a period of up to nine fiscal quarters, commencing with distributions for the fiscal quarter ending

March 31, 2016 (the "plan period"), and reinvest those distributions in the Partnership's Series A Convertible Preferred Units (the "Convertible Units"), as described herein and in the confidential private placement memorandum, dated February 29, 2016 (as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, the "Memorandum").

The Convertible Units are a new class of units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership that will automatically convert into common units at the end of the plan period. Participation in the Plan is voluntary and available to certain holders of the Partnership's common units who have returned a questionnaire to us evidencing their status as accredited investors and who validly elect to participate in the Plan (and do not validly revoke their election) (an "Electing Unitholder") prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 3, 2016, unless extended (such time and date, as it may be extended, the "Expiration Date"). The Partnership expects to issue the Convertible Units to Electing Unitholders promptly following the Expiration Date but not later than three business days thereafter (the "Closing Date").

The plan period will end on the first business day following the date that is the earliest of (a) May 18, 2018, (b) the date upon which the Convertible Units would be convertible into a number of common units exceeding the quotient of \$1.0 billion and the closing price of the Partnership's common units on the New York Stock Exchange on the Closing Date,

(c) the date of a change of control of the Partnership (as described in the section of this Memorandum entitled "Description of the Series A Convertible Preferred Units—Mandatory Conversion") and (d) the date of the Partnership's dissolution.

During the plan period and prior to the closing of the Partnership's acquisition of The Williams Companies, Inc. ("WMB"), or earlier termination of the merger agreement relating to such acquisition (the "WMB End Date") (any quarter for which the declaration date and record date fall within such period, an "Initial Quarter"), each Participating Common Unit will receive the same cash distribution as all other common units up to \$0.11 per unit (the "Preferred Distribution Amount") and will forgo cash distributions in excess of that amount (other than Extraordinary Distributions (as defined below)). During the plan period and following the WMB End Date (any quarter for which the declaration date and record date fall within such period, a "Subsequent Quarter"), each Convertible Unit will receive the Preferred Distribution Amount payable in cash prior to any distribution on the Partnership's common units (other than Extraordinary Distributions).

Extraordinary Distributions made with respect to an Initial Quarter or a Subsequent Quarter will be made to our general partner and all of our unitholders, including holders of our Participating Common Units and our Convertible Units, on an as- converted basis, in accordance with their respective percentage interests. "Extraordinary Distributions" means (i) any non- cash distribution or (ii) any cash distribution that is materially and substantially greater, on a per unit basis, than the Partnership's most recent regular quarterly distribution, as determined by its general partner.

Electing Unitholders may not terminate their participation in the Plan or withdraw their Convertible Units or Participating Common Units following the Expiration Date. Electing Unitholders will continue to own the common units that they elect to have participate in the Plan as Participating Common Units and will be entitled to receive additional common units upon conversion of the Convertible Units at the end of the plan period based on the conversion value of such Convertible Units at such date. Accordingly, an Electing Unitholder is not required to deliver any common units that they elect to participate in the Plan to the Partnership. Please see the Memorandum, including the form of partnership agreement amendment attached thereto as Annex A, for a complete description of the designations, preferences and relative, participating or other special rights, powers and duties of the Convertible Units.

The Partnership will not be required to implement the Plan. Prior to the Closing Date, the Partnership may modify or terminate the offering or the Plan (including modifications relating to the terms of the Convertible Units) at any time and will notify participants promptly of any such modification or termination. In the event the Partnership modifies the offering or the Plan (including modifications relating to the terms of the Convertible Units), a common unitholder will have the right to revoke its election to participate in the Plan or change the number of its Participating Common Units as provided in Instruction 3 (Revocation of or Change in Election Form) of this Election Form. The Partnership will not be obligated to allow a common unitholder to participate in the Plan if such unitholder has not made the representations and agreements set forth in this Election Form. The Plan is not conditioned on a minimum number of unitholders or common units participating in the Plan.

If you are delivering your election to participate in the Plan with respect to common units for which you are the registered holder or beneficial holder, you should use this Election Form to deliver to the Partnership your election to participate.

CHECK HERE IF AN ELECTION IS BEING DELIVERED WITH RESPECT TO COMMON UNITS CURRIBANK, BROKER OR OTHER NOMINEE IN BOOK-ENTRY FORM IN AN ACCOUNT MAINTAINED WITCOMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:	
Name of Tendering	
Institution:	
DTC Participant	
Number:	-
Transaction Code	
Number of	

NOTE: SIGNATURES MUST BE PROVIDED BELOW.
PLEASE READ THE ACCOMPANYING INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned hereby agrees to participate in the plan (the "Plan") offered by Energy Transfer Equity,

L.P. (the "Partnership") to make a one-time election to forgo a portion of the undersigned's future potential cash distributions on common units participating in the Plan for a period of up to nine fiscal quarters, commencing with distributions for the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2016, and reinvest those distributions in the Partnership's Series A Convertible Preferred Units (the "Convertible Units"), on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the confidential private placement memorandum, dated February 29, 2016 (as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, the "Memorandum"), receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, and this Election Form and Letter of Transmittal, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time (this "Election Form" and, together with the Memorandum, the "Plan Documents").

The undersigned hereby represents and warrants to the Partnership as follows:

- the undersigned is the registered holder of its Participating Common Units or is a beneficial holder through a participant in The Depository Trust Company (the "DTC") whose name appears on a security position listing as the owner of its Participating Common Units and has full authority to make an election to participate in the Plan with respect to any Participating Common Units;
- the Convertible Units are being acquired for the undersigned's own account, the account of its affiliates or the accounts of clients for whom the undersigned exercises discretionary investment authority (all of whom the undersigned hereby represents and warrants are "accredited investors" (as defined in Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act), not as a nominee or agent, and with no present intention of distributing the Convertible Units or any part thereof, and the undersigned has no present intention of selling or granting any participation in or otherwise distributing the same in any transaction in violation of the securities laws of the United States of America or any state;
- the undersigned (A) is an accredited investor and (B) by reason of its business and financial experience, has such knowledge, sophistication and experience in making similar investments and in business and financial matters generally so as to be capable of evaluating the merits and risks of the undersigned's participation in the Plan and investment in the Convertible Units and common units issuable upon conversion thereof, is able to bear the economic risk of such participation and investment and, at the present time, would be able to afford a complete loss of such investment;
- the undersigned understands that the Convertible Units and common units issuable upon conversion thereof are characterized as "restricted securities" under the federal securities laws inasmuch as they are being acquired from the Partnership in a transaction not involving a public offering and that under such laws and applicable regulations such securities may not be resold absent registration under the Securities Act or an exemption therefrom. In this connection, the undersigned represents that it is knowledgeable with respect to Rule 144 of the Securities and Exchange Commission promulgated under the Securities Act. Further, the undersigned agrees that the Convertible Units will not be transferable until, and the Participating Common Units will not be transferable prior to, the Mandatory Conversion Date without the prior written consent of our general partner. In addition, to the extent ETE issues certificates representing the Convertible Units or common units issuable upon the conversion of the Convertible Units, the undersigned acknowledges that such certificates will include a legend, the form of which is included in the partnership agreement amendment attached as Annex B to the Memorandum, setting forth the above transfer restriction;
- the undersigned will continue to own any common units that it elects to participate in the Plan (either as a registered holder or beneficial holder) as of the Expiration Date unless otherwise agreed to by our general partner;
- the undersigned will not enter into any hedging transaction (including the purchase of any puts, calls or other derivative instruments) with respect to any equity or equity-linked securities of the Partnership during the plan period; and
- the undersigned will, upon request, execute and deliver any additional documents deemed by the Partnership to be necessary or desirable in connection with the undersigned's participation in the Plan.

IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE METHOD OF DELIVERY OF THIS ELECTION FORM IS AT THE OPTION AND RISK OF THE UNDERSIGNED AND THAT THE RISK OF LOSS OF SUCH ELECTION FORM SHALL PASS ONLY AFTER THE PARTNERSHIP HAS ACTUALLY RECEIVED THE ELECTION FORM. IF DELIVERY IS BY MAIL, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THIS ELECTION FORM BE SENT BY PROPERLY INSURED REGISTERED MAIL WITH RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED. IN ALL CASES, SUFFICIENT TIME SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO ENSURE TIMELY DELIVERY.

All authority conferred or agreed to be conferred pursuant to this Election Form shall not be affected by, and shall survive, the death or incapacity of the undersigned and any obligation of the undersigned hereunder shall be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, trustees in bankruptcy, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the undersigned.

The undersigned understands that the acceptance by the Partnership of an Election Form validly delivered, and not properly revoked, prior to the Expiration Date will constitute a binding agreement between the undersigned and the Partnership upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Plan Documents. The undersigned further acknowledges that, if it did not participate in the Plan, its Participating Common Units

(i) would be entitled to receive the same cash distributions as all other common units of the Partnership that are held by holders that do not participate in the Plan, and (ii) would be freely transferable in accordance with the terms of the Partnership's partnership agreement. By electing to participate in the Plan, (i) the undersigned agrees that, upon implementation of the Plan, its Participating Common Units will only be entitled to receive the distributions described in the Plan Documents and will not be transferable prior to the Mandatory Conversion Date without the prior written consent of our general partner, and (ii) the undersigned hereby knowingly and voluntarily waives any right to receive distributions that its Participating Common Units would have otherwise been entitled to receive and agrees not to transfer its Participating Common Units prior to the Mandatory Conversion Date without the prior written consent of our general partner. The undersigned also agrees not to enter into any hedging transaction (including the purchase of any puts, calls or other derivative instruments) with respect to any equity or equity-linked securities of the Partnership during the plan period. The undersigned further acknowledges that the Partnership is relying on the foregoing waiver and agreement in connection with issuing Convertible Units to the undersigned. The undersigned confirms and agrees that, upon implementation of the Plan, the foregoing waiver and agreement is irrevocable.

This Election Form and the terms of the Plan shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Delaware (without regard to principles of conflicts of law). Each provision of this agreement and waiver shall be considered severable and if for any reason any provision or provisions herein are determined to be invalid, unenforceable or illegal under any existing or future law, such invalidity, unenforceability or illegality shall not impair the operation of or affect those portions of this agreement and waiver which are valid, enforceable and legal and such invalid, unenforceable or illegal provisions shall, if possible, be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law.

IMPORTANT — SIGN HERE
(Signature(s) of Unitholder(s))
Dated:
(Must be signed by registered holder(s) or beneficial holder(s) exactly as name(s) appear(s) on unit certificate(s) or on a security position listing or by person(s) authorized to become registered holder(s) or beneficial holder(s) by certificates and documents transmitted herewith. If signature is by trustees, executors, administrators, guardians, attorneys-in-fact, officers of corporations or others acting in a fiduciary or representative capacity, please set forth full title.
Name(s):
(Please Print)
Capacity (full title):
Address:
(Include ZIP Code)
Area Code and Telephone Number:
Tax Identification or Social Security No.:
Acknowledged, accepted and agreed as of 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on March 3, 2016.
ENERGY TRANSFER EQUITY, L.P.
By: LE GP, LLC
its general partner
By:
Name:
Title:

INSTRUCTIONS

Forming Part of the Terms and Conditions of the Offer

1. **Delivery of Election Form.** If you are delivering your election to participate in the Plan with respect to common units for which you are the registered holder or beneficial holder, you should use this Election Form to deliver to the Partnership your election to participate. This Election Form properly completed must be received by the Partnership at the address or email address set forth herein prior to the Expiration Date. Please do not send your common unit certificates to the Partnership.

THE METHOD OF DELIVERY OF THIS ELECTION FORM IS AT THE OPTION AND RISK OF THE UNDERSIGNED AND THE RISK OF LOSS OF SUCH ELECTION FORM SHALL PASS ONLY AFTER THE PARTNERSHIP HAS ACTUALLY RECEIVED THE ELECTION FORM. IF DELIVERY IS BY MAIL, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THIS ELECTION FORM BE SENT BY PROPERLY INSURED REGISTERED MAIL WITH RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED. IN ALL CASES, SUFFICIENT TIME SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO ENSURE TIMELY DELIVERY.

All questions as to the validity, form, eligibility (including time of receipt) and acceptance of any Election Form, and the form and validity (including time of receipt of notices of revocation or changes) of all documents will be determined, as applicable, by the Partnership's general partner (the "general partner") in its sole discretion, which determination will be final and binding absent a finding to the contrary by a court of competent jurisdiction. The general partner reserves the absolute right to reject any or all Election Forms determined by it not to be in proper form. The general partner also reserves the absolute right to waive any defect or irregularity with respect to the submission of an Election Form. A waiver of any defect or irregularity with respect to the submission of any Election Form will not constitute a waiver of the same or any other defect or irregularity with respect to the Election Form. An election to participate in the Plan will not be deemed to have been validly made until all defects and irregularities with respect to the Election Form have been cured or waived. Neither the Partnership nor, the general partner will be under any obligation to notify any person of a defect in an Election Form.

- 2. **Partial Election.** You may elect to participate in the Plan with respect to all or some of your common units. Please fill in the total number of common units you wish to participate in the Plan in the table titled "**DESCRIPTION OF COMMON UNITS PARTICIPATING IN THE PLAN**" on the Election Form.
- 3. **Revocation of or Change in Election Form.** Any Election Form may be revoked or changed by written notice from the person submitting such form to the Partnership at the address or email address set forth below, but to be effective such notice must be received by the Partnership at or prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the Expiration Date. The Partnership will have discretion to determine whether any revocation or change is received on a timely basis and whether any such revocation or change has been properly made.
- **4. Inadequate Space.** If the space provided herein is inadequate, the certificate numbers and/or the number of common units should be listed on a separate schedule attached hereto and separately signed on each page thereof in the same manner as this Election Form is signed.
- 5. **Signatures on Election Form.** If this Election Form is signed by the registered holder(s) of the common units for which an election to participate in the Plan is being made, the signature(s) must correspond with the name(s) as written on the face of the unit certificate(s) without alteration or any other change whatsoever.

If any common units for which an election to participate in the Plan is being made are owned of record by two or more joint owners, all such owners must sign this Election Form.

If any common units for which an election to participate in the Plan is being made are registered in the names of different holder(s), it will be necessary to complete, sign and submit as many separate Election Forms (or facsimiles thereof) as there are different registrations of such common units.

If this Election Form is signed by trustees, executors, administrators, guardians, attorneys-in-fact, officers of corporations or others acting in a fiduciary or representative capacity, such persons should so indicate when signing, and proper evidence satisfactory to the Partnership of their authority so to act must be submitted.

- **6. Requests for Assistance or Additional Copies.** Questions or requests for assistance may be directed to the Partnership, at its address, telephone number or email address set forth below. Additional copies of the Memorandum and this Election Form also may be obtained from the Partnership as set forth below, and will be furnished at the Partnership's expense.
- 7. **Lost, Destroyed, Mutilated or Stolen Common Unit Certificates.** If any common unit certificate has been lost, destroyed, mutilated or stolen, the unitholder should promptly notify the Partnership's transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company at (800) 937-5449. The unitholder will then be instructed as to the steps that must be taken in order to replace the common unit certificate. This Election Form cannot be processed until the procedures for replacing lost, mutilated, destroyed or stolen common unit certificates have been followed.

IMPORTANT: THIS ELECTION FORM MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE PARTNERSHIP PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE.

DELIVERY OF THIS ELECTION FORM TO THE PARTNERSHIP OTHER THAN AS SET FORTH BELOW WILL NOT CONSTITUTE A VALID DELIVERY TO THE PARTNERSHIP.

Any questions or requests for assistance may be directed to the Partnership at its address, telephone number or email address listed below. Requests for additional copies of the Memorandum and the Election Form may also be obtained from the Partnership at its address, telephone number or email address below, and such copies will be furnished at the Partnership's expense.

Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.

8111 Westchester Drive Dallas, Texas 75225 Attn: Chief Financial Officer (214) 981-0755

Email: tom.long@energy transfer.com

CERTIFICATION OF PRESIDENT (PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER) PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, John W. McReynolds, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under my supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to me by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under my supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report my conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
 - 5. I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 6, 2016

/s/ John W. McReynolds

John W. McReynolds President

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Thomas E. Long, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under my supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to me by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under my supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report my conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
 - 5. I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 6, 2016

/s/ Thomas E. Long

Thomas E. Long Group Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. (the "Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, John W. McReynolds, President, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Partnership.

Date: May 6, 2016

/s/ John W. McReynolds

John W. McReynolds President

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to and will be retained by Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. (the "Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Thomas E. Long, Chief Financial Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Partnership.

Date: May 6, 2016

/s/ Thomas E. Long

Thomas E. Long Group Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to and will be retained by Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.