### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

### **FORM 10-Q**

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended

June 30, 2007

Commission File No. 1-2921

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware44-0382470(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5444 Westheimer Road 77056-5306
Houston, Texas (Zip Code)
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 989-7000

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each Class</u>
4.80% Senior Notes due 2008, Series B
6.05% Senior Notes due 2013, Series B

Name of each exchange in which registered
New York Stock Exchange
New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

ndicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing
equirements for the past 90 days.
Yes <u>P</u> No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer \_\_\_\_ Accelerated filer \_\_\_\_ Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_ Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_\_ Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes  $\underline{\phantom{a}}$  No  $\underline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line, LP meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions H(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and is therefore filing this Form 10-Q with the reduced disclosure format. Item 2 of Part I has been reduced and Item 3 of Part I and Items 2, 3 and 4 of Part II have been omitted in accordance with Instruction H.

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP FORM 10-Q June 30, 2007 Table of Contents

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

	Page(s)
ITEM 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
Condensed consolidated statement of operations	2-3
Condensed consolidated balance sheet	4-5
Condensed consolidated statement of cash flows	6
Condensed consolidated statement of partners' capital and comprehensive income	7
Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements	3
ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	20
ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	25
ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.	25
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION:	
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings.	27
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.	27
ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	27
ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	27
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	27
ITEM 5. Other Information.	27
ITEM 6. Exhibits.	27
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	29

1

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Mon	
	June	•
	2007	2006
	(In thousand	s of dollars)
Operating revenue		
Transportation and storage of natural gas	\$ 120,707	\$ 101,780
LNG terminalling revenue	37,219	27,014
Other revenue	3,780	5,315
Total operating revenue	161,706	134,109
Operating expenses		
Operation, maintenance and general	64,574	51,314
Depreciation and amortization	21,062	16,924
Taxes, other than on income	7,301	7,392
Total operating expenses	92,937	75,630
Operating income	68,769	58,479
Other income (expense)		
Interest expense, net	(21,461)	(16,241)
Other, net	10,740	3,576
Total other income (expense)	(10,721)	(12,665)
Earnings before income taxes	58,048	45,814
Income taxes	22,429	17,757
Net earnings	\$ 35,619	\$ 28,057

# PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Six Months 1	
	30	,
	2007	2006
	(In thousand	s of dollars)
Operating revenue		
Transportation and storage of natural gas	\$ 254,412	\$ 223,112
LNG terminalling revenue	70,121	46,569
Other revenue	6,203	9,071
Total operating revenue	330,736	278,752
Operating expenses		
Operation, maintenance and general	120,854	97,412
Depreciation and amortization	41,771	34,398
Taxes, other than on income	15,096	14,742
Total operating expenses	177,721	146,552
Operating income	153,015	132,200
Other income (expense)		
Interest expense, net	(43,487)	(29,116)
Other, net	21,797	6,817
Total other income (expense)	(21,690)	(22,299)
Earnings before income taxes	131,325	109,901
Income taxes	51,225	42,779
Net earnings	\$ 80,100	\$ 67,122
-		

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	June 30, 2007			ecember 31, 2006
Assets	<u> </u>	(In thousands of dollars)		
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	288	\$	531
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$1,176 and \$1,176, respectively		65,722		61,047
Accounts receivable - related parties (Note 5)		7,541		17,994
Gas imbalances - receivable		91,143		68,013
System gas and operating supplies (Note 3)		155,022		127,303
Deferred income taxes, net		4,091		3,117
Note receivable - CrossCountry Citrus		15,384		6,664
Other		8,435		10,691
Total current assets		347,626		295,360
Property, plant and equipment				
Plant in service		2,490,818		2,418,917
Construction work-in-progress		290,941		166,085
		2,781,759		2,585,002
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		247,906		207,606
Net property, plant and equipment		2,533,853		2,377,396
XX		4 600		4 455
Unconsolidated investment		1,603		1,457
Note receivable - Southern Union		133,405		148,655
Note receivable - CrossCountry Citrus		427,464		458,336
Intangibles, net		7,445		7,618
Debt issuance cost		3,779		2,376
Non-current system gas (Note 3)		14,344		14,850
Other		9,813		2,472
Total assets	\$	3,479,332	\$	3,308,520

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	Jı	ıne 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
		(In thousands	of dollars)
Partners' Capital			
Partners' capital	\$	1,121,823	\$ 1,041,723
Accumulated other comprehensive income (Note 8)		18,287	15,477
Tax sharing note receivable - Southern Union		(15,500)	(16,431)
Total partners' capital		1,124,610	1,040,769
Long-term debt (Note 7)		1,608,150	1,185,391
Total capitalization		2,732,760	2,226,160
Current liabilities			
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 7)		15,384	461,011
Accounts payable		3,730	6,679
Accounts payable - overdrafts		17,506	23,776
Accounts payable - related parties (Note 5)		9,330	15,962
Gas imbalances - payable		215,704	144,137
Accrued taxes		29,520	12,030
Accrued interest		17,047	19,669
Retained fuel obligation		1,430	12,418
Labor and benefit accruals		11,508	16,868
Other operating expense accruals		11,151	9,832
Capital accruals		70,166	26,929
Other		17,550	20,623
Total current liabilities		420,026	769,934
Deferred income taxes, net		259,206	243,697
Post-retirement benefits		1,234	4,436
Other		66,106	64,293
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)	_		
Total partners' capital and liabilities	\$	3,479,332	\$ 3,308,520

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2007	-	2006	
	(In tho	usands c	of dollars)	
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:	`		,	
Net earnings	\$ 80,	,100 \$	67,122	
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	41,	,771	34,398	
Deferred income taxes	12,	,179	26,128	
Gain on sale of assets		-	(2,264)	
Other	•	,727)	(652)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	21,	,002	7,734	
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	152,	,325	132,466	
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:				
Net increase in note receivable - Southern Union	15,	,250	(72,250)	
Capital and investment expenditures	(159	,432)	(61,881)	
Sale of assets		-	2,450	
Decrease in note receivable - CrossCountry Citrus	22,	,152	-	
Other		871	(1,126)	
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(121	,159)	(132,807)	
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:				
Increase (decrease) in bank overdrafts	(6,	,270)	(62)	
Debt issuance	455	,000	-	
Debt retirements	(477,	,776)	-	
Debt issuance costs	(2,	,363)	-	
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(31,	,409)	(62)	
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(	(243)	(403)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		531	585	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	288 \$	182	
•	<del></del>	<u> </u>		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

### PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	_	Partners' Capital	Com	Tax Sharing Note Receivable- rehensive come Union thousands of dollars)			 Total
Balance January 1, 2007	\$	1,041,723	\$	15,477	\$	(16,431)	\$ 1,040,769
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net earnings		80,100		-		-	80,100
Recognized prior service credit related to other							
postretirement plan, net of tax		-		(1,356)		-	(1,356)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax		-		4,395		-	4,395
Net gain related to interest rate swaps, net of tax		<u>-</u>		(229)		<u> </u>	(229)
Comprehensive income		80,100		2,810		-	82,910
Settlement against tax sharing receivable - Southern Union		<u>-</u>		_		931	931
Balance June 30, 2007	\$	1,121,823	\$	18,287	\$	(15,500)	\$ 1,124,610

#### **ITEM 1. Financial Statements**

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP, a Delaware limited partnership (*PEPL*), and its subsidiaries (collectively, *Panhandle*, or *the Company*) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (*SEC*) for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. These statements do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (*GAAP*), and should be read in conjunction with Panhandle's financial statements and notes thereto for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, included in Panhandle's Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and reflect adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim period. The year-end condensed balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. Due to the seasonal nature of the Company's operations, the results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

The Company does not currently apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (*FASB*) Statement No. 71, *Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation* (*Statement No. 71*). In 1999, the Company discontinued application of Statement No. 71 primarily due to the level of discounting from tariff rates and its inability to pass through and recover all costs. The accounting required by the statement differs from the accounting required for businesses that do not apply its provisions. Transactions that are generally recorded differently as a result of applying regulatory accounting requirements include, among others, recording of regulatory assets, the capitalization of an equity component on regulated capital projects and depreciation differences.

### 1. Description of Business

Panhandle is primarily engaged in the interstate transportation and storage of natural gas and also provides liquefied natural gas (*LNG*) terminalling and regasification services. The Company is subject to the rules and regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (*FERC*). The Company's entities include the following:

- PEPL, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Union Company (Southern Union Company and, together with its subsidiaries, Southern Union);
- · Trunkline Gas Company, LLC (*Trunkline*), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of PEPL;
- · Sea Robin Pipeline Company, LLC (Sea Robin), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of PEPL;
- · Trunkline LNG Holdings, LLC (LNG Holdings), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of PEPL;
- · Trunkline LNG Company, LLC (Trunkline LNG), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of LNG Holdings; and
- · Pan Gas Storage, LLC (d.b.a. Southwest Gas Storage), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of PEPL.

The Company's pipeline assets include approximately 10,000 miles of interstate pipelines that transport natural gas from the Gulf of Mexico, South Texas and the panhandle regions of Texas and Oklahoma to major U.S. markets in the Midwest and Great Lakes region. The pipelines have a combined peak day delivery capacity of 5.3 billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d) and approximately 66 billion cubic feet (Bcf) of owned underground storage capacity. Trunkline LNG, located on Louisiana's Gulf Coast, operates one of the largest LNG import terminals in North America, based on current send out capacity, and has 9.0 Bcf of above ground LNG storage capacity.

Southern Union Panhandle, LLC, a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Union Company, serves as the general partner of PEPL and owns a one percent general partner interest in PEPL. Southern Union Company owns a ninety-nine percent limited partner interest in PEPL.

### 2. New Accounting Principles

### Accounting Principles Recently Adopted.

FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109" (FIN 48): Issued by the FASB in June 2006, FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition and measurement threshold attributable for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures and transition. FIN 48 was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company's consolidated financial statements have not been materially impacted by the adoption of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. See Note 10 – Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.

FSP No. FIN 48-1, "Definition of 'Settlement' in FASB Interpretation No. 48" (FIN 48-1): Issued by the FASB in May 2007, FIN 48-1 provides guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. The Company's adoption of FIN 48, effective January 1, 2007, was consistent with FIN 48-1.

### Accounting Principles Not Yet Adopted.

**FASB Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements":** Issued by the FASB in September 2006, this Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Where applicable, this Statement simplifies and codifies related guidance within GAAP. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on its consolidated financial statements.

FASB Statement No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115": Issued by the FASB in February 2007, this Statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. The Statement does not affect any existing accounting literature that requires certain assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value. The Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on its consolidated financial statements.

### 3. System Gas and Operating Supplies

System gas and operating supplies consist of gas held for operations and materials and supplies, both of which are carried at the lower of weighted average cost or market, while gas received from or owed back to customers is valued at market. The gas held for operations that the Company does not expect to consume in its operations in the next twelve months is reflected in non-current assets. Gas held for operations at June 30, 2007 was \$155.7 million, or 21,334,466 million British thermal units (*MMBtu*), of which \$14.3 million was classified as non-current. Gas held for operations at December 31, 2006 was \$129.4 million, or 20,965,000 MMBtu, of which \$14.9 million was classified as non-current. Materials and supplies inventories was \$13.7 million and \$13.2 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

### 4. Regulatory Matters

The Company has commenced construction of an additional enhancement at its Trunkline LNG terminal. This infrastructure enhancement project, which was originally estimated to cost approximately \$250 million, plus capitalized interest, will increase send out flexibility at the terminal and lower fuel costs. Recent cost projections indicate the construction costs will likely be higher, currently estimated at approximately \$280 million, plus capitalized interest. The negotiated rate with the project's customer, BG LNG Services, will be adjusted based on final capital costs. The project is scheduled to be in operation in late 2008. In addition, Trunkline LNG and BG LNG Services agreed to extend the existing terminal and pipeline services agreements through 2028, representing a five-year extension. Approximately \$92.4 million and \$40.8 million of costs are included in the line item *Construction work-in-progress* at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

The Company has received approval from FERC to modernize and replace various compression facilities on PEPL. Such replacements will be made at eleven different compressor stations and are expected to be installed by the end of 2009. The estimated cost of these replacements is approximately \$290 million. The Company has also filed for FERC approval to replace approximately 32 miles of existing pipeline on the east end of the PEPL system at a current estimated cost of approximately \$80 million, which would further improve system integrity. The project is planned to be completed in late 2007. Approximately \$60.1 million and \$57.9 million of costs related to these projects are included in the line item *Construction work-in-progress* at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Trunkline has commenced construction on a field zone expansion project, which was approved by FERC in April of 2007. The expansion project includes adding capacity to its pipeline system in Texas and Louisiana to increase deliveries to Henry Hub. The field zone expansion project includes the previously announced north Texas expansion as well as additional capacity to Henry Hub. Trunkline will increase the capacity along existing rights-of-way from Kountze, Texas to Longville, Louisiana by approximately 625 million cubic feet per day with the construction of approximately 45 miles of 36-inch diameter pipeline. The project includes horsepower additions and modifications at existing compressor stations. Trunkline also will create additional capacity to Henry Hub with the construction of a 13.5-mile, 36-inch diameter pipeline loop from Kaplan, Louisiana directly into Henry Hub. The Henry Hub lateral will provide capacity of 1 billion cubic feet per day from Kaplan, Louisiana to Henry Hub. This project has an anticipated in-service date during the fourth quarter of 2007. Recent extremely rainy conditions in the expansion project area have adversely impacted construction activities and will likely increase project costs. The magnitude of potential cost increases is highly dependent on weather conditions going forward through the project's ultimate completion. The Company currently estimates the project will cost approximately \$230 million, plus capitalized interest. Estimated costs include a \$40 million contribution in aid of construction (CIAC) to a subsidiary of Energy Transfer, L.P. (Energy Transfer), a non-affiliated entity, upon movement of Energy Transfer's delivery point to a location originally anticipated to be near Buna, Texas. Subsequently, Energy Transfer indicated that the Buna route was problematic and, as a result, the parties reached agreement on revised terms for the field zone expansion project, including additional contracted volumes and a delivery point near Silsbee, Texas. The ultimate return and accounting for the CIAC to Energy Transfer depends on completion of construction by Energy Transfer and the eventual commercial impact on the field zone expansion project. Approximately \$87.9 million and \$12.5 million of costs for this project are included in the line item Construction work-in-progress at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

FERC is responsible under the Natural Gas Act for assuring that rates charged by interstate pipelines are "just and reasonable". To enforce that requirement, FERC applies a ratemaking methodology that determines an allowed rate of return on common equity for the companies it regulates. On October 25, 2006, a group including producers and various trade associations filed a complaint under Section 5 of the Natural Gas Act against Southwest Gas Storage requesting that FERC initiate an investigation into Southwest Gas Storage's rates, terms and conditions of service and grant immediate interim rate relief. FERC initiated a Section 5 proceeding on December 21, 2006, setting this issue for hearing. Pursuant to FERC order, Southwest Gas Storage filed a cost and revenue study with FERC on February 20, 2007, with a hearing originally scheduled for August 27, 2007. On August 1, 2007, Southwest Gas Storage filed a Section 4 rate case requesting an increase in rates. In order to accommodate initial action by FERC on this filing, which is due within 30 days (by September 1, 2007), the procedural schedule for the Section 5 complaint case has been delayed approximately 40 days by the presiding administrative law judge, with a hearing now scheduled for October 15, 2007. FERC staff has filed a motion suggesting that the Section 4 case would moot the Section 5 complaint. The ultimate resolution of the Southwest Gas Storage rate matters have many variables and potential outcomes and it is impossible to predict the timing or materiality of these matters at this time. No proceeding has been initiated against PEPL, but any potential rate reductions from such a proceeding would be expected to be mitigated by the impact of significant ongoing capital spending at PEPL for pipeline integrity, safety, environmental (including air emissions), compression modernization and other requirements.

On January 26, 2007, Southwest Gas Storage filed an abandonment application to reduce the certificated storage capacity of its North Hopeton field by approximately 6 Bcf. This filing brings the certificated capacity in line with operational performance of the field. Southwest Gas Storage has entered into a third-party agreement to replace this storage capacity effective April 1, 2007 with an initial term of two years.

Sea Robin filed a rate case with FERC in June 2007, requesting an increase in its maximum rates. Several parties have submitted protests to the rate increase filing with FERC. On July 30, 2007, FERC suspended the effectiveness of the filed rate case increase until January 1, 2008. The final outcome of the rate case has many variables and potential outcomes and it is impossible to predict its materiality at this time.

On December 15, 2003, the U.S. Department of Transportation issued a final rule requiring pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs to comprehensively evaluate their pipelines, and take measures to protect pipeline segments located in what the rule defines as "high consequence areas" (*HCAs*). This rule resulted from the enactment of the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002. The rule requires operators to have identified HCAs along their pipelines by December 2004, and to have begun baseline integrity assessments, comprised of in-line inspection (smart pigging), hydrostatic testing or direct assessment, by June 2004. Operators must rank the risk of their pipeline segments containing HCAs and must complete assessments on at least 50 percent of the segments using one or more of these methods by December 2007. Assessments will generally be conducted on the higher risk segments first, with the balance being completed by December 2012. In addition, some system modifications will be necessary to accommodate the in-line inspections. All systems operated by the Company will be compliant with the rule; however, while identification and location of all the HCAs has been completed, it is not practicable to determine the total scope of required remediation activities prior to completion of the assessments and inspections. The costs associated with pipeline integrity management, including activity associated with HCAs, are estimated to be in the range of approximately \$23 million to \$30 million per year through 2012, inclusive of remediation costs.

### 5. Related Party Transactions

PEPL receives transportation revenues from Missouri Gas Energy, a Southern Union division, which account for less than one percent of annual consolidated revenues. The following table provides a summary of related party transactions for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,		
Related Party Transactions		2007 2006		2006	2007			2006
	(In thousands)			(In thousands)			s)	
Transportation and storage of natural gas	\$	913	\$	901	\$	2,306	\$	2,357
Operation and maintenance:								
Management and royalty fees		4,028		3,351		8,253		6,968
Other expenses		7,381		4,983		15,293		9,548
Other income, net		10,263		2,158		20,751		3,638

Pursuant to a demand note with Southern Union Company under a cash management program, the Company has loaned excess cash, net of repayments, totaling \$133.4 million to Southern Union since Southern Union acquired the Company. Net receipts of \$15.3 million were recorded during the six-month period ended June 30, 2007. The Company is credited with interest on the note at a one month LIBOR rate. Included in *Other*, *net* in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations is interest income of \$2 million and \$3.9 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively, with \$2.1 million and \$3.5 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, respectively, related to interest on the *Note receivable – Southern Union*. Due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the Company's cash flows, including financings, capital expenditures and operating cash flows, the Company is reflecting the *Note receivable – Southern Union* as a non-current asset at June 30, 2007. The Company does have access to the funds via the demand note and does expect repayment to ultimately occur, with a portion or all amounts possibly drawn during 2007.

On December 1, 2006, LNG Holdings, as borrower, and PEPL and CrossCountry Citrus, LLC (*CrossCountry Citrus*), as guarantors, entered into a \$465 million unsecured term loan facility due April 4, 2008 (*2006 Term Loan*) with various financial institutions. On December 1, 2006, LNG Holdings loaned the proceeds of the 2006 Term Loan to CrossCountry Citrus in exchange for an interest-bearing promissory note with a principal amount of \$465 million, the amount of the proceeds of the 2006 Term Loan. Accrued interest under the promissory note is payable quarterly. The interest rate under the promissory note is based on the interest rate under the 2006 Term Loan, which at June 30, 2007 was a floating rate at 6.2 percent, plus a credit spread over LIBOR of 112.5 basis points. Included in *Other*, *net* in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations is interest income of \$8.3 million and \$16.7 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007, respectively related to interest on the *Note receivable – CrossCountry Citrus*.

Southern Union structured the acquisition of PEPL in a manner intended to qualify as a like-kind exchange of property under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. For tax purposes, the Company's assets that were part of the exchange were recorded at the tax basis of the Southern Union Company assets for which they were exchanged. The resulting transaction generated an estimated deferred tax liability at the acquisition date and a corresponding receivable from Southern Union reflected as a reduction to *Partners Capital* on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Repayment of the receivable from Southern Union is limited to actual tax liabilities otherwise payable by the Company pursuant to the tax sharing agreement with Southern Union Company.

Accrued taxes at June 30, 2007 included \$13.9 million owed per the tax-sharing agreement with Southern Union.

The following table provides a summary of the accounts receivable and payable related party balances included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at the dates indicated.

Related Party	June 3	30, 2007	December 31, 2006		
		(In thous	ands)		
Accounts receivable - related parties:					
Southern Union (1)	\$	583	\$	14,448	
Other (2)		6,958		3,546	
		7,541		17,994	
Accounts payable - related parties:					
Southern Union (3)	\$	8,068	\$	14,978	
Other (4)		1,262		984	
	\$	9,330	\$	15,962	

- (1) Primarily related to expenditures made on behalf of Southern Union and interest associated with the Note receivable Southern Union.
- (2) Primarily related to interest from CrossCountry Citrus in 2007 and 2006.
- (3) Primarily related to corporate services and payroll funding provided by Southern Union and reimbursable medical and insurance costs paid by Southern Union on behalf of the Company.
- (4) Primarily related to various administrative and operating costs paid by other affiliate companies on behalf of the Company.

### 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses interest rate swaps to reduce interest rate risks and to manage interest expense. By entering into these agreements, the Company converts floating-rate debt into fixed-rate debt or converts fixed-rate debt to floating-rate debt. Interest differentials paid or received under the swap agreements are reflected as an adjustment to interest expense. These interest rate swaps are financial derivative instruments that qualify for hedge treatment.

Panhandle's subsidiary LNG Holdings has entered into interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$455 million that effectively fix the interest rate applicable to the floating rate of the \$455 million Term Loan due in 2012, from June 15, 2007 through March 13, 2012, and qualify for hedge accounting. For the period from June 15, 2007 through June 30, 2007, there was no swap ineffectiveness. As of June 30, 2007, floating rate LIBOR-based interest payments were exchanged for weighted average fixed rate interest payments of 4.98 percent plus a credit spread of 0.625 percent, based upon PEPL's credit rating for its senior unsecured debt. As of June 30, 2007, the fair value asset position of the swaps was \$7.4 million and is included in *Other* non-current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. For the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, an unrealized gain of \$7.4 million (\$4.4 million, net of tax) was included in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* related to the change in fair value of these swaps. Current market pricing models were used to estimate fair values of interest rate swap agreements.

On April 29, 2005, existing LNG Holdings' bank loans due in January 2007 were repaid in full using the proceeds from a credit agreement entered into on April 26, 2005 which was due in March 2007. Interest rate swaps previously designated as cash flow hedges of the LNG Holdings' bank loans were terminated upon repayment of the loans on April 29, 2005. As a result, a gain of \$3.5 million (\$2.1 million, net of tax), was recorded in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and was amortized to interest expense through the maturity date of the original bank loans in January 2007. For the three-month period ended June 30, 2006, a realized gain of \$466,000 (\$280,000 net of tax) was included in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* related to these swaps. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, a realized gain of \$384,000 (\$229,000, net of tax) and \$927,000 (\$556,000, net of tax), respectively was included in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* related to these swaps. Current market pricing models were used to estimate fair values of interest rate swap agreements.

In March 2004, the Company entered into interest rate swaps to hedge the risk associated with the fair value of its \$200 million 2.75 % Senior Notes. These swaps were terminated in March 2007 upon their maturity. See related information in *Note 7 – Debt*.

#### 7. Debt

Long-term Debt Obligations	June	30, 2007	December 31, 2006		
and term providence.		(In thous	ands)		
2.75% Senior Notes due 2007	\$	-	\$	200,000	
4.80% Senior Notes due 2008		300,000		300,000	
6.05% Senior Notes due 2013		250,000		250,000	
6.50% Senior Notes due 2009		60,623		60,623	
8.25% Senior Notes due 2010		40,500		40,500	
7.00% Senior Notes due 2029		66,305		66,305	
Term Loan due 2007		-		255,626	
Term Loan due 2012 (1)		442,848		465,000	
Term Loan due 2012		455,000		-	
Net premiums on long-term debt		8,258		9,613	
Total debt outstanding		1,623,534		1,647,667	
Current portion of long-term debt		(15,384)		(461,011)	
Interest rate swaps (2.75% Senior Notes)		-		(1,265)	
Total long-term debt	\$	1,608,150	\$	1,185,391	

<sup>(1)</sup> At December 31, 2006 the Term Loan was due in 2008. See the following *LNG Holdings Term Loans* discussion for information related to the extension of the maturity date from April 4, 2008 to June 29, 2012.

The Company has \$1.62 billion of debt, including net premiums of \$8.3 million, recorded at June 30, 2007. Debt of \$1.18 billion is at fixed rates ranging from 4.80 percent to 8.25 percent. The Company also has floating-rate debt totaling \$442.8 million bearing an interest rate of 5.87 percent as of June 30, 2007. The variable rate bank loan is unsecured.

**LNG Holdings Term Loans.** On June 29, 2007, LNG Holdings, as borrower, and PEPL and CrossCountry Citrus, as guarantors, entered into an amended and restated term loan facility (*Amended Credit Agreement*). The Amended Credit Agreement amends the \$465 million term loan facility, dated as of December 1, 2006, by and among LNG Holdings, as the borrower, and PEPL and CrossCountry Citrus, as guarantors. The Amended Credit Agreement extended the maturity from April 4, 2008 to June 29, 2012, and decreased the interest rate from LIBOR plus 87.5 basis points to LIBOR plus 55 basis points, based upon the current credit rating of PEPL's senior unsecured debt. The balance of the term loan facility at June 30, 2007 is \$442.8 million.

On March 13, 2007, LNG Holdings, as borrower, and PEPL and Trunkline LNG, as guarantors, entered into a \$455 million unsecured term loan facility due March 13, 2012 (2012 Term Loan). The interest rate under the 2012 Term Loan is a floating rate tied to a LIBOR rate or prime rate at the Company's option, in addition to a margin tied to the rating of PEPL's senior unsecured debt. The proceeds of the 2012 Term Loan were used to repay approximately \$455 million in existing indebtedness that matured in March 2007, including the \$200 million 2.75% Senior Notes and the LNG Holdings \$255.6 million term loan. See *Note 6 – Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities* for information regarding interest rate swaps on the 2012 Term Loan.

The Company's notes are subject to certain requirements, such as the maintenance of a fixed charge coverage ratio and a leverage ratio, which if not maintained, restrict the ability of the Company to make certain payments and impose limitations on the ability of the Company to subject its property to liens. At June 30, 2007, the Company, based on the currently most restrictive debt covenant requirements, was subject to a \$383.7 million limitation on additional restricted payments including dividends and loans to affiliates, and a limitation of \$306.3 million of additional secured or subsidiary level indebtedness or other defined liens based on a limitation on liens covenant. The Company is also subject to a limitation of \$465 million of total additional indebtedness. At June 30, 2007, the Company was in compliance with all covenants.

At June 30, 2007, the Company had scheduled payments of \$15.4 million, \$300 million, \$60.6 million, \$40.5 million, nil and \$1.20 billion for the remainder of 2007, the years 2008 through 2011 and in total thereafter, respectively.

### **Capital Expenditure Cash Requirements and Retirement of Debt Obligations**

The Company plans to meet its 2007 cash requirements and refinance its \$300 million of debt maturing in 2008 with proceeds from one or a combination of the following sources: (i) new public debt; (ii) investments, loans or advances from Southern Union affiliates; (iii) bank financings; and (iv) operating activities. If the Company were unable to refinance these obligations, it would cause a material adverse change to the Company's financial condition. However, the Company reasonably believes that it has the ability to refinance these obligations within the required timeframes, although there can be no assurances that the anticipated refinancing will be completed on terms satisfactory to the Company.

### 8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The table below provides an overview of comprehensive income for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2007 2006				2007		2006
		(In thou	sand	s)		(In thou	sand	ls)
Net earnings	\$	35,619	\$	28,057	\$	80,100	\$	67,122
Realized gain on cash flow hedging								
activities, net of taxes of \$0, \$(186),								
\$(155) and \$(371), respectively		-		(280)		(229)		(556)
Reclassification of prior service credit relating to								
other postretirement benefits into earnings, net								
of taxes of \$(222), \$0, \$(444) and \$0, respectively		(678)		-		(1,356)		-
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedging								
activities net of taxes of \$2,955, \$0, \$2,955								
and \$0, respectively		4,395		-		4,395		(2)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		3,717		(280)		2,810		(558)
Total comprehensive income	\$	39,336	\$	27,777	\$	82,910	\$	66,564

The table below provides an overview of the components in Accumulated other comprehensive income as of the periods indicated:

Components in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		une 30, 2007	December 31, 2006	
		(In tho	ısanc	ls)
Other postretirement plan - net actuarial loss and prior service credit, net of tax	\$	13,892	\$	15,248
Interest rate hedges, net of tax		4,395		229
Total Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	\$	18,287	\$	15,477

### 9. Postretirement Benefits

Net periodic benefit cost for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 includes the components noted in the table below.

Postretirement Benefits							
Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended				
June 30, June 30,							
2007 2006		2007		2006			
	(In thou	sands)			(In tho	ısan	ds)
\$	336	\$	440	\$	672	\$	880
	512		544		1,023		1,088
	(484)		(344)		(967)		(688)
	(901)		(911)		(1,801)		(1,822)
	<u>-</u>		127		_		254
\$	(537)	\$	(144)	\$	(1,073)	\$	(288)
		June 2007 (In thou: \$ 336 512 (484) (901)	Three Months En June 30, 2007 2 (In thousands)  \$ 336 \$ 512 (484) (901)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006  (In thousands)  \$ 336 \$ 440 512 544 (484) (344) (901) (911) - 127	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006  (In thousands)  \$ 336 \$ 440 \$ 512 544 (484) (344) (901) (911) - 127	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (In thousands)  \$ 336 \$ 440 \$ 672 512 544 1,023 (484) (344) (967) (901) (911) (1,801) - 127 -	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007  2006  (In thousands)  \$ 336 \$ 440 \$ 672 \$ 512 544 1,023  (484) (344) (967)  (901) (911) (1,801)  - 127 -

### 10. Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The Company adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. The implementation of FIN 48 did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements and did not require an adjustment to *Partners' capital*. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits at January 1, 2007 or June 30, 2007.

The Company's policy is to classify and accrue interest expense and penalties on income tax underpayments (overpayments) as a component of income tax expense in its Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations, which is consistent with the recognition of these items in prior reporting periods.

The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state or local examinations, for the tax year ended June 30, 2002 and prior years. Although the Company's parent, Southern Union Company has settled the IRS examination of the year ended June 30, 2003, the statute remains open with the IRS until December 31, 2007. The state impact of the federal change remains subject to state and local examination, for a period of up to one year after formal notification to the state and local jurisdictions.

### 11. Commitments and Contingencies

*Litigation.* The Company is involved in legal, tax and regulatory proceedings before various courts, regulatory commissions and governmental agencies regarding matters arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which involve substantial amounts. Where appropriate, the Company has made accruals in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, in order to provide for such matters. The Company believes the final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Hope Land Mineral Corporation (*Hope Land*) claimed trespass and unjust enrichment in respect of the storage rights to property that contains a portion of the Company's Howell storage field. The Company filed an action for condemnation to obtain the storage rights from Hope Land. Trial before the Michigan Circuit Court commenced in April 2007, and on May 2, 2007, the jury awarded Hope Land total compensation of approximately \$91,000 in respect of condemnation and trespass and no recovery in respect of unjust enrichment. Following the verdict, the matter was settled and an Order of Dismissal was entered in the Court on July 3, 2007. The settlement of this matter had no material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Jack Grynberg, an individual, filed actions for damages against a number of companies, including the Company, now transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming, alleging mis-measurement of gas volumes and Btu content, resulting in lower royalties to mineral interest owners. On October 20, 2006, the District Judge adopted in part the earlier recommendation of the Special Master in the case and ordered the dismissal of the case against the Company. Grynberg is appealing that action to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals. Grynberg's opening brief was filed on July 31, 2007. A similar action, known as the Will Price litigation, also has been filed against a number of companies, including the Company, in U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas. The Company is currently awaiting the decision of the trial judge on the defendants' motion to dismiss the Will Price action. The Company believes that its measurement practices conformed to the terms of its FERC gas tariff, which was filed with and approved by FERC. As a result, the Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these lawsuits (including FERC-related affirmative defenses, such as the filed rate/tariff doctrine, the primary/exclusive jurisdiction of FERC, and the defense that the Company complied with the terms of its tariff) and will continue to vigorously defend against them, including any appeal from the dismissal of the Grynberg case. The Company does not believe the outcome of these cases will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In 1993, the U.S. Department of the Interior announced its intention to seek, through the Mineral Management Service (*MMS*), additional royalties from gas producers as a result of payments received by such producers in connection with past take-or-pay settlements and buyouts and buy downs of gas sales contracts with natural gas pipelines. PEPL and Trunkline, with respect to certain producer contract settlements, may be contractually required to reimburse or, in some instances, to indemnify producers against such royalty claims. The potential liability of the producers to the government and of the pipelines to the producers involves complex issues of law and fact, which are likely to take substantial time to resolve. If required to reimburse or indemnify the producers, PEPL and Trunkline may file with FERC to recover these costs from pipeline customers. The Company believes these commitments and contingencies will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Environmental Matters.** The Company's operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations regarding water quality, hazardous and solid waste management, air quality control and other environmental matters. These laws and regulations require the Company to conduct its operations in a specified manner and to obtain and comply with a wide variety of environmental registrations, licenses, permits, inspections and other approvals. Failure to comply with environmental requirements may expose the Company to significant fines, penalties and/or interruptions in operations. The Company's environmental policies and procedures are designed to achieve compliance with such laws and regulations. These evolving laws and regulations and claims for damages to property, employees, other persons and the environment resulting from current or past operations may result in significant expenditures and liabilities in the future. The Company engages in a process of updating and revising its procedures for the ongoing evaluation of its operations to identify potential environmental exposures and enhance compliance with regulatory requirements. The Company follows the provisions of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position 96-1, *Environmental Remediation Liabilities*, for recognition, measurement, display and disclosure of environmental remediation liabilities.

**Environmental Remediation.** The Company is responsible for environmental remediation at certain sites on its gas transmission systems. The contamination resulted from the past use of lubricants containing polychlorinated biphenyls (*PCBs*) in compressed air systems; the past use of paints containing PCBs; and the prior use of wastewater collection facilities and other on-site disposal areas. The Company has developed and is implementing a program to remediate such contamination. Remediation and decontamination has been completed at each of the 35 compressor station sites where auxiliary buildings that house the air compressor equipment were impacted by the past use of lubricants containing PCBs. At some locations, PCBs have been identified in paint that was applied many years ago. A program has been implemented to remove and dispose of PCB impacted paint during painting activities. At one location on the Trunkline system, PCBs were discovered on the painted surfaces of equipment in a building that is outside of the scope of the compressed air system program and the existing PCB impacted paint program. The estimated cost to remediate the painted surfaces at this location is approximately \$300,000. An assessment program is underway to determine whether this condition exists at any of the other 78 similar buildings on the PEPL and Trunkline systems. Until the results of the assessment program are available, the costs associated with remediation of the painted surfaces cannot be reasonably estimated.

Other remediation typically involves the management of contaminated soils and may involve remediation of groundwater. Activities vary with site conditions and locations, the extent and nature of the contamination, remedial requirements, complexity and sharing of responsibility. The ultimate liability and total costs associated with these sites will depend upon many factors. If remediation activities involve statutory joint and several liability provisions, strict liability, or cost recovery or contribution actions, the Company could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties. In some instances, such as the Pierce waste oil sites described below, the Company may share liability associated with contamination with other potentially responsible parties (*PRPs*). The Company may also benefit from contractual indemnities that cover some or all of the cleanup costs. These sites are generally managed in the normal course of business or operations. The Company believes the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

PEPL and Trunkline, together with other non-affiliated parties, have been identified as potentially liable for conditions at three former waste oil disposal sites in Illinois – the Pierce Oil Springfield site, the Dunavan Waste Oil site and the McCook site. PEPL and Trunkline received notices of potential liability from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (*U.S. EPA*) for the Dunavan site by letters dated September 30, 2005. The notices demanded reimbursement to the U.S. EPA for costs incurred as of that date in the amount of approximately \$1.8 million and encouraged each PRP to voluntarily negotiate an administrative settlement agreement with the U.S. EPA within certain limited time frames providing for the PRPs to conduct or finance the response activities required at the site. The demand was declined in a joint letter dated December 15, 2005 by the major PRPs, including PEPL and Trunkline. Although no formal notice has been received for the Pierce Oil Springfield site, special notice letters are anticipated and the process of listing the site on the National Priority List has begun. No formal notice has been received for the McCook site. The Company believes the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On June 16, 2005, PEPL experienced a release of liquid hydrocarbons near Pleasant Hill, Illinois. The release occurred in the form of a mist at a valve that was in use to reduce the pressure in the pipeline as part of maintenance activities. The hydrocarbon mist affected several acres of adjacent agricultural land and a nearby marina. Approximately 27 gallons of hydrocarbons reached the Mississippi River. PEPL contacted appropriate federal and state regulatory agencies and the U.S. EPA took the lead role in overseeing the subsequent cleanup activities, which have been completed. PEPL has resolved claims of affected boat owners and the marina operator. PEPL received a violation notice from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (*IEPA*) alleging that PEPL was in apparent violation of several sections of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act by allowing the release. The violation notice did not propose a penalty. Responses to the violation notice were submitted and the responses were discussed with the agency. On December 14, 2005, the IEPA notified PEPL that the matter might be considered for referral to the Office of the Attorney General, the State's Attorney or the U.S. EPA for formal enforcement action and the imposition of penalties. By letter dated November 22, 2006, PEPL received a follow-up information request from the IEPA on the status of certain measures PEPL had agreed to undertake in connection with the original responses to the violation notice. The Company believes the outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The table below reflects the amount of accrued liabilities recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 to cover probable environmental response actions:

	J	June 30, 2007		cember 31, 2006
		(In thou	sands)	
Current	\$	1,362	\$	1,962
Noncurrent		7,151		6,760
Total Environmental Liabilities	\$	8,513	\$	8,722

**Air Quality Control.** The U.S. EPA issued a final rule on regional ozone control (*Nox SIP Call*) in April 2004 that impacts the Company in two midwestern states: Indiana and Illinois. Based on a U.S. EPA guidance document negotiated with gas industry representatives in 2002, the Company is required in states that follow the U.S. EPA guidance to reduce nitrogen oxide (*Nox*) emissions by 82 percent on the identified large internal combustion engines. The rule, which had a final implementation date of May 2007, affected 20 large internal combustion engines on the Company's system in Illinois and Indiana with an approximate cost of \$22 million for capital improvements which has been incurred as of June 30, 2007. Indiana has promulgated state regulations to address the requirements of the NOx SIP Call rule that essentially follow the U.S. EPA guidance.

In early April, 2007 the IEPA proposed a rule to the Illinois Pollution Control Board (*IPCB*) for adoption to control NOx emissions from reciprocating engines and turbines, including a provision applying the rule beyond issues addressed by federal provisions, pursuant to a blanket statewide application. The proposed Illinois rule requires controls on engines regulated under the U.S. EPA NOX SIP Call by May 1, 2007 and the remaining engines by January 1, 2011. The state is requiring the controls to comply with U.S. EPA rules regarding the NOX SIP Call, ozone non-attainment and fine particulate standards. However, the statewide applicability provision proposed by the IEPA reaches beyond federal requirements without apparent justification and serves as the primary driver of costs for PEPL and Trunkline. As proposed, the rule applies to all PEPL and Trunkline stations in Illinois. Preliminary estimates indicate compliance with the proposed rule would require minimum capital expenditures of approximately \$45 million for emission controls in addition to the \$22 million associated with the federally based NOx reductions described above. A pipeline consortium including PEPL and Trunkline filed an objection to the rule on April 16, 2007 requesting the IPCB to bifurcate and address separately the statewide applicability provision. The pipeline consortium specifically objected to treatment of the statewide applicability issue under an Illinois "Fast Track" rulemaking process. In early May, the pipeline consortium filed for a preliminary injunction in state court challenging the application of the Fast Track process. On May 17, 2007, the IPCB ruled in favor of the pipeline consortium by bifurcating the statewide applicability provision from the rest of the proposed rule. The first hearing for the bifurcated statewide applicability portion of the rule is set for September 18, 2007. On June 18, 2007, the IEPA filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the IPCB ruling bifurcating the proposed rule. The pipeline consortium Respons

In 2002, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality enacted the Houston/Galveston SIP regulations requiring reductions in NOx emissions in an eight-county area surrounding Houston. Trunkline's Cypress compressor station is affected and required the installation of emission controls. Regulations also require certain grandfathered facilities in Texas to enter into the new source permit program, which may require the installation of emission controls at one additional facility owned by the Company. Management estimates capital improvements of \$17.6 million will be needed at the two affected East Texas locations. Approximately \$16.6 million of the \$17.6 million of capital expenditures have been incurred as of June 30, 2007. Permit limits were placed on grandfathered engines at two facilities in West Texas that are owned by PEPL. An estimated \$3 million in capital expenditures will be required to comply with permit limitations for the West Texas facilities.

The U.S. EPA promulgated various Maximum Achievable Control Technology (*MACT*) rules in February 2004. The rules require that PEPL and Trunkline control Hazardous Air Pollutants (*HAPs*) emitted from certain internal combustion engines at major HAPs sources. Most PEPL and Trunkline compressor stations are major HAPs sources. The HAPs pollutant of concern for PEPL and Trunkline is formaldehyde. The rule, which has a final implementation date of June 2007, seeks to reduce formaldehyde emissions by 76 percent from these engines, by requiring use of catalytic controls. PEPL has one engine fully regulated under this rule. For the other PEPL and Trunkline engines potentially subject to the engine MACT rule, emission controls and operating restrictions have been used to lower emissions below MACT thresholds. Management expects that compliance with these regulations will necessitate an estimated expenditure of \$1.2 million for capital improvements, based on current projections.

**Spill Control.** Environmental regulations were recently modified for the U.S. EPA's Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures program. The Company is currently reviewing the impact of the modified regulations on its operations and expects to expend resources on tank integrity testing and any associated corrective actions as well as potential upgrades to containment structures. Costs associated with tank integrity testing and resulting corrective actions cannot be estimated with certainty at this time, but the Company believes such costs will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### Other Commitments and Contingencies.

*Hurricane Damage.* Late in the third quarter of 2005, after coming through the Gulf of Mexico, Hurricanes Katrina and Rita came ashore along the Upper Gulf Coast. These hurricanes caused damage to property and equipment owned by Sea Robin, Trunkline and Trunkline LNG. As of June 30, 2007, the Company has incurred approximately \$33.6 million of capital expenditures related to the hurricanes, primarily for replacement or abandonment of damaged property and equipment at Sea Robin and construction project delays at the Trunkline LNG terminal.

The Company anticipates reimbursement from its property insurance carriers for a significant portion of damages from Hurricane Rita in excess of its \$5 million deductible. Such reimbursement is currently estimated by the Company's property insurance carrier ultimately to be limited to 70 percent of the portion of the claimed damages accepted by the insurance carrier, but the amount is subject to the level of total ultimate claims from all companies relative to the carrier's \$1 billion total limit on payout per event. An estimated \$10 million of the costs incurred related to the Trunkline LNG terminal expansion delays are not eligible for insurance recovery. As of June 30, 2007, the Company has received payments of \$1.6 million from its insurance carriers. Receivables due from the insurance carriers of an additional \$6 million were recorded as of June 30, 2007 and subsequently received in July 2007.

In addition, after the 2005 hurricanes, the MMS mandated inspections by leaseholders and pipeline operators along the hurricane tracks. The Company has detected exposed pipe and other facilities on Trunkline and Sea Robin that must be re-covered to comply with applicable regulations. Capital expenditures are estimated at \$4.8 million, \$1.3 million of which had been incurred as of June 30, 2007. The Company will seek recovery of these expense and capital amounts as part of the hurricane-related claims.

**Energy Transfer Commitment.** In November 2006, PEPL provided a guaranty to a subsidiary of Energy Transfer, a non-affiliate, for the full performance by Trunkline of a \$40 million CIAC obligation related to a modification of the field zone expansion project expected to be completed in late 2007. The ultimate return and accounting for the CIAC to Energy Transfer depends on completion of construction by Energy Transfer and the eventual commercial impact on the field zone expansion project.

Controlled Group Pension Liabilities. Southern Union (including certain of its divisions) sponsors a number of defined benefit pension plans for employees. Under applicable pension and tax laws, upon being acquired by Southern Union, the Company became a member of Southern Union's "controlled group" with respect to those plans, and, along with Southern Union and any other members of that group, is jointly and severally liable for any failure by Southern Union (along with any other persons that may be or become a sponsor of any such plan) to fund any of these pension plans or to pay any unfunded liabilities that these plans may have if they are ever terminated. In addition, if any of the obligations of any of these pension plans is not paid when due, a lien in favor of that plan or the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation may be created against the assets of each member of Southern Union's controlled group, including the Company and each of its subsidiaries. Based on the latest actuarial information available as of December 31, 2006, the aggregate amount of the projected benefit obligations of these pension plans was approximately \$163 million and the estimated fair value of all of the assets of these plans was approximately \$108.6 million.

### ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition is provided as a supplement to the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and notes to help provide an understanding of the Company's financial condition, results of operations and changes in financial condition. The following section includes an overview of the Company's business as well as recent developments that the Company believes are important in understanding its results of operations and in anticipating future trends in those operations. Subsequent sections include an analysis of the Company's results of operations on a consolidated basis and information relating to the Company's liquidity and capital resources and other matters. The information required by this Item is presented in a reduced disclosure format pursuant to General Instruction H to Form 10-Q.

#### Overview

The Company is primarily engaged in the interstate transportation and storage of natural gas and also provides LNG terminalling and regasification services, with rates and services regulated by FERC. The Company's entities include PEPL, Trunkline, Sea Robin, Trunkline LNG and Southwest Gas Storage. Collectively, the pipeline assets include approximately 10,000 miles of interstate pipelines that transport natural gas from the Gulf of Mexico, South Texas and the panhandle regions of Texas and Oklahoma to major U.S. markets in the Midwest and Great Lakes region. The pipelines have a combined peak day delivery capacity of 5.3 billion cubic feet per day (*Bcf/d*) and approximately 66 billion cubic feet (*Bcf*) of owned underground storage capacity. Trunkline LNG, located on Louisiana's Gulf Coast, operates one of the largest LNG import terminals in North America, based on current send out capacity, and has 9.0 Bcf of above ground LNG storage capacity.

The Company earns the majority of its revenue by entering into firm transportation and storage contracts, providing capacity for customers to transport or store natural gas in its facilities. Approximately 21 percent of the Company's total operating revenue comes from long-term service agreements with local distribution company customers and their affiliates. The Company also provides firm transportation services under contract to gas marketers, producers, other pipelines, electric power generators and a variety of end-users. In addition, the Company's pipelines offer both firm and interruptible transportation to customers on a short-term or seasonal basis. Demand for gas transmission on the Company's pipeline systems is seasonal, with the highest throughput and a higher portion of annual total operating revenues and net earnings occurring in the traditional winter heating season in the first and fourth calendar quarters. Average reservation revenue rates realized by the Company are dependent on certain factors, including but not limited to rate regulation, customer demand for reserved capacity, capacity sold levels for a given period and, in some cases, utilization of capacity. Commodity revenues are also dependent upon a number of variable factors including weather, storage levels, and customer demand for firm interruptible and parking services. The majority of Panhandle's revenues are related to firm capacity reservation charges. For the years 2004, 2005 and 2006, the Company's combined throughput was 1,284 trillion British thermal units (*TBtu*), 1,214 TBtu and 1,180 TBtu, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company's combined throughput was 775 TBtu and 590 TBtu, respectively.

The Company's regulated transportation and storage businesses periodically file (or can be required to file) for changes in their rates, which are subject to approval by FERC. Changes in rates and other tariff provisions resulting from these regulatory proceedings have the potential to negatively impact the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

### **Results of Operations**

	T	ree Months	Ende	d June 30,	
		2007		2006	Change
Operating revenue:					
Transportation and storage of natural gas	\$	120,707	\$	101,780	\$ 18,927
LNG terminalling revenue		37,219		27,014	10,205
Other revenue		3,780		5,315	 (1,535
Total operating revenue		161,706		134,109	27,597
Operating expenses:					
Operation, maintenance and general		64,574		51,314	13,260
Depreciation and amortization		21,062		16,924	4,138
Taxes, other than on income		7,301		7,392	(91
Total operating expenses		92,937		75,630	17,307
Operating income		68,769		58,479	10,290
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense, net		(21,461)		(16,241)	(5,220
Other, net		10,740		3,576	7,164
Total other expense, net		(10,721)		(12,665)	1,944
Earnings before income taxes		58,048		45,814	12,234
Income taxes		22,429		17,757	 4,672
Net earnings	\$	35,619	\$	28,057	\$ 7,562

*Operating Revenue.* For the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, operating revenue increased \$27.6 million versus the same period in 2006 primarily due to:

- · Increased transportation and storage revenue of \$18.9 million due to:
  - · Higher transportation reservation revenues of \$10.6 million primarily due to reduced discounting resulting in higher average rates realized on contracts driven by higher customer demand and utilization of contract capacity;
  - · Higher parking revenues of \$3.5 million due to customer demand for parking services and market conditions;
  - · Higher commodity revenues of \$3 million due to higher throughput volumes including transportation of higher LNG volumes on Trunkline, higher volumes on Sea Robin due to adverse hurricane impacts on 2006 throughput, and higher throughput on Panhandle due to storage refill activity; and
  - · Higher storage revenues of \$1.8 million due to increased contracted capacity.
- A \$10.2 million increase in LNG terminalling revenue due to a capacity increase on the BG LNG Services contract as a result of the Trunkline LNG Phase II expansion, which was placed in service in July 2006, as well as higher volumes resulting from an increase in LNG cargoes; and
- A decrease in other revenue of \$1.5 million primarily due to higher operational sales of gas in 2006.

*Operating Expenses.* Operating expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased \$17.3 million versus the same period in 2006 primarily due to:

- · An increase in operation, maintenance and general expenses of \$13.3 million primarily due to:
  - · A \$4.4 million increase in LNG power costs resulting from increased cargoes;
  - · A \$4.1 million increase in contract storage costs primarily due to an increase in leased capacity;

#### Table of Contents

- A \$3.4 million increase in corporate services costs due to Southern Union's disposition of certain assets during 2006, resulting in a larger allocation of corporate services costs to the remaining business units; and
- A \$1.1 million increase in fuel tracker costs due to a net under-recovery in the second quarter of 2007.
- Increased depreciation and amortization expense of \$4.1 million due to an increase in property, plant and equipment placed in service, including the Trunkline LNG Phase II expansion. Depreciation and amortization expense is expected to continue to increase primarily due to higher capital spending, including compression modernization and other expenditures.

Other Expense, Net. Other expense, net for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$1.9 million versus the same period in 2006. Interest expense increased \$5.2 million primarily due to higher debt balances and higher LIBOR rates. Other, net increased \$7.2 million primarily due to higher related party interest income caused by higher related party note receivable balances in 2007 (which offsets against a corresponding amount of the increased debt balance) and increases in the underlying LIBOR-based rates.

*Income Taxes.* The Company's estimated annual consolidated federal and state effective income tax rate was 39 percent and 39 percent for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Income taxes during the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, versus the same period in 2006, increased \$4.7 million due to higher pretax income.

		Six Months Ended June 30,						
	2007 2006					Change		
		(In thousands)						
Operating revenue:								
Transportation and storage of natural gas	\$	254,412	\$	223,112	\$	31,300		
LNG terminalling revenue		70,121		46,569		23,552		
Other revenue		6,203		9,071		(2,868)		
Total operating revenue	_	330,736		278,752		51,984		
Operating expenses:								
Operation, maintenance and general		120,854		97,412		23,442		
Depreciation and amortization		41,771		34,398		7,373		
Taxes, other than on income		15,096		14,742		354		
Total operating expenses		177,721		146,552		31,169		
Operating income		153,015		132,200		20,815		
Other income (expense):								
Interest expense, net		(43,487)		(29,116)		(14,371)		
Other, net		21,797		6,817		14,980		
Total other expense, net		(21,690)		(22,299)		609		
Earnings before income taxes	_	131,325	_	109,901	_	21,424		
Income taxes	<u> </u>	51,225		42,779	_	8,446		
Net earnings	\$	80,100	\$	67,122	\$	12,978		

*Operating Revenue.* For the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, operating revenue increased \$52 million versus the same period in 2006 primarily due to:

- $\cdot$   $\;$  Increased transportation and storage revenue of \$31.3 million due to:
  - · Higher transportation reservation revenues of \$15 million primarily due to reduced discounting resulting in higher average rates realized on contracts driven by higher customer demand and utilization of contract capacity;
  - · Higher parking revenues of \$8.2 million due to customer demand for parking services and market conditions;

#### Table of Contents

- · Higher commodity revenues of \$4.1 million due to higher throughput volumes including transportation of higher LNG volumes on Trunkline, higher volumes on Sea Robin due to adverse hurricane impacts on 2006 throughput, and higher throughput on Panhandle due to higher utilization driven by weather and storage refill activity; and
- · Higher storage revenues of \$4 million due to increased contracted capacity.
- A \$23.6 million increase in LNG terminalling revenue due to a capacity increase on the BG LNG Services contract as a result of the Trunkline LNG Phase I and Phase II expansions, which were placed in service in April 2006 and July 2006, respectively, as well as higher volumes resulting from an increase in LNG cargoes; and
- A decrease in other revenue of \$2.9 million primarily due to higher operational sales of gas in 2006.

**Operating Expenses.** Operating expenses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased \$31.2 million versus the same period in 2006 primarily due to:

- An increase in operation, maintenance and general expenses of \$23.4 million primarily due to:
  - A \$7.2 million increase in corporate services costs due to Southern Union's disposition of certain assets during 2006, resulting in a larger allocation of corporate services costs to the remaining business units and an increase in management and royalty fees due to higher revenues;
  - · A \$6.5 million increase in LNG power costs resulting from increased cargoes;
  - · A \$4.6 million increase in contract storage costs primarily due to an increase in leased capacity;
  - · A \$2.3 million increase in fuel tracker costs due to a net under-recovery in 2007;
  - · A \$1.4 million increase in labor and benefits;
  - · An \$800,000 increase in legal costs; and
  - · A \$700,000 increase in insurance expense due to higher premiums.
- Increased depreciation and amortization expense of \$7.4 million due to an increase in property, plant and equipment placed in service, including the Trunkline LNG Phase I and Phase II expansions.

Other Expense, Net. Other expense, net for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$609,000 versus the same period in 2006. Interest expense increased \$14.4 million primarily due to higher debt balances and higher LIBOR rates. Other, net increased \$15 million primarily due to higher related party interest income caused by higher related party note receivable balances in 2007 (which offsets against a corresponding amount of the increased debt balance) and increases in the underlying LIBOR-based rates.

*Income Taxes.* The Company's estimated annual consolidated federal and state effective income tax rate was 39 percent and 39 percent for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Income taxes during the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, versus the same period in 2006, increased \$8.4 million due to higher pretax income.

#### OTHER MATTERS

### **Contingencies**

See *PART I, ITEM 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited), Note 11 – Commitments and Contingencies* and *Note 4 – Regulatory Matters*, in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

### Regulatory

See PART I, ITEM 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited), Note 4 – Regulatory Matters, in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

### Insurance

The Company maintains insurance coverage provided under its policies similar to other comparable companies in the same lines of business. The insurance policies are subject to terms, conditions, limitations and exclusions that do not fully compensate the Company for all losses. Insurance deductibles range from \$100,000 to \$10 million for the various policies utilized by the Company. Furthermore, as the Company renews its policies, it is possible that full insurance coverage may not be obtainable on commercially reasonable terms due to the recent more restrictive insurance markets.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

**2007 Capital Expenditure Funding Sources.** The Company intends to cover its 2007 cash requirements, resulting from planned capital expenditures, from various sources including cash flows from operations, repayments of intercompany loans made to Southern Union, loans or advances from other affiliates, or other borrowings, although no assurances can be given as to the sufficiency of cash flows, the availability of funds from Southern Union or other affiliates, or the ability to obtain financing.

**Debt Refinancing.** The Company plans to refinance its \$300 million of debt maturing in 2008 with proceeds from one or a combination of the following sources: (i) new public debt; (ii) investments, loans or advances from Southern Union affiliates; (iii) bank financings; and (iv) operating activities. If the Company were unable to refinance these obligations, it would cause a material adverse change to the Company's financial condition. However, the Company reasonably believes that it has the ability to refinance these obligations within the required timeframes, although there can be no assurances that the anticipated refinancing will be completed on terms satisfactory to the Company.

### ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, has been omitted from this report pursuant to the reduced disclosure format permitted by General Instruction H to Form 10-Q.

### **ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures**

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company has established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company, including consolidated entities, in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (*Exchange Act*) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Operating Officer (*COO*) and Chief Financial Officer (*CFO*), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company performed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of management, including its COO and CFO, and with the participation of personnel from its Legal, Internal Audit, Risk Management and Financial Reporting Departments, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, Panhandle's COO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2007.

### **Changes in Internal Controls**

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

### **Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information**

The disclosure and analysis in this Form 10-Q contains some forward-looking statements that set forth anticipated results based on management's plans and assumptions. From time to time, the Company also provides forward-looking statements in other materials it releases to the public as well as oral forward-looking statements. Such statements give the Company's current expectations or forecasts of future events; they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. The Company has tried, wherever possible, to identify such statements by using words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe," "will" and similar expressions in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. In particular, these include statements relating to future actions, future performance or results of current and anticipated products, expenses, interest rates, the outcome of contingencies, such as legal proceedings, and financial results.

#### Table of Contents

The Company cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although management believes that the Company has been prudent in its plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and potentially inaccurate assumptions. If known or unknown risks or uncertainties should materialize, or if underlying assumptions should prove inaccurate, actual results could differ materially from past results and those anticipated, estimated or projected. Readers should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements.

The Company undertakes no obligation publicly to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Readers are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures the Company makes on related subjects in its 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K reports to the SEC. Also note that the Company provides the following cautionary discussion of risks, uncertainties and possibly inaccurate assumptions relevant to its businesses. These are factors that, individually or in the aggregate, management believes could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results. The Company notes these factors for investors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, readers should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the Company's forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- · changes in demand for natural gas by the Company's customers, in the composition of the Company's customer base and in the sources of natural gas available to the Company;
- the effects of inflation and the timing and extent of changes in the prices and overall demand for and availability of natural gas as well as electricity, oil, coal and other bulk materials and chemicals;
- · adverse weather conditions, such as warmer than normal weather in the Company's service territories, and the operational impact of disasters such as Hurricanes Katrina and Rita;
- · changes in laws or regulations, third-party relations and approvals, decisions of courts, regulators and governmental bodies affecting or involving the Company, including deregulation initiatives and the impact of rate and tariff proceedings before FERC and various state regulatory commissions;
- the outcome of pending and future litigation;
- the Company's ability to comply with or to challenge successfully existing or new environmental regulations;
- · unanticipated environmental liabilities;
- the Company's ability to acquire new businesses and assets and integrate those operations into its existing operations, as well as its ability to expand its existing businesses and facilities;
- the Company's ability to control costs successfully and achieve operating efficiencies, including the purchase and implementation of new technologies for achieving such efficiencies;
- the impact of factors affecting operations such as maintenance or repairs, environmental incidents, gas pipeline system constraints and relations with labor unions representing bargaining-unit employees;
- exposure to customer concentration with a significant portion of revenues realized from a relatively small number of customers and any credit risks associated with the financial position of those customers;
- · changes in the ratings of the Company's debt securities or any of its subsidiaries;
- · changes in interest rates and other general capital markets conditions, and in the Company's ability to continue to access the capital markets;
- · acts of nature, sabotage, terrorism or other acts causing damage greater than the Company's insurance coverage limits;
- · market risks beyond the Company's control affecting its risk management activities including market liquidity, commodity price volatility and counterparty creditworthiness; and
- · other risks and unforeseen events.

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### **ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings**

The Company and certain of its affiliates are occasionally parties to lawsuits and administrative proceedings incidental to their businesses involving, for example, claims for personal injury and property damage, contractual matters, various tax matters, and rates and licensing. The Company and its affiliates are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment. Several of these companies have been named parties to various actions involving environmental issues. Based on our present knowledge and subject to future legal and factual developments, the Company's management believes that it is unlikely that these actions, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition. For additional information regarding various pending administrative and judicial proceedings involving regulatory, environmental and other legal matters, reference is made to *ITEM 1*. *Financial Statements (Unaudited)*, *Note 11 – Commitments and Contingencies*, as well as to *ITEM 2*. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* included in *Part I. Financial Information*.

#### ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K.

### ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 2, Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds, has been omitted from this report pursuant to the reduced disclosure format permitted by General Instruction H to Form 10-Q.

### ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 3, Defaults Upon Senior Securities, has been omitted from this report pursuant to the reduced disclosure format permitted by General Instruction H to Form 10-Q.

### ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 4, Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders, has been omitted from this report pursuant to the reduced disclosure format permitted by General Instruction H to Form 10-Q.

### ITEM 5. Other Information

N/A

### ITEM 6. Exhibits

### **Exhibit No.** Description

- 3(a) Certificate of Formation of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP. (Filed as Exhibit 3.A to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 3(b) Limited Partnership Agreement of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP, dated as of June 29, 2004, between Southern Union Company and Southern Union Panhandle LLC. (Filed as Exhibit 3.B to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4(a) Indenture dated as of March 29, 1999, among CMS Panhandle Holding Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company and NBD Bank, as Trustee. (Filed as Exhibit 4(a) to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4(b) 1st Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 29, 1999, among CMS Panhandle Holding Company, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company and NBD Bank, as Trustee, including a form of Guarantee by Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company of the obligations of CMS Panhandle Holding Company. (Filed as Exhibit 4(b) to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference.)

- 4(c) 2nd Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 27, 2000, between Panhandle, as Issuer and Bank One Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee. (Filed as Exhibit 4(e) to the Form S-4 filed on June 22, 2000, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4(d) 3rd Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 18, 2003, between Panhandle, as Issuer and Bank One Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee (Filed as Exhibit 4(d) to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4(e) 4th Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 12, 2004, between Panhandle, as Issuer and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee. (Filed as Exhibit 4.E to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 4(f) Indenture dated as of February 1, 1993, between Panhandle and Morgan Guaranty Trust Company effective January 1, 1982, as amended December 3, 1999. (Filed as Exhibit 4 to the Form S-3 filed February 19, 1993, and incorporated herein by reference.)
- Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between Trunkline LNG Holdings, LLC, as borrower, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP and CrossCountry Citrus, LLC, as guarantors, the financial institutions listed therein and Bayerische Hypo-Und Vereinsbank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent, dated as of June 29, 2007 (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Southern Union's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 6, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(b) Credit Agreement between Trunkline LNG Holdings, LLC, as borrower, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP and Trunkline LNG Company, LLC, as guarantors, the financial institutions listed therein and Bayerische Hypo- Und Vereinsbank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent, dated as of March 15, 2007. (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Panhandle's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 21, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10(c) \$465,000,000 Promissory Note made by CrossCountry Citrus, LLC, as borrower, in favor of Trunkline LNG Holdings LLC, as holder, dated as of December 1, 2006. (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Panhandle's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 31.1 Certificate by President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) or 15d 14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certificate by Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) or 15d 14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certificate by President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) or 15d 14(b) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certificate by Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) or 15d 14(b) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE COMPANY, LP

Date: August 9, 2007

<u>By: /s/ ROBERT O. BOND</u> Robert O. Bond President and Chief Operating Officer (authorized officer)

/s/ GARY W. LEFELAR

Gary W. Lefelar Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)

#### CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Robert O. Bond, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2007

/s/ ROBERT O. BOND Robert O. Bond President and Chief Operating Officer

#### CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Richard N. Marshall, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2007

/s/ RICHARD N. MARSHALL

Richard N. Marshall

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

# Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP (the "Company") for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robert O. Bond, as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that (i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13 (a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and (ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ ROBERT O. BOND Name: Robert O. Bond

Title: President and Chief Operating Officer

Date: August 9, 2007

This Certification is being furnished solely to accompany the Report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and shall not be deemed "filed" by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date of this Report, irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other documents authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

# Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP (the "Company") for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Richard N. Marshall, as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that (i) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and (ii) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

### /s/ RICHARD N. MARSHALL

Name: Richard N. Marshall

Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 9, 2007

This Certification is being furnished solely to accompany the Report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and shall not be deemed "filed" by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date of this Report, irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other documents authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.